This is Exhibit "13" referred to in the Affidavit of Anthony Griffin sworn before me this _____ day of June, 2016

A Commissioner, etc.

- Greg Boland

From:- Greg BolandSent:Monday, April 21, 2014 10:05 AMTo:Tony GriffinSubject:Re: Re: <no subject>

sounds good. What is backend capital plan if we do win it? The only tweak I am thinking about is how to pooch Mobi deal just in case Telus is successful.

On 2014-04-21, at 10:01 AM, "Tony Griffin" <tony.griffin@westfacecapital.com> wrote:

Yes I think that is exactly what we do – put in debt deal and ask for 90 day exclusive. Coupon ratchets every quarter with full make whole for 1 yr. Try to come to heads of agreement in 90 days.

From: - Greg Boland Sent: April-21-14 10:00 AM To: Tony Griffin Subject: Re: <no subject>

can we negotiate an exclusive with Wind - or a purchase of WC stake subject to DD and outs. Tie them up for some time and then go to Mobi asap.

On 2014-04-21, at 9:56 AM, "Tony Griffin" < tony.griffin@westfacecapital.com > wrote:

We are going to put together a letter today. Will flip to you when done. Would need to get into them by Wed.

From: - Greg Boland Sent: April-21-14 9:51 AM To: Tony Griffin Subject: Re: <no subject>

sounds very intersting.

On 2014-04-21, at 8:50 AM, "Tony Griffin" <<u>tony.griffin@westfacecapital.com</u>> wrote:

Ok

We had Tony Lacavera in on Monday and Friday as well re Wind There is a deal to do there in two steps – step 1 would be taking out

WFC0060279/2

the existing vendor debt of \$150 mm CAD (1 yr at L + 12 with ratchets) Step 2 would be negotiating an exit for Vimplecom – they are sellers at \$300 mm on the equity bracket on a 100% basis (and potentially lower) – according to Lacavera they are in complete capitulation mode right now

Mobi is back trying to get their deal with Telus approved at \$350 mm but seems unlikely

If we could buy Wind we could cut a paper deal in common with Mobi that would be more appealing to the government that would give Wind all of their needed spectrum for LTE

I think replacing the vendor debt and buying out Wind with a secured convert could be really compelling – you could then turn around and take this public in short order

They have a board meeting this week – Peter and Tom and I are going to work on a draft proposal for them

From: - Greg Boland Sent: April-21-14 8:45 AM To: Tony Griffin Subject: Re: <no subject>

Ok. Let's discuss tomorrow.

------ Original message -----From: Tony Griffin Date:04-19-2014 12:06 AM (GMT-05:00) To: - Greg Boland Subject:

I have completed the reconciliation of the CLL numbers to the original board package, the meeting notes I have and the model I put together from those meeting notes, and the most recent responses to the Special Committee.

I can get together on the weekend to go through it either of Saturday or Sunday. Let me know.

I think my conclusion out of this is that we should do the first lien deal and an equity rights offering of \$50 mm – there is no scenario that is ever going to build enough liquidity to meet the 2018 debt maturity but using their production numbers, which contemplate 20,000 b/d by 2017, ebitda should be sufficiently high to support a refi of the bonds if net bitumen realizations hold up.

WFC0060279/3

I think the key question out of this Greg is why we are funding a capital case that contemplate growing to 30,000/day as opposed to something more like 20,000. I think some of the very heavy spend years in the unconstrained case (2016 and 2018) may simply reflect the more ambitious end point in that model and that would be something to push back on.

I also believe Appendix C in the most recent package (draw downs) could only be numbers that include interest burden – EBITDA less Capex Plus Proceeds of \$140 mm from the first lien don't arrive at those numbers even using \$52 bitumen netbacks.

Tony