In the Matter Of:

## The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al

## ANTHONY GRIFFIN May 08, 2015

## neesons

141 Adelaide Street West | 11<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, Ontario M5H 3L5 1.888.525.6666 | 416.413.7755

1	Court File No. CV-14-507120
2	ONTARIO
3	SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
4	BETWEEN:
5	
6	THE CATALYST CAPITAL GROUP INC.
7	Plaintiff
8	FIGHT
9	– and –
10	- and -
11	BRANDON MOYSE and WEST FACE CAPITAL INC.
12	Defendants
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	This is the Cross-Examination of ANTHONY
18	GRIFFIN, on his affidavits sworn March 7 and May 6,
19	2015, respectively, taken at the offices of Davies
20	Ward Phillips & Vineberg LLP, 40th Floor, 155
21	Wellington St. West, Toronto, Ontario, on the 8th
22	day of May, 2015.
23	
24	
25	



```
1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
     Rocco DiPucchio, Esq.,
                                  for the Plaintiff
 3
     & Andrew Winton, Esq.,
 4
 5
     Robert A. Centa, Esq.,
                                  for the Defendant,
 6
                                  Brandon Moyse
 7
     Matthew Milne-Smith, Esq., for the Defendant,
 8
 9
     & Andrew Carlson, Esq., West Face Capital Inc.
10
11
12
                    Philip Panet, In-House Counsel, West
     ALSO PRESENT:
13
                    Face Capital
14
15
        REPORTED BY: Deana Santedicola, RPR, CRR, CSR
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```



1	INDEX
2	WITNESS: ANTHONY GRIFFIN PAGES
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DIPUCCHIO5 - 195
4	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR. MILNE-SMITH195 - 204
5	
6	**The following list of undertakings, advisements
7	and refusals is meant as a guide only for the
8	assistance of counsel and no other purpose**
9	
10	INDEX OF UNDERTAKINGS
11	The questions/requests undertaken are noted by U/T
12	and appear on the following pages: 19:14, 30:9,
13	45:3, 80:22, 152:25, 155:11, 157:21, 185:1, 187:16
14	
15	INDEX OF ADVISEMENTS
16	The questions/requests taken under advisement are
17	noted by U/A and appear on the following pages:
18	20:25, 26:17, 31:19, 35:7, 40:17, 75:22, 76:14,
19	78:25, 79:19, 81:19, 83:10, 89:4, 104:19, 105:11,
20	107:5, 126:16, 153:17, 156:3, 159:10, 185:18,
21	189:1, 195:3
22	
23	INDEX OF REFUSALS
24	The questions/requests refused are noted by R/F and
25	appear on the following pages: 39:20



1		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
2			
3	NO.	DESCRIPTION PAGE/LINE NO.	
4	1	Factum filed by West Face on	
5		the injunction motion190/25	
6			
7	2	Email sent by Mr. Dea to	
8		Mr. Moyse on July 14th, 2014192/1	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22 23			
23 24			
2 <del>4</del> 25			
ر ک			



1		Upon commencing at 10:04 a.m.
2		
3		ANTHONY GRIFFIN: SWORN.
4		CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
5	1	Q. Good morning, Mr. Griffin.
6		A. Good morning.
7	2	Q. I understand, sir, that you are a
8		partner at West Face Capital?
9		A. Yes.
10	3	Q. And you have been a partner there
11		since what time?
12		A. 2006.
13	4	Q. You have sworn two affidavits in
14		this matter?
15		A. Yes.
16	5	Q. The first affidavit was sworn on
17		March 7th, 2015; is that right?
18		A. That's correct.
19	6	Q. And then you have sworn a second
20		affidavit a few days ago, May 6th, 2015; is that
21		correct?
22		MR. MILNE-SMITH: That is the date.
23		THE DEPONENT: That is the date, yes.
24		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
25	7	Q. You have had an opportunity to



1		review those affidavits, I take it, before you
2		swore them?
3		A. Yes.
4	8	Q. And prior to getting started
5		today, can you confirm for me that you are not
6		making any changes or additions or deletions to the
7		affidavits?
8		A. That's correct.
9	9	Q. When you swore your affidavit on
10		March 7th, 2015, had you had an opportunity to read
11		the affidavits that had been filed in this
12		proceeding prior to that time?
13		A. I'm trying to recollect which ones
14		were available. I think up until that point I
15		guess the original Moyse affidavit.
16	10	Q. Yes?
17		A. And then whatever Mr. Riley had
18		filed in response.
19	11	Q. Did you have an opportunity to
20		review your partner Mr. Dea's affidavit?
21		A. No, I don't believe I did,
22		actually.
23	12	Q. Did you speak to Mr. Dea at all
24	l	prior to swearing your affidavit?
25	1	A. On what matters?
	i	



1	13	Q. Generally in respect of the
2		evidence you gave in your affidavit.
3		A. Only insofar as ensuring the
4		account I provided in the affidavit was accurate
5		insofar as it referenced Mr. Dea in any capacity.
6	14	Q. And you didn't discuss with him
7		the evidence he had already given in the matter?
8		A. No, no.
9	15	Q. All right. Did you have an
10		opportunity to review any of the cross-examination
11		transcripts?
12		A. No, I did not look at those.
13	16	Q. Now, I understand that before you
14		joined West Face, you were a Managing Director of
15		Amaranth Advisors?
16		A. Yes.
17	17	Q. And for how long were you at
18		Amaranth?
19		A. Roughly, it was a period of 2003
20		to 2006.
21	18	Q. And before that?
22		A. Before that, I was at CIBC Capital
23		Partners.
24	19	Q. And how long were you at CIBC
25		Capital?

1		A. I believe it was a period of
2		roughly two and a half years.
3	20	Q. And I understand you have a
4		Bachelor of Commerce from UBC?
5		A. That's correct.
6	21	Q. When did you obtain your Bachelor
7		of Commerce?
8		A. 1997.
9	22	Q. Your affidavit indicates that you
10		are on the Board of Directors of Mandalay
11		Resources; is that correct?
12		A. That's correct.
13	23	Q. How long have you been on the
14		Board of Mandalay?
15		A. I would say it is a period of in
16		excess of four years now, since we originally made
17		our investment.
18	24	Q. And I take it one of the West Face
19		funds has an investment in Mandalay?
20		A. That is correct. Yes, that is
21		publicly disclosed we are a shareholder.
22	25	Q. Is it fair to say that West Face
23		invests on behalf of the funds that it manages in
24		different types of investment opportunities?
25		A. Yes.

1	26	Q. And does that include distressed
2		investing?
3		A. Episodically, yes.
4	27	Q. And what we are talking about when
5		we refer to "distressed investing" is investing in
6		companies that are in some kind of financial
7		difficulty?
8		A. We look at it in a few different
9		capacities. In certain circumstances we would go
10		broader than just that and include common equity
11		that is trading at distressed valuations for
12		reasons that may be different than financial,
13		conventional financial distress.
14	28	Q. All right, but does it include
15		investments in companies that on some occasions are
16		experiencing financial difficulty?
17		A. Yes.
18	29	Q. Would Mobilicity be an example of
19		that?
20		A. Yes, historically.
21	30	Q. And certainly, sir, given your
22		background, you understand the difference between
23		secured and unsecured debt?
24		A. Yes.
25	31	Q. And West Face itself trades in

1		debt all the time, right, debt instruments?
2		A. In the secondary market or
3		primary?
4	32	Q. Well, you tell me.
5		A. Well, the trading activity would
6		only be relegated to existing secondary market
7		securities that are either traded on an exchange or
8		over the counter.
9	33	Q. Okay.
10		A. But we also do primary debt
11		origination, which is not really a trading
12		activity. It is really an origination activity.
13	34	Q. Okay, you buy secured,
14		subordinated, unsecured debt?
15		A. All forms.
16	35	Q. And as I understand it from the
17		last go-around, West Face fairly recently started
18		up a special situations fund; is that fair?
19		A. What we called it is an
20		alternative credit fund.
21	36	Q. And that was 2014?
22		A. I would say we are about a year
23		and a quarter in basically.
24	37	Q. And Mr. Dea is running that fund?
25		A. Mr. Dea and I jointly look after
25		A. Mr. Dea and I jointly look after



_		
1		it. The Investment Committee is the same for both.
2	38	Q. And I take it also, given your
3		extensive background, that you understand the
4		concept of short-selling a stock?
5		A. Yes.
6	39	Q. And you understand the risks
7		associated with short-selling a stock?
8		A. Yes.
9	40	Q. And the unlimited liability that
10		comes with short-selling stocks, right?
11		A. Sorry, in what capacity?
12	41	Q. Well, in the sense that there is
13		no sorry, it is different than, for example,
14		taking a long position in a stock where you can
15		actually cap your risk. There is really unlimited
16		downside to short-selling a stock, right?
17		A. I'm not sure I would agree with
18		that. If what you are suggesting is that a stock
19		can go up infinitely but can only go to zero
20	42	Q. Yes, right.
21		A I would agree with you, yes.
22	43	Q. That is essentially what I am
23		saying.
24		A. Yes.
25	44	Q. Now, I understand from your

1		affidavit that West Face manages approximately 2.2
2		billion dollars in assets; is that fair?
3		A. Yes.
4	45	Q. And that is on behalf of third
5		parties, I gather, that have invested in West Face
6		funds?
7		A. Yes.
8	46	Q. So in other words, the 2.2 million
9		dollars
10		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Billion.
11		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
12	47	Q. Billions, sorry, in assets I'm
13		not used to dealing in billions, as you might
14		imagine, for myself. The 2.2 billion dollars that
15		you are investing are not your own funds,
16		obviously?
17		A. The partners have an investment in
18		each of the fund vehicles that we manage.
19	48	Q. Okay, fair enough. You have a
20		piece
21		A. Yes.
22	49	Q which is an individual
23		investment, but the vast majority of the funds
24		A. The majority of the capital is
25		third party capital.

1	50	Q would be third party capital.
2		And so the way it works is the
3		investors would invest in your fund, and then West
4		Face would be managing the fund on behalf of those
5		investors?
6		A. Yes.
7	51	Q. Is that generally structured as an
8		LP?
9		A. Yes.
10	52	Q. And West Face would be the general
11		partner; is that how it works?
12		A. That's correct.
13	53	Q. And investors are LPs?
14		A. Yes.
15	54	Q. I want to talk to you a little bit
16		about the Arcan matter that is referred to in your
17		affidavit.
18		A. Uhm-hmm.
19	55	Q. I think the discussion of Arcan
20		starts at page 19 actually, it is not.
21		Sorry, it might be page 20, actually.
22		It is page 20. My apologies.
23		So first of all, before I get into
24		asking you some questions specifically in regards
25		to Arcan, I assume we are in agreement that the

1		March 27th, 2014 email that was sent by Mr. Moyse
2		to Mr. Dea was subsequently flipped to you, amongst
3		others at West Face, right?
4		A. Yes.
5	56	Q. And you have admitted in your
6		affidavit that you received a copy of that email
7		and that you actually opened up one or more of the
8		attachments?
9		A. I opened one of the attachments,
10		yes.
11	57	Q. To your memory, it was one?
12		A. Yes.
13	58	Q. And you do not have a memory of
14		opening any of the others, right?
15		A. No.
16	59	Q. And when you opened up that
17		attachment, you saw that it was stamped
18		"confidential" obviously, right?
19		A. I believe on the header of the one
20		I opened or at the bottom header or footer there
21		was some "confidentiality" mark on it.
22	60	Q. And you noticed that?
23		A. Yes.
24	61	Q. And you noticed, I take it, upon
25		opening up that attachment that that was a piece of

1		research that Mr. Moyse had done on behalf of
2		Catalyst? I assume that came as no surprise to
3		you?
4		A. I can't actually remember who the
5		author of it was. Presumably it was his, given
6		that he had forwarded it.
7	62	Q. Well, it was being forwarded by
8		him as an example of some of his writing or work,
9		right?
10		A. Yeah. I mean, often investment
11		memos can be a composite of work committee
12		individuals at a firm, so I can't recall if his
13		name was on the header.
14	63	Q. Okay, fair enough, but you
15		certainly must have assumed that this was a piece
16		of writing that had been done by Mr. Moyse in part?
17		A. I think, yes, that he had
18		contributed to it.
19	64	Q. And did you understand as well
20		that it was a piece of writing that he had done
21		while he was employed at Catalyst?
22		A. Yes.
23	65	Q. And you also acknowledge having
24		read to some extent the attachment that you opened,
25		right?



1		A. Yes.
2	66	Q. And you had no difficulty reading
3		it?
4		A. No.
5	67	Q. Did you ever consider not reading
6		it once you noticed that it was stamped
7		"confidential"?
8		A. Yes, I brought the matter up with
9		Tom Dea and indicated that, you know, we that
10		Brandon probably shouldn't have forwarded this to
11		the firm.
12	68	Q. Was that prior to reading the memo
13		that you talked to Mr. Dea, or did you read the
14		memo first and then talk to Mr. Dea?
15		A. No, I only got about a page in and
16		then brought it to his attention.
17	69	Q. So what did you do? You got about
18		a page into the memo and then picked up the phone
19		and called Mr. Dea
20		A. No, I walked down.
21	70	Q or did you walk into his
22		office?
23		A. I walked to him.
24	71	Q. All right, and tell me about that
25		conversation?



1		A. I don't recollect the specifics of
2		it other than to say that he should examine the
3		contents of what was in that email before
4		distributing it, and that was about the extent of
5		it.
6	72	Q. Were you concerned about the fact
7		that he had sent you a memo that was marked
8		"confidential"? Is that why you were speaking to
9		Mr. Dea?
10		A. I wanted to flag it for him
11		because he was dealing with the hiring decision of
12		Brandon and liaising with him, and I wasn't
13		involved in that.
14	73	Q. And is the reason you were
15		flagging it is because you thought there was
16		something improper about it?
17		A. I didn't know, but I didn't want
18		to take a chance that there might be.
19	74	Q. All right. And what did Mr. Dea
20		say in response to you when you alerted him to
21		this?
22		A. He said understood, I'll look into
23		it.
24	75	Q. Do you know what he did subsequent
25		to that?

1		A. I don't.
2	76	Q. You never followed up?
3		A. No, I think he my understanding
4		was he talked to our general counsel about the
5		matter, and I know the next time I heard about it
6		was through our general counsel.
7	77	Q. Did you think about destroying or
8		returning the copy of the document that had been
9		sent to you?
10		A. I didn't give it any particular
11		thought other than, you know, not to go back and
12		open the contents. I think we were all awaiting
13		instructions from our general counsel as to what
14		the appropriate course of action was with it.
15	78	Q. And were you involved in the
16		actual interview process for Mr. Moyse?
17		A. I met him on one occasion.
18	79	Q. Do you recall when that was?
19		A. I don't. I could look it up.
20	80	Q. Was it in or around April of 2014?
21		A. I don't recall. I would have to
22		look for the date.
23	81	Q. And were you reading or reviewing
24		the email and attachment to that email for the
25		purposes of preparing for the interview of

1		Mr. Moyse?
2		A. No, my understanding my
3		recollection is that came later.
4	82	Q. So you would have interviewed him
5		prior to receiving this email?
6		A. Yes.
7	83	Q. So it would have been sometime
8		prior to March 27th, 2014, that the interview would
9		have occurred?
10		A. Again, I would have to go back and
11		check the date. I don't recollect.
12	84	Q. All right, could you do that? Is
13		there a way for you to do that?
14		U/T MR. MILNE-SMITH: We'll make best
15		efforts to find out when the interview took place.
16		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
17	85	Q. Do you remember who was there
18		interviewing Mr. Moyse?
19		A. I think at the time it was just
20		set up as an opportunity for me to meet with him,
21		and Tom had set up the meeting.
22	86	Q. So I think you have just testified
23		a moment ago that following your discussion with
24		Mr. Dea in which you alerted him to this issue,
25		that your general counsel at the time took on the



1		matter and had a discussion with Mr. Moyse; is that
2		fair?
3		A. I don't know if he spoke to
4		Mr. Moyse actually.
5	87	Q. All right. And your general
6		counsel at that time was a gentleman by the name of
7		Alex Singh?
8		A. Yes.
9	88	Q. Who is no longer with the company?
10		A. That's right.
11	89	Q. When did Mr. Singh leave the
12		company?
13		A. I don't recall his exact departure
14		date. I would have to look into that.
15	90	Q. All right, could you let me know
16		when Mr. Singh left the company?
17		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Give me one second.
18		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Sure.
19		MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'm informed it was
20		around July of 2014.
21		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
22	91	Q. All right. And did Mr. Singh's
23		departure from the company have anything to do with
24		this action?
25		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: We are going to take

1		that under advisement.
2		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
3	92	Q. All right. Now, I take it, Mr.
4		Griffin, you were aware that at some point in or
5		around June of 2015 my office on behalf of Catalyst
6		was writing to West Face in relation to Mr. Moyse's
7		hiring?
8		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Sorry, June of 2014
9		you mean?
10		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Yes, that is what I
11		said. Did I say something
12		MR. MILNE-SMITH: The transcript says
13		2015 but
14		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay, I thought I said
15		2014, but the transcript is probably correct. My
16		apologies.
17		MR. MILNE-SMITH: That is what I heard.
18		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
19	93	Q. Okay, fine. Were you aware that
20		in June of 2014 that my office was communicating
21		with West Face in respect of Mr. Moyse's hiring?
22		A. At some point I became aware of
23		the communication, but I don't recall if it was
24		June or later.
25	94	Q. How did you become aware of the

1		communication?
2		A. I think our general counsel had
3		made us aware of it.
4	95	Q. All right. And you understood I
5		take it from whatever conversation you may have had
6		with your general counsel that there was a concern
7		that Mr. Moyse was in possession of some
8		confidential information and that confidential
9		information might be shared with people at West
10		Face?
11		A. We did not get into that
12		discussion. I wasn't really involved in that
13		dialogue.
14	96	Q. All right. Who was?
15		A. I believe our general counsel
16		spoke to Greg Boland and Tom Dea.
17	97	Q. All right, so you were not in that
18		loop?
19		A. No.
20	98	Q. And were you aware that in
21		response to the initial letter that went out in
22		June of 2014 that your counsel at the time,
23		Dentons, wrote a letter in essence saying that
24		Mr. Moyse had been made aware of his obligations
25		around confidentiality and that there was no cause



1		for concern?
2		A. At the I am aware of it now.
3		At the time I wasn't at all involved in the
4		dialogue or the discussions with outside counsel on
5		the matter in any capacity.
6	99	Q. Okay. And I take it that whatever
7		letter was written by Dentons in June of 2014 would
8		have followed any discussion between the general
9		counsel and Mr. Moyse?
10		MR. MILNE-SMITH: We are not going to
11		get into discussions, privileged discussions,
12		Counsel.
13		MR. DiPUCCHIO: So you are asserting
14		privilege over the discussions between Mr. Singh
15		and Mr. Moyse?
16		MR. MILNE-SMITH: No, I thought you
17		were talking about discussions between Dentons and
18		Mr. Singh.
19		MR. DiPUCCHIO: No.
20		MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'm sorry, let me
21		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
22	100	Q. Maybe my question was worded
23		awkwardly. I apologize, Counsel.
24		What I am asking is, is it fair to say
25		that the letter from Dentons followed whatever



1		discussion may have occurred between Mr. Moyse and
2		Mr. Singh?
3		A. I honestly don't know what
4		discussions transpired between the two. As I said,
5		I wasn't involved in the matter in any capacity.
6	101	Q. Okay. And Mr. Moyse, I take it,
7		commences work at West Face on June 23, 2014,
8		right?
9		A. Yes.
10	102	Q. And you say that on June 23, 2014,
11		there was a strategic transaction announced by
12		Arcan, right?
13		A. Yes.
14	103	Q. And at the time, I take it, your
15		evidence is that you either didn't recall or never
16		noticed that Mr. Moyse had forwarded a memo of
17		certain research he had done on behalf of Catalyst
18		in regards to Arcan; is that fair?
19		A. That is fair.
20	104	Q. And is that because you say you
21		never read that memo or you just simply have no
22		memory of every having read it?
23		A. No, I never opened any of the
24		attachments other than one I referenced, which was



1	105	Q. Do you know whether anybody else
2		had opened the attachment as it related to Arcan?
3		A. Not to my knowledge.
4	106	Q. Have you asked people at West
5		Face?
6		A. No.
7	107	Q. And you then, as I understand it,
8		forward an email to Mr. Moyse in respect of this
9		Arcan opportunity, right?
10		A. Uhm-hmm.
11	108	Q. You have to say "yes" or "no" for
12		the transcript.
13		A. Oh, sorry, yes.
14	109	Q. Now, does Mr. Moyse at any
15		point I take it the answer is no, but did
16		Mr. Moyse at any point alert you to the fact that
17		he had worked on Arcan for Catalyst?
18		A. No.
19	110	Q. And what were you asking Mr. Moyse
20		to do?
21		A. I wasn't asking him to do anything
22		at that point. I had prepared an analysis on the
23		deal and had followed the company for a number of
24		years, and I had sent an email around the firm
25		which did not include him, including our investment

	committee on that analysis, and subsequently added
	him to the distribution.
111	Q. Okay, so the email you are the
	email we are talking about to Mr. Moyse is what is
	attached as Exhibit 15 to your affidavit; is that
	right?
	A. Yes.
112	Q. And you say this is essentially a
	copy of an email that you had earlier circulated to
	others at West Face?
	A. Yes.
113	Q. And do you have a copy of that
	email?
	MR. MILNE-SMITH: We don't have it. I
	take it your next question is to produce it?
	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Yes.
	U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'll take it under
	advisement.
	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
114	Q. So you then send what in essence
	is a copy of your analysis of the deal to Mr. Moyse
	and a Pat McGuire?
	and a Pat McGuire?  A. Yes.
115	
	112

1		A. Patrick is our trader at West
2		Face.
3	116	Q. All right. And so tell me what
4		was the reason for forwarding this to Mr. Moyse and
5		to Mr. McGuire?
6		A. Mr. McGuire and I had followed the
7		situation for a number of years, and if we were
8		going to execute a trade in the securities, I was
9		going to notify him of the background to the
10		transaction.
11	117	Q. Okay.
12		A. Brandon, given his start time, had
13		not been included in some of the original email
14		chains that I had sent more broadly, and I was
15		aware of the fact that he did not have anything
16		currently of any consequence in terms of work flow
17		and I thought it might be an opportunity to get him
18		involved in a file.
19	118	Q. All right, and Mr. Moyse I take it
20		never responded to your email?
21		A. I don't recollect a response.
22		There could have been a short one, a thank you or I
23		will look at this.
24	119	Q. And one of the things you
25		acknowledge in your affidavit is that in fact West

1		Face had previously been interested in Arcan?
2		A. That is correct.
3	120	Q. And in fact, they had previously
4		taken positions in Arcan, right?
5		A. That is right.
6	121	Q. So you say in your affidavit that
7		you were already familiar with that business?
8		A. Very familiar.
9	122	Q. And I take it there is no dispute
10		that notwithstanding that you say you never asked
11		Mr. Moyse to do anything in relation to this
12		transaction, he did subsequently do work on behalf
13		of West Face in relation to Arcan, right?
14		A. There was some work product that
15		he apparently took upon himself to prepare, which I
16		didn't actually ever see and I think was only
17		discovered by a later date. But I did not ask him
18		to produce anything. I had done the analysis and
19		was sharing that with him.
20	123	Q. And do you have any idea
21		whatsoever why Mr. Moyse would be preparing an
22		analysis if you hadn't instructed him to do it?
23		A. I think he had a self-interest in
24		showing motivation to get involved in a file as an
25		employee.



1	124	Q. All right. And have you asked
2		whether anybody else at West Face instructed
3		Mr. Moyse to do this?
4		A. I have not, but to my knowledge,
5		no one did.
6	125	Q. And did you ever subsequently
7		speak to Mr. Moyse about any work he may have done?
8		A. No.
9		MR. MILNE-SMITH: About Arcan?
10		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
11	126	Q. About Arcan, yes.
12		A. About Arcan, no.
13	127	Q. The very next day you say on your
14		recommendation an investment was made in Arcan?
15		A. That is correct.
16	128	Q. And
17		A. It commenced.
18	129	Q. It commenced?
19		A. We had not completed it.
20	130	Q. Okay, and how many did this
21		proceed in tranches; is that the way it worked?
22		A. Yeah, the unsecured debentures
23		that the company had issued, there were two series.
24		Both of them were exchange-traded and it took a
25		period of time to accumulate a position.

1	131	Q. And do you know what period of
2		time that was?
3		A. I don't recollect exactly. I
4		would have to look at our trading records.
5	132	Q. Could you take a look at your
6		trading records and let me know over what period of
7		time West Face transacted its investment in Arcan's
8		unsecured debentures?
9		U/T MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yes, we'll do that.
10		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
11	133	Q. Now, you have indicated in your
12		affidavit that you now understand that at some time
13		between June 24th and 26th Mr. Moyse performed a
14		financial analysis of Arcan's proposed deal with
15		Aspenleaf, right?
16		A. I actually don't know what work he
17		prepared in that capacity. It is something to do
18		with Arcan, a spreadsheet, but I don't recollect
19		ever seeing it.
20	134	Q. Okay, well, paragraph 55 of your
21		affidavit says as follows, Mr. Griffin:
22		"I now understand that at some
23		time between June 24 and 26, 2014,
24		Mr. Moyse performed a financial
25		analysis of Arcan's proposed deal

1			with	Aspenleaf and summarized
2			Arcar	n's financials."
3			Α.	Yes.
4	135		Q.	Is that what he did?
5			Α.	Well, I didn't I was informed
6		by our couns	el th	nat some work product was prepared,
7		and that is	what	I am referring to.
8	136		Q.	And you have never seen that work
9		product?		
10			Α.	No.
11	137		Q.	And it has never been produced in
12		this litigat	ion?	
13			Α.	I don't know the answer to that.
14	138		Q.	Okay, I haven't seen it in
15		anything tha	t we	have been provided, so I'm going
16		to ask you t	o pro	oduce Mr. Moyse's work product
17		between June	24tł	n and June 26th as it relates to
18		Arcan?		
19		U/A	MR. N	MILNE-SMITH: We'll take it under
20		advisement,	Couns	sel.
21			As yo	ou know, in Mr. Griffin's affidavit
22		and I think	in th	ne covering letter that I sent
23		accompanying	it v	we offered to produce via the ISS
24		any document	that	Brandon had created while he was
25		at West Face	. Th	ne only concern we have is

1		regarding confidentiality, but assuming that can be
2		worked out
3		MR. DiPUCCHIO: You let me know whether
4		you have any issue with respect to confidentiality,
5		and I'm sure we'll be able to work out something
6		that will address those concerns.
7		MR. MILNE-SMITH: I share your
8		confidence.
9		MR. DiPUCCHIO: All right, are you
10		taking that under advisement then?
11		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yes.
12		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
13	139	Q. You then go on to say, Mr.
14		Griffin, that you have been:
15		"[] informed by Mr. Singh
16		and believe that in or around that
17		time, Mr. Singh asked Mr. Moyse what
18		he was working on, and when
19		Mr. Moyse advised that he was
20		performing a financial analysis of
21		Arcan's proposed deal, Mr. Singh
22		determined that Mr. Moyse's work was
23		on a company that he had analyzed
24		while at Catalyst, and told him to
25		stop all work on the project, which



1		he did."
2		Now, first of all, I take it this is
3		some sort of discussion you have had with Mr. Singh
4		recently?
5		A. No.
6	140	Q. Okay, so when did Mr. Singh inform
7		you of these facts?
8		A. I would have to go back and look
9		at the exact date, but it was shortly after we
10		began accumulating a position in the company.
11	141	Q. Sorry, so let me understand that.
12		So shortly after you began to accumulate a position
13		in Arcan, Mr. Singh alerted you to the fact that
14		there had been an issue with respect to Brandon
15		doing some work on Arcan?
16		A. I was told by Mr. Singh that if
17		Brandon was doing any work on Arcan, I could not
18		assign anything more to him or get him involved in
19		the file.
20	142	Q. Well, what were Mr. Singh's exact
21		words to you, to the best of your recollection?
22		A. I have given you my recollection
23		of it.
24	143	Q. That if he was performing any work
25		in relation to Arcan?

1		A. Yes.
2	144	Q. Well, did Mr. Singh know, to your
3		knowledge, whether he had already performed work in
4		relation to Arcan?
5		A. I think the implication is that he
6		had a discussion with Mr. Moyse and between the two
7		of them they established he had done some amount of
8		work or was doing inquiries into the transaction
9		that was announced.
10	145	Q. All right. And so to the best of
11		your recollection this discussion with Mr. Singh
12		would have occurred sometime between I gather June
13		24th and whenever your investment concluded in
14		Arcan?
15		A. Yes.
16	146	Q. Can you go back and make whatever
17		inquiries you would need to make and try to isolate
18		for me the date that you say this conversation with
19		Mr. Singh occurred?
20		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Would you have any
21		means to do so?
22		THE DEPONENT: I don't have it was a
23		verbal conversation, and I don't have an electronic
24		record of that conversation.
25		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay, and

1		MR. MILNE-SMITH: I don't think we can
2		do any better than that then, Counsel.
3		By MR. DiPUCCHIO:
4	147	Q. All right, well, can you ask
5		Mr. Singh whether he has a recollection as to when
6		this conversation would have occurred?
7		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'll take it under
8		advisement. I'm not sure, though, why it matters.
9		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
10	148	Q. All right, well, it matters to me.
11		And was there anything else said about
12		this work that Mr. Moyse had done on behalf of
13		A. Not to my recollection.
14	149	Q West Face? Okay.
15		Now, Mr. Singh's evidence in this
16		proceeding has been, and I can take you to it if
17		you want, but his evidence has been that he hadn't
18		seen a copy of the investment memos, the writing
19		samples, that Mr. Moyse sent to Mr. Dea on March
20		27th until after this litigation began. Are you
21		aware of that?
22		A. I'm not aware of the timeline.
23	150	Q. Okay. Just can we go off the
24		record just for one second.
25		(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)

1		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
2	151	Q. So what I am referring to, Mr.
3		Griffin, is a transcript of a cross-examination
4		that was done in relation to Mr. Singh on July
5		31st, 2014, and at page 13 of that transcript
6		Mr. Singh is giving evidence in relation to the
7		conversation that he had with Mr. Moyse to
8		reinforce the importance of confidentiality, and
9		then he is asked at question 42:
10		"Question: At the time, had
11		you seen the email in question?
12		Answer: No.
13		Question: You eventually saw the
14		email in question after you had that
15		conversation with Mr. Moyse?
16		Answer: I eventually saw it, but
17		only in the course of of this
18		matter.
19		Question: So only after
20		litigation commenced?
21		Answer: Exactly."
22		Were you aware of that evidence from
23		Mr. Singh?
24		A. No, I hadn't read the transcript.
25	152	Q. And are we agreed that the claim

1		was issued in this matter on June 25th?
2		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yes.
3		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
4	153	Q. And there was a motion record that
5		was dated June 26th?
6		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yes.
7		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
8	154	Q. Okay. So can we agree that
9		Mr. Singh would not have seen the email prior to
10		June 26th?
11		MR. MILNE-SMITH: We can agree that
12		that's what Mr. Singh's evidence was.
13		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
14	155	Q. Okay, fine. And so I guess my
15		question to you, Mr. Griffin, is can you explain
16		how Mr. Singh would have known that Moyse had done
17		work in relation to Arcan if he hadn't yet seen the
18		email?
19		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Sorry, at what point
20		in time?
21		MR. DiPUCCHIO: When he had this
22		conversation with Mr. Moyse telling him to stop
23		working.
24		MR. MILNE-SMITH: You are implying that
25		that conversation took place before the 26th, but

1		the affidavit says that Mr. Moyse's work was
2		performed sometime between the 24th and the 26th,
3		which implies that Mr. Singh's conversation with
4		him happened after the 26th, so everything is
5		consistent.
6		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
7	156	Q. Okay, so I'm asking you I guess
8		we don't know when that conversation occurred
9		between Mr. Singh and Mr. Moyse. I have asked you
10		for some information in relation to that.
11		But is the suggestion that that
12		conversation occurred only after Mr. Singh saw the
13		email?
14		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Sorry, I'm lost,
15		Counsel, and I don't know if the witness is.
16		Could you remind me which specific
17		conversation you are talking about now?
18		MR. DiPUCCHIO: I'm talking about the
19		conversation that occurred between Mr. Moyse and
20		Mr. Singh.
21		MR. MILNE-SMITH: That is referred to
22		in paragraph 55?
23		MR. DiPUCCHIO: That is referred to in
24		paragraph 55, yes.
25		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Okay.



1		MR. DiPUCCHIO: I'm trying to isolate
2		when this conversation would have occurred.
3		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Okay. Do you know?
4		THE DEPONENT: I don't recollect when
5		they had that conversation.
6		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
7	157	Q. And would you agree with me that
8		it would have had to have been sometime after
9		Mr. Singh saw this email?
10		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Which email?
11		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
12	158	Q. The email of March 27th.
13		A. I can only affirm what he has
14		sworn to in his testimony. I haven't read the
15		transcript.
16	159	Q. Well, if Mr. Singh hadn't seen the
17		email of March 27th, then he wouldn't know that
18		Arcan was one of the companies that Mr. Moyse had
19		done
20		R/F MR. MILNE-SMITH: Well, I'm sorry, I'm
21		going to object to that question because I don't
22		think the factual premise is correct. Someone
23		could have told him about it without him seeing it.
24		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
25	160	Q. Okay, did someone tell him about

1		it?
2		A. I don't know.
3	161	Q. And did Mr. Singh tell you, Mr.
4		Griffin, how he came to learn that Mr. Moyse had
5		done work in relation to Arcan on behalf of
6		Catalyst?
7		A. No.
8	162	Q. Has there been any search done of
9		any emails Mr. Singh may have sent to anybody at
10		West Face in relation to this matter, this
11		particular issue, Counsel?
12		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Not to my knowledge.
13		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
14	163	Q. Okay, if there is any email
15		traffic in relation to this particular issue, could
16		you produce it to me?
17		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'll take it under
18		advisement for obvious privilege reasons.
19		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
20	164	Q. So at the time that West Face was
21		preparing its motion materials in response to the
22		original injunction motion, I take it then that
23		both you and Mr. Singh, at least the two of you
24		were aware that Mr. Moyse had done work on behalf
25		of West Face in relation to Arcan?
	1	



1		A. When were the motion materials
2		prepared?
3	165	Q. Well, the responding motion
4		materials would have been prepared well after June
5		26th, 2014.
6		A. Would I be what I was informed
7		of from our counsel, Alex Singh, was that and
8		again, I don't recollect the time frame Brandon
9		could not be doing any more work on Arcan if I had
10		decided to assign it to him, and that was the
11		extent of the conversation.
12	166	Q. Okay. So when you say "any more
13		work", it suggests to me that there had been some
14		work done, right?
15		A. No, I think what I said was any
16		work of any kind associated with that file he could
17		not be involved.
18	167	Q. And did you say to Mr. Singh at
19		that time, what are you talking about, I didn't
20		tell him to perform any work?
21		A. Well, I don't actually recollect.
22		I took the instruction and drove on.
23	168	Q. So Mr. Singh would have had this
24		conversation with you about Arcan and you wouldn't
25		have bothered to mention to Mr. Singh that you only

1		sent him a for-information-only email and didn't
2		ask him to perform any work?
3		A. He may have asked me if I have
4		sent Brandon anything and he may have asked me to
5		produce the email that I sent, which was my work
6		product, and
7	169	Q. And did you do that?
8		A. I'm sure if he asked me for it, I
9		did.
10	170	Q. Okay, so there would be some email
11		traffic around this?
12		A. I imagine that there could be.
13	171	Q. Okay, so again, Counsel, this is
14		what is underlying my request.
15		MR. MILNE-SMITH: I think it falls
16		within the same advisement.
17		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
18	172	Q. And my original question, which I
19		can't remember now whether we got an answer to, was
20		can we agree that at the time that West Face was
21		producing its responding materials in relation to
22		the injunction motion, that at least you and
23		Mr. Singh were aware that Mr. Moyse had prepared
24		something in relation to Arcan on behalf of West
25		Face?



1		A. I don't think I became aware of
2		the file itself or whatever he had produced until a
3		later date.
4	173	Q. All right, so are you and I
5		understand what your evidence is in relation to the
6		fact that you say you have never seen what
7		Mr. Moyse prepared, but do you not agree with me
8		that you would have known from your conversation
9		with Mr. Singh that Mr. Moyse had done something?
10		A. No, the implication of Alex's
11		conversation with me was that regardless of, you
12		know, anything he may or may have not done, I could
13		not assign any work associated with Arcan in any
14		capacity to Brandon.
15	174	Q. Okay.
16		A. And I we didn't get into a
17		conversation of, as I recollect it, of whether I
18		had given him anything to do or whether he had done
19		anything of his own choosing on the file.
20	175	Q. Okay, and you don't recall
21		anything more in terms of the specific discussion
22		you would have had with Mr. Singh at that time?
23		A. I don't.
24	176	Q. And were you involved at all in
25		the preparation of the responding motion materials

1		in this matter?
2		A. No, I don't recall being involved
3		at all.
4	177	Q. Did you ever see the position that
5		West Face took in respect of that motion insofar as
6		it related to whether Mr. Moyse had done any work
7		in relation to anything that he had worked for
8		Catalyst on?
9		A. No, not at the time.
10	178	Q. Okay. Well, let me show you a
11		couple of things that were said by West Face, and I
12		just want to ask you to agree or disagree with it.
13		But let me take you, first of all, to
14		the factum that was filed by West Face.
15		MR. MILNE-SMITH: I think you'll have
16		to share that.
17		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
18	179	Q. Okay, yes.
19		First of all, I take it we can agree
20		that at no point in the previous proceeding
21		relating to the injunction did West Face disclose
22		to the Court that Mr. Moyse had done any work in
23		relation to Arcan on behalf of West Face?
24		A. I don't know. I wasn't involved
25		in the process at all.



1	180	Q. All right, Counsel, do you have
2		any different view on that?
3		U/T MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'll have to consult
4		with counsel who were on the file at the time.
5		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
6	181	Q. All right, and why did you
7		disclose it now in your affidavit?
8		A. I'm sorry, what?
9	182	Q. Why did you bother to put this
10		whole piece in about Arcan now?
11		A. Can you put the context I mean,
12		what is the specific question?
13	183	Q. Well, I take it let's take it
14		as a given that you hadn't disclosed it, that West
15		Face hadn't disclosed it in the prior proceeding
16		involving the injunction.
17		A. Uhm-hmm.
18	184	Q. And my question to you is why have
19		you put it in the affidavit now, in your affidavit
20		now?
21		A. At a much later date in the
22		process of preparing the affidavit there had been I
23		guess a discussion with counsel as to the contents
24		of that original email and what files were attached
25		to it, and I think we

1	185	Q. I don't want to hear about the
2		discussions with counsel.
3		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yeah, I mean,
4		Counsel, as you know, preparation of an affidavit
5		and content is a matter of strategic choice, and I
6		think all you can take from it is that West Face
7		determined the contents of the affidavit based on
8		the motion that had been brought.
9		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay, but I'm asking
10		why it was important for you to mention this piece
11		on Arcan now when it hadn't been mentioned to the
12		Court in the previous materials that were filed?
13		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Because it was
14		relevant to the allegations made by Catalyst in its
15		motion.
16		MR. DiPUCCHIO: And was there some
17		relevance to this motion that didn't exist in the
18		previous motion?
19		MR. MILNE-SMITH: I can't speak to what
20		was in the minds of the general counsel and
21		external counsel who were acting for West Face at
22		the time, and quite frankly, I wouldn't go into it
23		if I could.
24		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
25	186	Q. All right. And in the factum at

1		paragraph 39 there is an underlined portion, and it
2		is my underlining.
3		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Okay.
4		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
5	187	Q. Do you see there was a statement
6		made in the factum that was submitted to the Court
7		on the last go-around that:
8		"West Face was not involved in
9		any of the transactions that were
10		the subject of the documents
11		attached to the email and, as such,
12		had no use for the information
13		contained therein."
14		Do you see that, Mr. Griffin?
15		A. Yes.
16	188	Q. Now, I take it from your evidence
17		today that is not accurate?
18		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Well, hang on a
19		second. The tenses are actually important here.
20		"West Face was not involved". At the time the
21		email was received, West Face was not involved in
22		any of those transactions.
23		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
24	189	Q. All right, so is it your position
25		that that's an accurate and fulsome statement to



1		the Court?
2		A. Yes.
3	190	Q. And it is your position or your
4		evidence, I take it, that this wasn't misleading in
5		any way?
6		A. Well, it is not my statement, but
7		I will say that clearly the email that Brandon sent
8		with the materials that are referenced pre-dated an
9		announcement of a third party transaction that was
10		the subject of our investment.
11	191	Q. And as a matter of fact,
12		historically, as you have testified now, West Face
13		was involved in Arcan?
14		A. 2012, 2013.
15	192	Q. Right, so when we read into your
16		factum that "West Face was not involved in any of
17		the transactions that were the subject of the
18		documents", I take it what you are telling me is
19		what you really were saying to the Court was West
20		Face was not involved at the time the email was
21		sent in any of the transactions?
22		A. Well, I think what is being
23		referred to here, there actually were no prior
24		transactions to be involved in. This was a passive
25		investment position for the firm. The only



1		transaction that transpired was the announcement by
2		Aspenleaf that they had entered into an agreement
3		to acquire Arcan on the date that you previously
4		referenced.
5	193	Q. Okay, so prior to your disclosure
6		in this affidavit today sorry, prior to the
7		disclosure in the affidavit that was sworn in
8		March, there had been no disclosure of this Arcan
9		work that was performed by Mr. Moyse on behalf of
10		West Face?
11		A. I'm sorry, I don't understand the
12		question.
13	194	Q. Prior to your disclosure in your
14		affidavit sworn in March, there had been no
15		disclosure by West Face or Mr. Moyse for that
16		matter of work that had been done relating to Arcan
17		on behalf of West Face?
18		A. Which work product are you
19		referring to? That was attached to his email
20	195	Q. Well, whatever work product we
21		have asked for. No, the work product done on
22		behalf of West Face.
23		A. The only work product I was aware
24		done on behalf of West Face was that which I
25		produced for the firm, for Arcan.

1	196	O All right wall we have been
	1 1 2 0	Q. All right, well, we have been
2		through this on the cross-examination so I don't
3		want to go over it again, but you and Mr. Singh had
4		had that conversation, right, back in June?
5		A. Back about?
6	197	Q. In June, about the fact that you
7		were not to give Mr. Moyse any work in relation to
8		Arcan?
9		A. Yes.
10	198	Q. All right. But prior to you
11		swearing your affidavit in March of this year
12		A. Yes.
13	199	Q there had been no disclosure to
14		the Court or to Catalyst of any work done by
15		Mr. Moyse on Arcan for West Face?
16		A. I'm not aware of what was
17		produced.
18	200	Q. I take it that you agree that
19		confidentiality is important, right?
20		A. Yes.
21	201	Q. And certainly I think you have
22		said in your affidavit that West Face certainly
23		takes matters of confidentiality seriously?
24		A. We do.
25	202	Q. And you expected Brandon, I take

1		it, to live up to whatever confidentiality
2		obligations West Face had set out for him in his
3		employment contract, right?
4		A. Similar to all employees we hire.
5	203	Q. Would that include, for example,
6		if you were asking Mr. Moyse to research a
7		potential opportunity, investment opportunity on
8		behalf of West Face, would that include not
9		disclosing that to anybody?
10		A. Outside of our advisors and any
11		third parties that we are involved with, yes.
12	204	Q. So you wouldn't want Mr. Moyse,
13		for example, as part of his confidentiality
14		obligations to be telling unrelated third parties
15		about potential investment opportunities that he
16		was being asked to look into?
17		A. No.
18	205	Q. So would you consider that to be a
19		breach of his confidentiality obligations to West
20		Face?
21		A. Yes.
22	206	Q. Could I show you one email. I
23		think you mentioned actually in your affidavit that
24		one of the assignments that Mr. Moyse had been
25		tasked to work on during the time period that he

	was at West Face and I am just going to find it
	for you. This is page 58 of the record, your
	record, paragraph 10, was an opportunity in
	relation to TransOcean, right?
	A. Yes.
207	Q. And Mr. Moyse was asked to look at
	a short position with respect to a company called
	TransOcean?
	A. Yes.
208	Q. And that would be something that
	obviously you would want him to maintain
	confidential, right?
	A. You know, I think any of the
	discussions that we have internally regarding
	potential investment opportunities are things that
	we would like to keep within the firm.
209	Q. Right. Would it be troublesome to
	you if Mr. Moyse had turned around and, for
	example, once you gave him that work to do, turned
	around and told somebody on the street that you are
	looking at TransOcean?
	A. It depended on it would really
	depend on the specific counterparty to that. If it
	was an investment analyst who covers it, no. I
	mean, we frequently make inquiries of investment
	208



analysts. 1 2 If it is other shareholders who are 3 involved in the situation that we might want to 4 speak to to gain insight, no. 5 If it is parties that we think can assist us in our due diligence process that may 6 7 have knowledge of the company or the case, no. If it is, you know, other investors or 8 9 our limited partners, before we have properly 10 disseminated any notice of our involvement in the 11 situation, that would be more of an issue. 12 210 Okay, and typically if he is going 0. 13 to disclose things to third parties, the kinds of 14 third parties that you have described, would you 15 expect him to speak to you about that first or --16 No, I mean, we take it that people Α. understand what is generally appropriate and not 17 18 appropriate. We can't police everything. 19 211 And in this particular case 0. 20 relating to TransOcean where you were looking at 21 taking a short position, you say that Mr. Dea asked 22 Mr. Moyse to review quarterly reports, 23 presentations and conference calls of major oil companies for certain indications of risk in the 24 25 industry as well as certain information on

TransOcean itself, and I take it that was all 1 2 research that you were asking Mr. Moyse -- or Mr. Dea was asking Mr. Moyse to do in advance of 4 making a decision on whether to take a short 5 position? That would seem to be the 6 Α. implication, yes. 7 212 Is that fairly common; in other Ο. 8 9 words, to ask one of your analysts to do that kind 10 of research prior to making a decision whether to 11 take a short position? 12 It really depends on the case. Α. 13 213 Okay, how does it -- can you give Q. 14 me some situations where that wouldn't apply, for 15 example? 16 Well, if it is an event-oriented Α. 17 situation where we are trying to capture a 18 particular pricing environment for a security, high or low -- in the case obviously of a short, high; 19 20 in the case of a long position, low -- one of the 21 facets of the fund we manage in terms of our 22 strategy is that we are an event-oriented fund, and 23 so we often have to quickly respond to pricing 24 discrepancies in the market that are created on 25 either side.

And before we get too far advanced in 1 2 diligencing the company itself, I mean, we have a 3 general industry knowledge that allows us to apply 4 our sales to investment decisions. You are dealing 5 typically with finite and imperfect information at all times. 6 And depending on the size of the 7 position we initiate, the research that is put into 8 9 it at various stages in time will, you know, be 10 somewhat amorphous. There is never a set process 11 that we follow in every situation. Some cases are 12 very time-sensitive and they require decisions to 13 be made with less than perfect information. 14 214 Do you have any investment Ο. 15 policies as they relate to taking short positions? 16 Only insofar as we are permitted Α. 17 to. 215 18 Okay, then you wouldn't specify, 0. 19 for example, what had to be done prior to taking a 20 short position? 21 No, there is no hard and fast Α. 22 I mean, look, we -- again, the level of rules. 23 research done on any particular situation, the 24 amount of time that is available to do research on 25 a particular situation is often beyond our control,

dealing in public securities. 1 2 216 So in this particular case, he was 0. 3 asked to do a bunch of research as it related to 4 this potential opportunity? 5 Α. Yes. 217 Do I take it from that that there 6 Q. wasn't some kind of event-oriented rationale? 7 I don't know what the context was Α. 8 9 of Mr. Dea. You know, I'm vaquely familiar with 10 TransOcean's business. I don't know what the 11 context was other than what he references here 12 saying it was a potential short position, what the 13 nature of the request was that was made. 14 218 And this is I quess a more general 15 question as it relates to your affidavit, but 16 certainly you have, for example, in this case 17 indicated what Mr. Dea was asking Mr. Moyse to do. 18 I take it you haven't actually had a discussion 19 with Mr. Dea; you are reconstructing this from some 20 documents that you have seen? 21 When we -- I can't recall exactly Α. 22 how this got pieced together. At one point I would 23 have affirmed with Mr. Dea what is in here. 24 219 0. Okay. And I mean, generally 25 speaking, in regards to the portions of your

1		affidavit as they relate to the Wind negotiations,
2		one of the things you have said in your affidavit
3		is that you were initially responsible for the Wind
4		opportunity?
5		A. Yes.
6	220	Q. What time period were you
7		responsible for the opportunity?
8		A. I was involved from start to
9		finish of the file, but the team on the file grew
10		over time as we got further into it.
11	221	Q. So at some point did you have
12		primary responsibility and then you didn't have
13		primary responsibility?
14		A. We typically work in a
15		collaborative fashion at the partner level, so if a
16		transaction demands it, we put resources on it as
17		required.
18		So starting in November of let me
19		just get that
20		MR. MILNE-SMITH: November 2013.
21		THE DEPONENT: November 2013, I had the
22		original contact that I reference, and I was, you
23		know, primarily responsible for understanding the
24		nature of the opportunity and dialoguing with some
25		of the individuals that had been in touch with us,

1		advisors and Mr. Lacavera, and you know, we decided
2		over time how that opportunity needed to be
3		staffed, you know, whether it is analysts or
4		partners.
5		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
6	222	Q. And what about as things
7		progressed through the August/September 2014 time
8		frame, would you have considered yourself to have
9		been one of the primary people responsible for the
10		Wind transaction?
11		A. Yes.
12	223	Q. Who else?
13		A. Okay, Peter Fraser, my partner,
14		and Greg Boland and Yu-jia Zhu, our analyst.
15	224	Q. I understand from your
16		affidavit, and I think I'm understanding this
17		correctly, that Mr. Moyse is still on a leave?
18		A. I don't actually know. Is he
19		he hasn't been in the employ of the firm, but I
20		would say
21		MR. MILNE-SMITH: He is on leave, yes.
22		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
23	225	Q. All right, and do you know why he
24		hasn't been working at West Face since the
25		injunction expired?



	A. I don't. I haven't been involved
	in the discussions or management of that.
226	Q. Okay. And has there been any
	agreement in respect of that or have you spoken to
	your partners at all in respect of that?
	A. I haven't.
227	Q. Are there emails that exist that
	would explain why Mr. Moyse is not working there
	now?
	A. I have no knowledge of email
	traffic. I don't know.
228	Q. Counsel, if there is any
	information as to why Mr. Moyse is on a leave of
	absence that exists in emails between people at
	West Face, other than obviously their counsel, I
	suppose, would you produce those for me?
	MR. MILNE-SMITH: There are none,
	Counsel, because I can tell you it has been driven
	entirely by the lawyers.
	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
229	Q. Okay. Let's talk about Callidus.
	Now, first of all, Mr. Griffin, if you
	turn to the March 27th, 2014 email from Mr. Moyse
	to Mr. Dea which is at tab 13, obviously we have
	all seen this before. This is the email chain
	227

1		where the investment memos are forwarded. Do you
2		see in the middle of page 177 of the record there
3		is an email from Mr. Dea to Mr. Moyse on March
4		26th, 2014, where Mr. Dea writes:
5		"Hey Brandon.
6		Thanks. What is the name of the
7		Cerberus entity that Callidus is
8		modeled after?"
9		A. Yes.
10	230	Q. Do you have any understanding as
11		to why Mr. Dea was asking Mr. Moyse on March 26th
12		about Callidus?
13		A. I don't.
14	231	Q. And have you ever spoken to
15		Mr. Dea about that?
16		A. No.
17	232	Q. Now, you have set out in your
18		affidavit beginning at page 38 your evidence in
19		regards to Callidus, and just before we start
20		talking about some specifics, at paragraph 103 you
21		have said:
22		"Historically based on my
23		experience []"
24		And I just wanted to ask you following
25		from that statement what experience do you have in

1		asset-based lending?
2		A. I have experience in lending
3		generally, both to distressed and performing
4		companies, both public and private.
5		You could consider asset-based loans to
6		encompass a broad category. I mean, at its heart
7		every loan is, you know, on a secured basis is
8		covering assets of some form.
9		If you want to go to a strict
10		definition of ABL lending against very liquid
11		receivables, working capital, what someone like a
12		Wells Fargo might do or one of the Canadian banks,
13		I have had experience procuring facilities on
14		behalf of issuers that we were involved in where we
15		were junior in setting the terms with the company
16		in connection with those ABLs.
17	233	Q. Okay, and is that the experience
18		you are referring to here?
19		A. In part. You know, obviously I
20		have cumulative experience as an investor since
21		1997.
22	234	Q. And so would you agree with the
23		statement that it is typical of an asset-based
24		borrower to have unpredictable cash flows, losses,
25		high leverage?

1		A. It depends what investment
2		universe you are targeting. I would certainly say
3		if you look at the ABL books of the Canadian banks,
4		they are typically lending to investment grade or
5		rated issuers which, you know, don't necessarily
6		have that volatility in their business.
7	235	Q. Okay, have you had any experience
8		in asset-based lending other than in that
9		particular environment?
10		A. Yes.
11	236	Q. In other words, to distressed
12		companies?
13		A. To distressed, yes.
14	237	Q. Okay, and what is your experience
15		in relation to that?
16		A. Going back to a date I would have
17		to recollect, but we were involved in the
18		restructuring of the Saskatchewan Wheat Pool when I
19		was at one of my prior firms, and we negotiated an
20		asset-based lending working capital facility with
21		GE Finance that we sat junior to and we put up a
22		this was not at West Face but in a prior
23		capacity we put up a junior credit facility
24		concurrent with that. I was involved in the
25		negotiation of the terms with the company, and that

1		was a restructuring transaction for the balance
2		sheet.
3	238	Q. And was that entity in a
4		restructuring, a formal restructuring process?
5		A. Not a formal process.
6	239	Q. And what kind of security was
7		involved for the loan in that case?
8		A. Well, in the case of that company,
9		most of their security so for the ABL component
10		of the deal, it would be receivables, receivables
11		from customers, from the Canadian Wheat Board.
12		They would have inventories, obviously, of grain
13		that they would hold on behalf of their customers
14		that they purchased either in trust or on their own
15		account. Those would be the primary working
16		capital assets of the company.
17		The fixed assets of the company would
18		include predominantly grain elevators and other
19		processing equipment and processing facilities
20		themselves throughout
21	240	Q. And was the security for the loan
22		that you were involved in in that situation secured
23		by all of those assets that you have described?
24		A. The security for the GE facility
25		looked to the working capital of the company or the

1		liquid assets. Our loan looked to the plant,
2		property and equipment first, and then we had a
3		second lien on the working capital and GE had a
4		reciprocal second lien on the fixed plant or
5		equipment.
6	241	Q. And how did you value the security
7		that was being provided to you?
8		A. We did an independent financial
9		analysis. There were appraisal values associated
10		with the assets. They had actually gone through a
11		very recent significant capital expenditure program
12		to construct modern elevators in the Prairies where
13		these grain collection points were, so we had very
14		recent information on the replacement cost of those
15		assets. We had the financial statements for the
16		working capital associated with the appraisal work
17		that GE had done.
18	242	Q. And the appraisals were done by
19		third party appraisal companies?
20		A. I think GE had an in-house
21		appraisal group, if I recollect. They had the
22		field examiners and auditors and their own team. I
23		don't think they outsourced it.
24	243	Q. Do you remember on what basis
25		those appraisals were done?

	A. I think they probably not
	specifically. I could guess if you would like me
	to.
244	Q. Go ahead.
	A. I mean, typically the convention
	in the industry would be you are looking at orderly
	liquidation value of the inventory or market value
	or cost.
245	Q. Okay.
	A. And typically I would say that
	most of these lenders would cap their exposure to
	the lesser of one of those numbers.
246	Q. And that would typically be
	orderly liquidation value, do you know?
	A. It would be the lesser of the two.
	I mean, you know, in a declining grain price
	environment, it is entirely possible that the
	market value on the day in question would be less
	than that original cost, so typically they would
	cap it at the lesser of the two.
	They also have provisions which limit
	the extension of credit to each of the
	subcategories of liquid working capital. So for
	example, accounts receivable, there would be some
	measurement of how many days in arrears those
	245

1		accounts receivable would be. They would bucket
2		them into performing and non-performing. They
3		would then margin those receivables at a specified
4		rate.
5		With respect to inventories, they go
6		through a similar aging process, but then they
7		would also typically impose a sub cap on inventory
8		financing advances as part of the overall facility
9		and both percentage terms. So typically, the
10		inventory would be the more constraining of the
11		two.
12	247	Q. And that would be in order to
13		protect
14		A. Protect their principal at risk.
15	248	Q their principal which was at
16		risk, right?
17		A. Correct.
18	249	Q. And would you agree with me that
19		those appraisals would be key to the financing
20		transaction?
21		A. Yes.
22	250	Q. So in the case of Saskatchewan
23		Wheat Pool that you were involved in, was that a
24		case of a distressed company?
25		A. It had balance sheet stress. It
	I	

was not formally distressed. It had not filed for 1 2 any formal proceedings. 3 251 0. Did it have any cash flow issues 4 or was it experiencing losses or anything like 5 that? At the time, the company had gone 6 Α. through a major capital expenditure program and 7 there were two successive years of industry what 8 9 they considered drought conditions in western 10 Canada, and as a consequence of that, there was 11 some volatility in the pre-tax income of the 12 company. 13 252 Would you agree with me generally Q. 14 that asset-based lenders are lending on the basis 15 of the security that they have and not on the cash 16 flow of the company? 17 It depends on the nature. Α. 18 is a strict working capital loan as defined where 19 the borrowing -- so for example, a reserve-based 20 lending facility in an oil and gas company, 21 typically that is going to be indexed to the 22 independently appraised engineering value of the 23 reserves. 24 If it is like some of the other 25 situations we have been involved in, the



1		measurement of what credit is available is
2		constrained solely to margin rates on accounts
3		receivable and inventory, full stop.
4	253	Q. Okay. And do you agree with me
5		that when you are engaged in asset-based lending,
6		that if the lender defaults, your protection is to
7		realize on the security?
8		A. It could be. It really depends
9		on, you know, the perspective of the lender as to
10		whether there should be an immediate realization,
11		or if the industry conditions are poor, it may be
12		incumbent upon them to go through a more protracted
13		process to realize.
14	254	Q. Okay. And if there is remaining
15		value in the collateral that would exceed the value
16		of the loan outstanding, then would you agree with
17		me that there is no need to take a provision for a
18		loss in that case?
19		A. On what, though? How would the
20		value of the assets be measured, at cost or at
21		market or
22	255	Q. Well, presumably there is some
23		kind of appraisal that has been done, right?
24		A. Yeah, each of them would go
25		through their independent processes in terms of how

1		they determine, you know, what the coverage is.
2	256	Q. Right, and do you agree with me
3		that if based on the appraisal, and let's say it is
4		liquidation value, orderly liquidation value, that
5		if there is remaining value in that collateral,
6		that there would be no need to take provision for a
7		loss?
8		A. You know, sometimes yes, in
9		general terms.
10	257	Q. Okay. And do you agree with me
11		that for an asset-based lender an insolvency event
12		is not necessarily a negative event?
13		A. It depends again on the nature of,
14		you know, what you are calling asset-based lending.
15		So if you are lending against anything other than
16		the working capital of the company where servicing
17		of the obligations is dependent on cash flows,
18		where you are relying on something other than an
19		orderly liquidation of very liquid assets, I would
20		disagree.
21	258	Q. Okay, so I suppose you are telling
22		me it would depend on the nature of the loan
23		itself?
24		A. Yes.
25	259	Q. But in some cases, depending on

1		the nature of the loan, an insolvency event can be
2		a neutral event; is that fair?
3		A. I'm sure in certain circumstances
4		that could be the case.
5	260	Q. So let's talk a little bit about
6		your evidence in relation to when West Face started
7		to accumulate a short position in Callidus, and I
8		understand from your evidence that that occurred,
9		if you look at paragraph 118, at some point in
10		October of 2014; is that fair?
11		A. That's correct.
12	261	Q. And you say in paragraph 118 that
13		there was some kind of decision that was made by
14		Mr. Fraser, Mr. Boland and yourself prior to
15		actually executing on that strategy, right?
16		A. It was actually Mr. Fraser in
17		discussion with Mr. Boland who decided that.
18	262	Q. Okay, so you weren't making the
19		decision?
20		A. No, not at that time.
21	263	Q. But you say in your affidavit that
22		you had primary responsibility, so in what respect
23		did you have primary responsibility?
24		A. Well, I think what I am referring
25		to is when we both Peter and I were following

the situation since the IPO in April. We were both 1 2 generally aware of the activities of the company. 3 When we started to conduct -- I think what I say 4 here in paragraph 118 -- sorry, it is not 118. 5 MR. MILNE-SMITH: Actually, just to make sure the evidence is quoted properly, at 6 paragraph 1 Mr. Griffin says that he was the 7 primary responsibility for West Face's research 8 9 regarding Callidus Capital Corporation. 10 THE DEPONENT: Yes. 11 BY MR. DiPUCCHIO: 12 264 Okay, so --Q. 13 So my point was, you know, Peter Α. 14 and I had both been following it. When it came 15 time to do some significant research on it, I took 16 primary responsibility. 17 265 All right, well, let's break that 0. 18 down a little bit. First of all, what is the 19 process for getting approval to take a position 20 like this within West Face? What is the process? 21 Well, Greg and Peter are co-Chief Α. 22 Investment Officers and they have ultimate 23 authority to determine, you know, what goes in the 24 book or what doesn't, and so as between them, they 25 can decide, you know, what we take action on.

1	266	Q. Does that have to follow some kind
2		of formal process, or can they just decide one day
3		to take a position?
4		A. It really depends on the size of
5		the transaction. You know, I guess strictly
6		speaking it is only their approval that is
7		required. You know, we have an Investment
8		Committee that the four of us sit on, but it really
9		goes to the nature of the type of position we are
10		taking, the size of the position and if it is
11		something that is time-sensitive. You know, we
12		have a flexible investment mandate. We don't
13		always follow a linear process when we get involved
14		in an investment.
15	267	Q. So in this case, was this decision
16		made at the Investment Committee level?
17		A. No, I think I had indicated we
18		didn't have a formal Investment Committee meeting.
19		It was Peter and Greg that decided to initiate the
20		position.
21	268	Q. And you say that you and
22		Mr. Fraser had been following Callidus for some
23		period of time before this?
24		A. Uhm-hmm, yes.
25	269	Q. And would that have been after the

1		IPO?
2		A. Yes.
3	270	Q. And was it immediately after the
4		IPO that you became interested in Callidus?
5		A. I looked at the original
6		preliminary prospectus for the company, I looked at
7		the final prospectus, and I looked at the
8		presentation materials that they had publicly
9		filed, the research reports that had come out
10		subsequent to the IPO, and then any other quarterly
11		financial or annual financial statements that they
12		had published.
13	271	Q. Okay, what I am trying to
14		understand is was there interest triggered at the
15		moment of the IPO or was it triggered at some point
16		after?
17		A. We started following it at the
18		time of the IPO, so I would say from a time
19		investment standpoint, we had started tracking the
20		situation.
21	272	Q. And that would have been then in
22		April of 2014 or thereabouts?
23		A. Yes, whenever the preliminary was
24		filed and that would have been the first time.
25	273	Q. And what would have been involved



or what was involved in following it for that 1 2 period of time? 3 Well, it is, you know, I would say Α. 4 typical of other situations we are involved in, you 5 are keeping apprised of and you are reading the public disclosure on the company, any material 6 contracts they had published to SEDAR; you are 7 following the financial reporting; you are 8 9 following analysts' commentary or reports on the 10 company; you are following, you know, the 11 conference call transcripts, conference calls they 12 may hold, earnings releases, material 13 announcements, you know, really just what is on the 14 public record. 15 274 0. And was there internal 16 communications between you and Mr. Fraser or 17 anybody else at West Face in regards to these 18 matters following --19 Informally, we talked about it Α. 20 periodically. 21 275 So would there be some record at 0. 22 West Face as to when you first took an interest in 23 Callidus? 24 Α. I honestly don't know. There 25 could be. I think most of the conversations were



1		probably verbal. I mean, you know, I have
2		indicated to you that I started following it
3		personally when the preliminary prospectus was
4		published, so I don't recollect what the email
5		traffic was on it, if any.
6	276	Q. And why did you become aware of
7		Callidus? What made you aware of Callidus in April
8		of 2014?
9		A. Well, the company had conducted a
10		broad marketing process, and you know, we had
11		seen you know, we had been sent by investment
12		dealers involved in the IPO process the prospectus,
13		the marketing materials. We generally follow, you
14		know, new issues, secondary, primary, you know, any
15		other I mean, for this company or any other.
16	277	Q. Counsel, I guess if there is any
17		internal emails involving any of the West Face
18		personnel in respect of Callidus between April of
19		2014 and the time that it took its short position
20		in October of 2014, I would ask for production of
21		those emails?
22		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: Well, I'll take it
23		under advisement just because of proportionality,
24		but I will consider it.
25		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Well, there may not be

1		a huge universe of them from what we have just
2		heard, so
3		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Who knows.
4		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
5	278	Q. Who knows.
6		So do I understand well, let me
7		refine that request a little bit then, I guess.
8		I would also ask for whatever emails
9		would evidence this decision to make the investment
10		in October of 2014? So if there are emails, for
11		example, between Mr. Boland and others who were on
12		the Investment Committee or some such thing, I
13		would want to have production of those emails?
14		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'll take that under
15		advisement as well.
16		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
17	279	Q. Do I understand correctly from
18		your affidavit, Mr. Griffin, that there really
19		hadn't been any detailed research done by West Face
20		prior to taking a short position in Callidus?
21		A. Well, I would say we had done a
22		diligent review of the public record materials that
23		were available at the time. As I said, you know,
24		with a lot of these processes, these are things we
25		leg into over time, particularly in illiquid

1		securities. We are responding to events and
2		pricing in the market.
3		Depending on the size of the position,
4		we have, you know, long-term investment positions
5		that are significant quantums in our book; we have
6		trading positions which are numerous; we have
7		differing levels of research.
8		You know, using Arcan as an example,
9		there we have got a cumulative knowledge of the
10		company. A transaction is announced which creates
11		an event, and you are forced in a limited window to
12		respond to it.
13	280	Q. Right, and you forwarded an
14		analysis that you had done, and that date we saw,
15		right?
16		A. Uhm-hmm.
17		MR. MILNE-SMITH: You have to say
18		"yes".
19		THE DEPONENT: Yes.
20		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
21	281	Q. Sorry, you have to say "yes".
22		A. Yes.
23	282	Q. So in relation to Callidus, was
24		there any research done?
25		A. Yes.

1	283	Q. And is there a record of that
2		research?
3		A. I'm sure there would have been a
4		drive that we created to house or add to the
5		repository for the public information that we had
6		pulled down on the company. That is typically our
7		practice, so you know, the prospectus filing, any
8		presentations they had made publicly that were
9		circulated. There could be some notes, you know,
10		that we had prepared, I'm sure.
11	284	Q. Okay, and would that drive contain
12		any kind of financial analysis that would have been
13		performed by you or anyone else who was looking at
14		this opportunity prior to October?
15		A. I would have to go back and look,
16		but yes, assuredly there would be forms of
17		financial analysis. I mean, whether it was a
18		comprehensive financial model or notes or I
19		mean, you know, the analysis itself could take many
20		parts; there is both quantitative and qualitative.
21	285	Q. Well, I'm going to ask for
22		production of this drive or whatever exists on this
23		drive as it relates to the research that was done
24		prior to October of 2014?
25		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'll take it under

1		advisement.
2		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
3	286	Q. Am I right from your answers that
4		the short position wasn't accumulated all at once,
5		right?
6		A. It was done over time.
7	287	Q. And do you recall what time frame?
8		A. I think it began in October and
9		I'm trying to remember. I believe we were complete
10		or, you know, we topped out in terms of our
11		position sometime in December, if I recollect.
12	288	Q. Would there be trading records at
13		West Face that would give us some insight into the
14		time period over which you accumulated the short
15		position in Callidus?
16		A. Yes.
17	289	Q. Could you produce for me the
18		trading records that would illustrate that?
19		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'll take it under
20		advisement.
21		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
22	290	Q. And what was your total
23		investment?
24		A. Rough numbers, I think at one
25		point we were short in excess of a million shares.



1	291	Q. And I take it this was a slightly
2		different case than we saw with respect to the
3		example for TransOcean in that you didn't ask any
4		analyst to do research prior to taking a short
5		position?
6		A. We had another associate in the
7		firm who was also following it at the time, and we
8		eventually did get him formally involved.
9	292	Q. Who was that?
10		A. His name was Aland Wang.
11	293	Q. And Mr. Wang was an associate that
12		was working on the Callidus opportunity prior to
13		October 2014?
14		A. I think I don't recall exactly
15		the date when we got him formally involved. I
16		would have to go back and look. But at some point
17		he became involved in following it.
18	294	Q. Would you let me know, to the
19		extent it wouldn't be revealed in some of the other
20		answers, would you let me know when Mr. Wang first
21		became involved?
22		U/T MR. MILNE-SMITH: Well, we'll make best
23		efforts to find when he first became involved.
24		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
25	295	Q. And other than Mr. Wang, were

1		there any other investment associates who were
2		assisting in this opportunity?
3		A. No.
4	296	Q. Now, you have disclosed to us a
5		report, a research report that is dated March 2015,
6		and as I understood your previous responses, that
7		was the report that you assumed primary
8		responsibility for?
9		A. Yes.
10	297	Q. And prior to that March 2015
11		report, had there been any other reports prepared?
12		A. We had consistently updated our
13		work on the company.
14	298	Q. So are there other reports that
15		would pre-date March 2015?
16		A. Yes, and notes as well.
17	299	Q. Could you produce those for me,
18		please?
19		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'll take it under
20		advisement.
21		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
22	300	Q. And I take it that you are not
23		suggesting that the March 2015 report is certainly
24		the first report that you prepared in relation to
25		Callidus, right?
	1	

1		A. No.
2	301	Q. And did you share any of the
3		reports that were prepared in relation to Callidus
4		with third parties?
5		A. No, I didn't.
6	302	Q. Well, I know you didn't, but did
7		West Face?
8		A. Not to my knowledge.
9	303	Q. So none of the reports that were
10		prepared, whether the March 2015 report or any of
11		the prior reports, were shared with any third
12		parties?
13		A. Not to my knowledge.
14	304	Q. All right. Could that have
15		occurred without your knowledge?
16		A. I suppose it could have.
17	305	Q. Have you asked anybody internally
18		at West Face whether they shared the report with
19		third parties?
20		A. No.
21	306	Q. Could you make inquiries and let
22		me know whether the reports, either the March 2015
23		report or any of the other reports were shared with
24		third parties?
25		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Just while I'll take

1	that under advisement, but to assist me in my
2	deliberations, Counsel, can you advise me of the
3	relevance of that in the context of a motion where
4	the allegation is that Brandon Moyse disclosed
5	confidential information about Callidus?
6	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Well, because I think
7	that that would be extremely relevant to know if
8	that confidential information ultimately made its
9	way to third parties.
10	U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'll take that under
11	advisement.
12	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay.
13	MR. MILNE-SMITH: But we have yet to
14	learn what exactly the confidential information is
15	that Brandon is to have disclosed.
16	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Well, that is the
17	purpose of this proceeding, isn't it. That is the
18	purpose for the ISS request, Counsel.
19	MR. MILNE-SMITH: Sorry, let's go off
20	the record.
21	(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)
22	RECESSED AT 11:27 A.M.
23	RESUMED AT 11:44 A.M.
24	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
25	Q. All right, Mr. Griffin, at

1		paragraph 119 of your affidavit you have said that
2		the first step in your research was to try to
3		understand as much as possible the specific
4		composition of Callidus' loan book through public
5		sources of information, right?
6		A. Yes.
7	308	Q. So do I take it that prior to
8		engaging in your research, which occurred after you
9		began taking a short position, you didn't have any
10		knowledge of the specific composition of Callidus's
11		loan book?
12		A. No, that is not correct.
13	309	Q. Okay, tell me what is correct.
14		A. We had gone through the disclosure
15		that we had been provided by the company which
16		listed a series of indicators about the loan book,
17		how many loans were in the book, the size of those
18		commitments, the commitment size, the drawn amount
19		of those commitments
20		THE COURT REPORTER: Sorry, could you
21		repeat that? Did you say the "drawn amount"?
22		THE DEPONENT: Sorry, let me repeat.
23		I'll slow down.
24		The number of commitments, the size of
25		those commitments, the drawn amount of those



1		commitments, the origination date, and I believe
2		there was general information on the industry in
3		which the issuers or sorry, the borrowers
4		operated, provided in the public disclosure.
5		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
6	310	Q. And was that simply the IPO that
7		you are referring to right now?
8		A. That was a
9	311	Q. Being the prospectus on the IPO?
10		A. That was one part of it, yes. And
11		then we had also discovered through some of the
12		ongoing bankruptcy proceedings that were public on
13		the Industry Canada site that there were certain
14		specific loans that were under CCAA restructuring
15		processes that were named by name, amongst others.
16	312	Q. So that would have occurred prior
17		to taking a short position?
18		A. Yes.
19	313	Q. And so when you say the specific
20		composition of Callidus's loan book, what are you
21		referring to in your affidavit?
22		A. Well, what we were referring to as
23		much as possible is to try to understand who the
24		end borrowers are that are borrowing money at 20
25		percent rates of interest.
	I	

1	314	Q. So with the exception of the CCAA
2		proceedings that you were aware of
3		A. Yes.
4	315	Q did you have any other
5		knowledge of the end borrowers?
6		A. As we did our research,
7		cumulatively we developed what we thought was a
8		better understanding of who some of the historical
9		borrowers were that may or may not be part of the
10		book as it stood, plus some of the existing credits
11		that were in the book.
12	316	Q. Okay, sorry, I should have been a
13		little more precise in my question. Prior to you
14		initiating your short position in October of 2014,
15		did you have any specific knowledge of the
16		identities of the borrowers?
17		A. Certain ones.
18	317	Q. Right, and those were the ones
19		that we referred to that were in CCAA proceedings?
20		A. Yes, and I believe a couple of
21		others as well.
22	318	Q. Okay, do you know or can you
23		recall which ones they were?
24		A. I couldn't assign a chronology to
25		how we discovered them, but I could certainly name

1		some of the names that we cumulatively discovered
2		in our research.
3	319	Q. Okay, well, that I know from your
4		report. I was trying to get a sense of prior to
5		October 2014 which ones you would have known about.
6		A. I don't recollect specifically.
7	320	Q. And I suppose the only way we
8		might find that out is through an examination of
9		whatever files might exist prior to that time?
LO		A. Well, I think we did fairly
L1		exhaustive searches, internet-based searches and
L2		using publicly available sources, so you know, that
L3		would I suppose provide some trail of how our
L4		research was conducted.
L5	321	Q. Right, but that would have all or
L6		a large part of that would have occurred after
L7		October 2014?
L8		A. No, it started when we looked at
L9		the initial IPO prospectus, we found it peculiar
20		for a public company that we considered comparable
21		to a Business Development Company to have
22		absolutely no disclosure on who the end borrowers
23		were, specifically when you compared a BDC against
24		Callidus and said a BDC provides issuer names,
25		amounts, principal values, you know, whether the

1		loans are in default or performing. We found it
2		difficult to understand why the IPO prospectus did
3		not have more comprehensive disclosure.
4	322	Q. Okay, so you may have found it
5		difficult to understand that based on your
6		comparison to a BDC, but can you assist me at all
7		with when you became aware of the identities of the
8		end borrowers?
9		A. It was a cumulative process which
10		began after the IPO document was filed, because
11		that was the first time that we had any public
12		disclosure of what the loan book looked like at
13		all.
14	323	Q. And you are saying there would
15		have been searches done of publicly available
16		sources prior to initiating your short position?
17		A. Yes.
18	324	Q. And would those have been recorded
19		somewhere?
20		A. There were probably some notes
21		that we have in our files, either written or typed.
22		You know, to the extent there is an internet
23		browsing history, certainly there would be I
24		suppose records maintained of that.
25	325	Q. Okay, so I would consider that to

1		have been subsumed in the previous request that I
2		made, Counsel, and I understand you are taking all
3		that under advisement?
4		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: That is fair.
5		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
6	326	Q. So when you say in paragraph 19
7		that you were in your first step of your research
8		seeking to determine who the borrowers were, I take
9		it that really means to the extent you didn't
10		already have some information in relation to that?
11		A. We were really starting from the
12		incipient stages and said, okay, to approach this
13		research process, you know, what are the key
14		questions we are trying to answer? You know, that
15		is my approach, anyways.
16	327	Q. All right. And one of the other
17		things you were trying to do through your research,
18		and this is on page 47 of your affidavit, Mr.
19		Griffin, was to obtain details of the collateral
20		that was backing the Callidus loans, right?
21		A. Yes.
22	328	Q. And that obviously would have been
23		important because that would give you some
24		indication of whether there was any misstatement in
25		terms of potential loan losses or whether there was
	I	

1		any impairment of the loans, right?
2		A. I think it was important to
3		understand what collateral backs the loans, and I
4		think it was also very important for us to
5		understand whether the collateral backing the loan
6		was conventional, what we considered conventional
7		ABL collateral or unconventional ABL collateral.
8	329	Q. Well, help me out with what you
9		consider to be conventional and unconventional ABL
10		collateral?
11		A. Conventional ABL collateral, much
12		as I explained earlier in some of the examples I
13		provided, would be inventories and accounts
14		receivable.
15	330	Q. You don't include equipment in
16		that?
17		A. Our experience is that most ABL
18		lenders, a true definition of an ABL lender would
19		not look to the property, plant and equipment of a
20		firm as the primary source of collateral.
21	331	Q. And so would you consider
22		equipment to be unconventional collateral?
23		A. For an ABL lender, yes.
24	332	Q. So help me out with what you did
25		in your research to figure out what specific

2 A. Well, we it was a cumulat	· i v/A
	,
process where we tried to understand, starting	ng with
4 the CCAA filings for the companies that we ha	ad
5 found, what the composition of the asset base	e of
6 the company was.	
7 And so for example, Arthon Contra	actors
8 or Industries which we looked into, there was	a a
9 record in the Monitors' Reports of what the m	najor
components of the assets of that company were	, what
was underpinning the loan, and you know, we w	vent
through examinations similar to that.	
13 333 Q. Okay, so	
A. So as information was availa	able.
15 334 Q. All right, and so that is Ar	rthon
where there was a CCAA process in play.	
A. Yes.	
18 335 Q. What about in those situation	ns
where there was no CCAA process in play or Co	ourt
proceeding in play, how were you determining	the
collateral backing the loans?	
A. Well, I think we worked with	ı the
public record and our understanding of what t	he
diaglogumo mag: to the outent theme	
disclosure was; to the extent there was no	

1		the composition of the collateral was.
2	336	Q. Well, help me out with what public
3		record you would be referring to that would assist
4		you in determining the collateral?
5		A. Company websites, for example,
6		describing the nature of the business.
7	337	Q. And so something describing the
8		nature of the business is going to give you a sense
9		of the collateral backing the
10		A. Yes.
11	338	Q. Okay, how does it do that? Can
12		you give me an example?
13		A. Well, let me give you an example.
14		If you had a gaming company that
15		developed software for a gaming industry, such as
16		Blueberry Technology, one of the chief assets of
17		that company is going to be a non-tangible
18		intellectual property.
19	339	Q. Sure.
20		A. There is an example.
21	340	Q. Okay, and how does that assist you
22		in determining the value of the collateral backing
23		the loans?
24		A. It assists us in determining the
25		nature of the collateral backing the loans and

1		whether that collateral is fungible in our view, in
2		other words, readily can be readily turned into
3		cash and is standardized such as an account
4		receivable or a unit of inventory, or whether it
5		has liquidity attributes which are substantively
6		less liquid than those items.
7	341	Q. Well, presumably any business, any
8		conventional business might have inventory and
9		accounts receivable, right? You wouldn't need to
10		do a web search in order to figure out the nature
11		of the business to determine that they might have
12		accounts receivable and inventory?
13		A. Not all companies have inventory.
14	342	Q. Agreed.
15		A. Many companies have accounts
16		most companies have accounts receivable.
17	343	Q. Right, and so nothing in a web
18		search would assist you in that regard, right?
19		A. In terms of identifying whether a
20		company had accounts receivable?
21	344	Q. Yes.
22		A. If the company is public, it
23		would.
24	345	Q. Okay, and so tell me your process.
25		Tell me what the research was in order to determine



1		the collateral and the values of that collateral.
2		Not hypothetically. Tell me what you did in this
3		case?
4		A. Well, I think that is outlined in
5		the presentation that we put together.
6	346	Q. Okay, so take me to it. We are in
7		March, in the March presentation, as an example,
8		which is at Exhibit 46, and where can I find the
9		analysis, cumulative analysis that was done with
10		respect to the quality of the collateral or the
11		values of the collateral?
12		A. Sure. Well, let me use Arthon as
13		an example.
14	347	Q. Yes.
15		MR. MILNE-SMITH: That is at page 793
16		of the record.
17		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
18	348	Q. Right.
19		A. In this case, there was
20		information available on the borrower because it
21		was in a CCAA proceeding.
22	349	Q. Right.
23		A. We examined the Monitors'
24		Reports
25	350	Q. Right.

1		A which gave a detailed account
2		of the subsidiaries of the company that were
3		subject to the filing and those that were not.
4	351	Q. Right.
5		A. There was a description of the
6		assets that underpinned that company, such as the
7		Coalmont asset which was an inoperative thermal
8		coal mine in BC. It gave a description of an
9		aggregate deposit that was under a development
10		proposal or it was proposed to be developed in
11		Kitimat. It gave a description of equipment that
12		the company owned that had historically been used
13		for civil work, and it gave an accounting of the
14		borrower's efforts to monetize portions of those
15		receivables.
16	352	Q. Right, and that was all
17		A. Inventories
18	353	Q. That was all in the various
19		Monitor Reports that you would have reviewed as
20		part of that particular file?
21		A. Correct.
22	354	Q. And so we have talked about
23		Arthon, and we'll come back to Arthon. I don't to
24		touch off on that, but what other ones could you
25		point to?

1		A. Exchange Technology was a similar
2		one.
3	355	Q. And where is that?
4		A. The previous page.
5		MR. MILNE-SMITH: The previous page.
6		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
7	356	Q. Tell me about that one.
8		A. That was a situation where the
9		company had gone into a CCAA filing. There was a
10		similar listing of Monitors' Reports available.
11		There was an account in the Monitors' Reports of
12		the company's efforts to conduct a sales process.
13	357	Q. Sorry, so is it your evidence that
14		Exchange went into a CCAA process?
15		A. Well, let me just look here.
16		Sorry, a receivership application was
17		made to the Ontario Superior Court.
18	358	Q. Right.
19		A. They appointed Duff & Phelps as
20		Receiver, and the company hired KPMG to conduct a
21		refinancing solicitation process and also engaged
22		Canaccord Genuity at a later date to conduct a
23		refinancing solicitation process and sales process.
24		There was an accounting of the process that was
25		followed, the expressions of interest that were

	submitted. There was a discussion around the value
	of those expressions of interest.
359	Q. Okay.
	A. And there was a discussion around
	the value of those expressions of interest relative
	to the outstanding credit that was against the
	company.
360	Q. And what other examples do you
	have where you were able to determine collateral
	values?
	A. Leader Energy Services.
	MR. MILNE-SMITH: Counsel, I hope you
	are aware of this, but Appendices B and C give
	details of where the information was found and what
	the information was for every single one of these.
	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
361	Q. Right, and we'll come to that in a
	second. I'm talking in reference to the
	presentation in March. Is there anything about
	Leader in there?
	A. We do reference Leader.
362	Q. Where is that? I am just trying
	to
	MR. CARLSON: 773 maybe?
	THE DEPONENT: Yeah, I'm just getting
	360



1		to that.
2		Yes, it is 773.
3		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
4	363	Q. Okay, and where do I see your
5		analysis of the collateral in that case?
6		A. A synopsis is provided on the
7		right where it is indicated "Liquidation Analysis".
8	364	Q. Is that your analysis?
9		A. It is analysis that we prepared
10		internally with the assistance of our associate.
11	365	Q. Okay, and this was Mr
12		A. Wang.
13	366	Q Wang that you referred to
14		earlier?
15		And what is the analysis? Is the
16		analysis taking the net book value?
17		A. Well, there is a few parts to it.
18		The first part of it was understanding
19		what credit had been extended to Leader and who the
20		other credit counter-parties were to the company.
21		It was understanding what collateral
22		that loan was secured against, what other
23		obligations there were in the company.
24		We did some additional work around the
25		cash flow profile of the business and whether it



1		was, you know, generating or reducing its liquidity
2		over time.
3		And then what we have here is our
4		estimate as to what the orderly liquidation value
5		or market value of the equipment backing the loan
6		could be.
7	367	Q. That is your estimate?
8		A. That is our estimate.
9	368	Q. All right, you weren't able to
10		determine what others' estimates may have been or
11		what other appraisals may have been done or on what
12		basis the loan was advanced
13		A. None of that was disclosed.
14	369	Q. And is it fair to say that in all
15		of these cases that you have mentioned, at no point
16		were you able to obtain any information with
17		respect to the appraisals that were that may
18		have been used to make the financing?
19		A. Unless they were disclosed in one
20		of the Monitors' Reports, as an example, or
21		publicly disclosed by an issuer, we worked with
22		what was publicly available.
23	370	Q. And do you recall any of that
24		information being disclosed in any of the public
25		filings that you looked at?
	1	



1		A. Certainly in the Monitors' Reports
2		that we reviewed, there were discussions of
3		analyses that had been prepared. There were
4		discussions around bids that resulted from
5		processes like Exchange.
6	371	Q. And apart from those, were there
7		any examples where you had actual knowledge of the
8		appraisals that had been done?
9		A. You mean the internal appraisals
10		that Callidus had done as lender or
11	372	Q. Correct.
12		A. Unless they were publicly
13		disclosed, no.
14	373	Q. Now, this report, the March
15		report, Exhibit 46, who prepared it?
16		A. I worked on it, as did our
17		associate Aland Wang.
18	374	Q. Anybody other than the two of you?
19		A. At various points in time there
20		were, you know, versions of this document that we
21		had prepared that were reviewed internally.
22	375	Q. So is this some kind of final
23		version of a document that went through various
24		iterations?
25		A. Yes.



1	376	Q. All right. And when you say it
2		was reviewed internally, what do you mean?
3		Presented internally?
4		A. As our work advanced over time, we
5		presented our findings to our Investment Committee.
6	377	Q. And those would have been actual
7		presentations that were made to the Investment
8		Committee?
9		A. They could have been emails with
10		summarized facts. I don't recall ever making a
11		formal Investment Committee review until a much
12		later date. And you know, as the findings were
13		accumulated and parsed into this report, we did our
14		best to gather together the information that we had
15		found.
16	378	Q. Do you recall how many times
17		information would have been presented to the
18		Investment Committee?
19		A. I don't recall. Numerous times.
20	379	Q. All right. And you mentioned a
21		final, some kind of final presentation. When was
22		that?
23		A. I don't recall. Whenever, you
24		know, we finished a reasonably comprehensive cut of
25		the report that we prepared, one of those versions

1		was presented.
2	380	Q. Would it have been after March
3		2015?
4		A. After March 2015? No.
5	381	Q. Before March 2015?
6		A. Yes.
7	382	Q. Okay, and what was the purpose of
8		that presentation, what you call the final?
9		A. It was to discuss with the
10		Investment Committee the findings of the research
11		that we had compiled.
12	383	Q. For what purpose?
13		A. To further our knowledge and
14		understanding of the issuer.
15	384	Q. All right, and I guess I'm trying
16		to understand by that point in time, you have
17		already accumulated your short position, right?
18		A. All of this was sort of happening
19		in parallel.
20	385	Q. So are you saying to me that there
21		would have been presentations happening at some
22		stage between October and December?
23		A. Various forms of presentations.
24	386	Q. And after you and when I say
25		"you", I mean West Face. After West Face finished



1		accumulating its	short position, which I think you
2		told me was some	time in December of 2014?
3		Α.	Yes.
4	387	Q.	Were there further presentations?
5		Α.	We kept updating the presentation
6		materials as new	er information became available.
7	388	Q.	And what I am asking you is what
8		were the purpose	s for those presentations?
9		A.	More information to become
10		available that w	as not available in the earlier
11		iterations of the	e presentation we had done. There
12		had been addition	nal insolvency filings amongst the
13		borrowers in the	portfolio.
14	389	Q.	And so there would have been
15		information that	post-dated the accumulation of the
16		short position?	
17		A.	Yes.
18	390	Q.	And you were presenting that
19		information to p	eople on the Investment Committee?
20		A.	Yes.
21	391	Q.	And were you looking to get some
22		kind of direction	n from them?
23		A.	No.
24	392	Q.	So what was the point of
25		presenting it?	



1		A. Any time we have an investment,
2		once the investment is made, there is a cumulative
3		process of monitoring that position. And so the
4		work doesn't stop just because you have executed an
5		investment. You have to track it.
6	393	Q. Okay, so this was just simply
7		tracking the investment that had been made?
8		A. Yes.
9	394	Q. And there were no directions that
10		came out of those meetings?
11		A. No.
12	395	Q. So I'm probably going to be
13		repeating myself, but to the extent there is any
14		record of these presentations, various
15		presentations made to the Investment Committee and
16		any corresponding emails or things of that nature
17		that would have emanated from those, I would like
18		to see them?
19		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'll take it under
20		advisement.
21		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
22	396	Q. Now, at some point was there any
23		direction given by the Investment Committee with
24		respect to this particular short position?
25		A. By "direction", can you be a bit



1		more specific?
2	397	Q. Well, okay, let me be more
3		specific. I take it at some point you exited the
4		short position?
5		A. Yes.
6	398	Q. When did that happen?
7		A. I would have to review our trading
8		records to
9	399	Q. Okay, could you do that and let me
10		know?
11		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yeah, I'll take
12		that I think we already gave an advisement with
13		respect to the trading records, and so I think the
14		closing out of the position would fall within that.
15		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
16	400	Q. Okay, fair enough. Can you give
17		me a ballpark, Mr. Griffin, as to when this would
18		have happened?
19		A. I believe it was after
20		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Only if you know.
21		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
22	401	Q. Yes, a ballpark. I'm not asking
23		you to be precise about it. Was it four months
24		ago, two months ago, a month ago?
25		A. No, it would have been after the

1		company released its last financial results, which
2		would have been the Q4 year-end results.
3	402	Q. So that would have occurred
4		sometime I take it after March 31st?
5		A. It would have been, yes, yeah.
6	403	Q. So likely we are talking April?
7		A. Again, I would have to go back
8		and
9	404	Q. I mean, given that we are early in
10		May, it wasn't a week ago, right?
11		A. Yeah, again, I would rather answer
12		your question with the benefit of the actual
13		information.
14	405	Q. Would you have been the one giving
15		the direction to your trader?
16		A. No.
17	406	Q. Who would that have been?
18		A. That would have been either Peter
19		Fraser or Greg Boland.
20	407	Q. All right. Did West Face profit
21		from its short position?
22		A. Yes.
23	408	Q. How much did it profit?
24		MR. MILNE-SMITH: What is the relevance
25		of that?

1		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Well, it is ultimately
2		going to be relevant I think to a question of
3		damages, is it not? I mean, there is going to be
4		no surprise here.
5		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: I don't see the
6		connection frankly, but I'll take it under
7		advisement.
8		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
9	409	Q. Okay. So for example, if I look
10		at
11		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Sorry, just to be
12		clear, Counsel, let's also remember that this is a
13		cross-examination on an affidavit in the course of
14		a motion seeking injunctive relief. It is not an
15		examination for discovery on an action for damages.
16		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Understood. I think
17		that the benefit that was received by West Face in
18		having used confidential information, which was the
19		allegation
20		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Which we still
21		haven't seen any of.
22		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
23	410	Q. I understand the position you are
24		taking is relevant, but we can have that debate
25		at a later date.



1		So for example, I want to take you, Mr.
2		Griffin, to some of the examples in your March
3		presentation that refer to pieces of information
4		that would have post-dated your accumulation of the
5		short position, okay.
6		So for example, let's look at page 745
7		of the record. There is information there that as
8		of February 2015 Callidus has a gross loan book of
9		878 million. Obviously you would not have had that
10		information prior to February, right?
11		A. Correct.
12	411	Q. So for example, at page 747 these
13		charts as well contain information that would not
14		have been available between October and December
15		when you were accumulating the short position,
16		right?
17		A. Well, the chart on the right,
18		which is the gross yield of the portfolio, is
19		marked as of September 2014, so whenever the
20		company published its third quarter financial
21		results, that would have been disclosed.
22	412	Q. Likely it would not have been
23		October, though, right?
24		A. Correct.
25	413	Q. It likely would have been sometime

	in January of the following year would be my guess?
	A. There was discussion in the
	management discussion analysis which gives forward
	guidance with respect to assumptions and income
	generation of the portfolio
414	Q. Okay.
	A which pre-dated that as well.
415	Q. All right, and the chart on the
	left clearly includes information that would have
	been obtained subsequent to the accumulation of the
	short position, right?
	A. Not entirely.
416	Q. Well, current to February 2015
	information?
	A. So the 2011 gross loans
	receivable, 2012, '13, the IPO balances, the 2014
	year-end balance and then the 878 that is
	referenced for February '15, that would have been
	disclosed at varying points in time.
417	Q. And then, for example, if you look
	to page 755 of the record, there is reference right
	at the very bottom of the page to loans to Great
	Lakes Aviation and Midwest Asphalt that I take it
	Lakes Aviation and Midwest Asphalt that I take it you'll agree with me were originated most likely
	415



1		A. The origination dates of those
2		loans, if I recall correctly, Great Lakes Aviation
3		I believe is a public company. We would have been
4		relying on their SEC filings for that information.
5	418	Q. Right, but the loan was originated
6		on December 22nd, 2014?
7		A. That is what we have noted here.
8	419	Q. Right, and the loan in respect of
9		Midwest Asphalt was originated January 28th, 2015?
10		A. That is also what we noted.
11	420	Q. Right, so what I am suggesting to
12		you is those two loans were originated after you
13		took your short position?
14		A. Yeah, yes.
15	421	Q. Okay, so we'll just put that aside
16		for the moment.
17		Sorry, I just have a wrong reference in
18		my notes here, so I'm just trying to find what it
19		actually is.
20		Well, anyways, let me just ask you the
21		question. In your affidavit, you make the
22		statement that it appeared to you that Callidus was
23		overvalued?
24		A. Yes.
25	422	Q. Fair enough? When did you come to

that conclusion? 1 2 That was a conclusion that we Α. 3 reached over time. We thought at the IPO valuation 4 that the company was coming out at the multiples 5 were favourable/aggressive, and as the stock price increased from the IPO point, it became more 6 glaring. 7 423 Okay, and so are you able to put 8 0. 9 your finger on when you actually came to the view 10 that the company was overvalued? 11 Hard to pinpoint an exact date, Α. 12 but that is the position we developed over a period 13 of time. We didn't buy stock in the initial public 14 offering, so you know, that is one indication of 15 whether we thought the company was over or 16 undervalued. 17 424 Were you actually contemplating Q. buying stock in the IPO? 18 19 We had looked at the prospectus Α. 20 with, you know, no intentions at the time, really 21 just to understand the offering and the business. 22 425 At paragraph 110 of your Q. 23 affidavit, you make the statement that: 24 "[...] Callidus claimed in its 25 IPO prospectus not to have 'realized



1		losses on principal on
2		Callidus-originated loans.'"
3		And then you go on to say in respect of
4		the November 24th press release that there were
5		comments made about there being no loans that are
6		non-performing and no realized loan losses.
7		So I guess I wanted to ask you, are you
8		suggesting, Mr. Griffin, in your affidavit that
9		there has been some sort of material non-disclosure
10		of realized losses?
11		A. No, what we are stating here is a
12		restatement of what the company's disclosure is,
13		which is the paragraph highlighted
14	426	Q. But I take it you are not
15		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Just let him finish.
16		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
17	427	Q. Yeah, go ahead.
18		A. The investment deck that we
19		prepared obviously makes judgments as to whether we
20		think a loan will be recouped in its entirety or
21		not. That is part of the valuation exercise.
22	428	Q. Yes.
23		A. And we believe there are
24		instances, such as Exchange Technology
25		specifically, where auction processes did not yield
	1	



1		an asset value sufficient to recover the principal
2		value on the company's credit which had been
3		extended.
4	429	Q. Okay, well, let's
5		A. And that was
6	430	Q talk about Exchange in a
7		second.
8		A. And that was affirmed by
9		independent third parties who conducted the
10		auctions and reported by the Monitor.
11	431	Q. Okay, and we'll talk about that in
12		a
13		A. And similarly, in the case of
14		Arthon Contractors where the company had run an
15		auction process for the Coalmont mine, it had run a
16		process or considered running a process for
17		Sandhill Materials where they had endeavoured to
18		sell through a broker the equipment that the
19		company owned which was used for civil contracting.
20		There were affirmations from third parties as to
21		what values had been achieved or whether a sales
22		result had been achieved at all, and in the case of
23		Coalmont that was not the case.
24	432	Q. Well, let's talk about those two
25		specific examples.



1		Let's talk about Arthon, first of all.
2		But before I do that, could you just
3		answer my question. Is what you are suggesting in
4		the affidavit that there has been material
5		non-disclosure of realized losses?
6		A. I'm not suggesting that.
7	433	Q. So let's talk about Arthon, and
8		you were aware through your research, I take it,
9		that Arthon was in CCAA when Callidus became
10		involved?
11		A. That was reported. It was either
12		they they had an assignment of a loan from HSBC.
13		The exact dates, it was somewhere around December
14		of 2013, if I recall correctly.
15	434	Q. But certainly you understood that
16		the company was in CCAA before Callidus became
17		involved?
18		A. I believe that was the case.
19	435	Q. All right. And as you have just
20		mentioned a second ago, Callidus took over HSBC's
21		position as the senior secured lender in the the
22		DIP lender, right?
23		A. Yes.
24	436	Q. And were you aware of whether
25		Callidus in essence controlled the CCAA process

1		through its position as DIP lender?
2		A. I think they had a high degree of
3		influence.
4	437	Q. And I take it from your research
5		you would have been aware that Arthon has four main
6		subsidiaries, right?
7		A. Could you list them?
8	438	Q. Contractors, Equipment, Coalmont
9		and Sandhill?
10		A. That is my recollection.
11	439	Q. And Sandhill is the aggregate
12		deposit?
13		A. That is correct.
14	440	Q. And when you say in paragraph
15		12 and I am looking or should be looking at your
16		appendix now.
17		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Appendix C, I
18		believe.
19		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
20	441	Q. Yes, that is correct, Counsel.
21		When you say in paragraph 12 of
22		Appendix C that various entities went into CCAA, do
23		you see that?
24		"As a result, various entities
25		within the group, including Arthon

1		Industries, were forced to apply for
2		CCAA protection"?
3		A. Yes.
4	442	Q. Did you understand that to include
5		Sandhill?
6		A. No.
7	443	Q. So Sandhill didn't go into CCAA?
8		A. My understanding was it was not an
9		applicant.
10	444	Q. All right, and then at page 20 of
11		Appendix C, at the last line you say:
12		"By that time []"
13		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Sorry, you mean
14		paragraph now?
15		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Sorry, did I say
16		"page"?
17		MR. MILNE-SMITH: I believe so.
18		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
19	445	Q. Okay, I apologize. At paragraph
20		20, in the last sentence you say:
21		"By that time, Callidus had not
22		made any disclosures regarding
23		impairment of the loan."
24		Now, are you saying the loan was
25		impaired?



1		A. No. I'm just saying there had
2		been no disclosure of any impairment or any
3		substantiation of whether it was fully recoverable.
4	446	Q. Why would you say why would you
5		make a statement that Callidus hasn't made any
6		disclosure regarding impairment if you can't say
7		whether the loan was impaired or not?
8		A. It was a statement of fact.
9	447	Q. Okay, so it is just a statement of
10		fact?
11		A. Yes.
12	448	Q. And you didn't mean to imply that
13		Callidus should have been making some sort of
14		disclosure about impairment of the loan?
15		A. No, I think what we had discovered
16		in our examination was that the original intention
17		had been to sell the equipment in the company and
18		recoup some of the principal at risk, to sell the
19		Coalmont mine, and that had failed and resulted in
20		HSBC getting drawn on their 10 million dollar
21		subordinated commitment or LC, whatever you want to
22		refer to it as, that over time the company
23		continued to draw monies under the DIP financing in
24		increasing amounts, and even after the 10 million
25		was drawn from HSBC, that the advances kept

	accumulating to higher levels.
	And so we are not making a statement as
	to recoverability or impairment of the loan. We
	are just stating the facts of what has occurred as
	reported in the Monitors' Reports.
449	Q. And one of those facts which you
	chose to mention was that Callidus had not made any
	disclosures regarding the impairment of the loan?
	MR. MILNE-SMITH: We have covered that,
	yes, Counsel.
	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
450	Q. And you understood that part of
	the security for the loan was Sandhill?
	A. Yes.
451	Q. The assets of Sandhill?
	A. Yes.
452	Q. And Sandhill wasn't in CCAA,
	right?
	A. Correct.
453	Q. So you had no insight into the
	value of that security?
	A. There was discussion in the
	Monitors' Reports as to a process that they had
	embarked upon with the same advisor who had advised
	them on the Coalmont process where there was
	450 451 452



financial modelling work that had been done to 1 assess the immediate sale value of Sandhill, and 2 there was a statement in the Monitors' Reports, if 4 I recall correctly, that said that value on an 5 immediate basis would be potentially insufficient to cover the Callidus and HSBC credit facilities. 6 There was an alternative scenario 7 modelled which was a development scenario, and that 8 9 was perceived to yield better values but it would 10 be contingent on the investment of capital and 11 procurement of capital and that is what we 12 understood to have been examined in the context of 13 Sandhill. 14 454 Okay, so other than what you have Ο. 15 just mentioned in terms of the Monitor saying that 16 the Sandhill -- the value of Sandhill was 17 potentially insufficient, was there any work you 18 did independent of that to try to --19 We looked at what publicly Α. 20 available information there was on Sandhill, 21 whether it was operating, whether it was 22 inoperative, did it need capital, was it permitted, 23 what information we could find publicly. 24 455 Q. Okay, and what did you conclude? 25 The permitting of the project we Α.



weren't able to determine. I think we were able to 1 2 determine that the project was in need of capital 3 for development. We were able to determine where 4 it was located. There was some discussion in the 5 Monitors' Reports around the plans for Sandhill longer term in terms of its intention to service 6 LNG Development in the immediate area, and that the 7 company if it were to pursue anything other than 8 9 the immediate liquidation scenario or sales 10 scenario was examining alternative forms of 11 financing to pursue that project. 12 456 All right, but you never did an 0. 13 appraisal yourself of the value of the assets of 14 Sandhill? 15 Α. We didn't, no. 16 457 You didn't have any information in 0. 17 order to be able to do that, right? We had -- what information we 18 19 reference is really what the Monitor and their 20 financial advisor, MNP, the financial advisor had 21 prepared, which was an immediate estimation of the 22 sale value of the asset and their commentary, which 23 was enclosed. 24 458 Q. Right, and you certainly didn't 25 have a copy of any appraisal that would have been



	in the possession of Catalyst, for example or
	Callidus, rather?
	A. No, we did not.
459	Q. And as I understand it, through
	that CCAA process the parent company in essence
	sold off the bad asset, right, which was Coalmont?
	A. No, they ran an unsuccessful
	process for Coalmont that didn't yield any results.
460	Q. Okay. And so what ended up
	happening with Coalmont?
	A. I believe they assigned it into
	bankruptcy and they assumed some of the assets.
	One of the assets of Coalmont which they said was
	the most material according to the Monitors'
	Reports was a coal-washing plant facility, and my
	understanding was that was assumed by the
	continuing entities to which Callidus had extended
	credit.
461	Q. And were the unsecured creditors
	shed through the CCAA process?
	A. I believe so.
462	Q. And the Sandhill asset was
	essentially retained, right?
	A. That is correct.
463	Q. And so Arthon, the parent company,
	461 462

1		emerged from the CCAA process ultimately, right?
2		A. That is our understanding.
3	464	Q. And if I suggested to you that
4		Callidus is fully secured on its loan, would you
5		have any information that you could point me to to
6		suggest otherwise?
7		A. Well, other than what I have
8		referenced cumulatively in our discussion here,
9		that was the information that we had access to.
LO	465	Q. Okay, and can you point me to any
L1		evidence that the loan is non-performing?
L2		A. Well, we made an estimation of
L3		what we thought the underlying collateral value of
L4		the assets were underpinning the loan and whether
L5		that value would be recovered immediately or over
L6		time, whether it was ascertainable. As to whether
L7		it is performing on interest service obligations
L8		and current, I presume it is, as it hasn't been
L9		discussed in any other context.
20	466	Q. You don't know one way or the
21		other?
22		A. Well, I guess I assume by virtue
23		of the public disclosure of Callidus that it is not
24		non-performing, as they define it.
25	467	Q. And certainly you have no reason

1		to challenge that?
2		A. I'm taking it on faith what the
3		publicly disclosed information includes.
4	468	Q. Okay. And the other one you
5		mentioned just a second ago that we were talking
6		about was what was the other example you gave?
7		MR. MILNE-SMITH: It was Exchange, I
8		believe.
9		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
10	469	Q. Exchange, I believe, yeah, okay.
11		We'll talk about Exchange in a second.
12		So let's just continue going through
13		some of the analysis you did, because you have
14		included many exhibits that relate to the research
15		that you conducted after you took the short
16		position, right?
17		A. No, these are this is research
18		that is really a culmination of everything we had
19		done.
20	470	Q. Okay, let me just I want to
21		take you, and it will be very quick, but I just
22		want to do this, so it may help to have all the
23		volumes in front of us.
24		MR. MILNE-SMITH: I have got them.
25		Where would you like to start?



1		MR. DiPUCCHIO: 54.
2		MR. WINTON: Volume 3.
3		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
4	471	Q. So this is one of the documents
5		that would have been generated through your
6		research?
7		A. Yes.
8	472	Q. Okay, and that looks to me like it
9		was a document that was accessed or created on
10		January 27th, 2015; is that fair?
11		A. For the purposes of inclusion in
12		my affidavit it was, but it was not originally
13		viewed on that date.
14	473	Q. Okay, so you are suggesting that
15		the because we are going to have several
16		examples of this if we go through each and every
17		one, but maybe to short-circuit this, you'll agree
18		with me that many of the exhibits that you have
19		appended to your affidavit would bear a date in
20		January or February of 2015?
21		A. Yes.
22	474	Q. Okay, reflecting the date that
23		they were created?
24		A. No.
25		MR. MILNE-SMITH: No.

1		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
2	475	Q. No, I was just I hadn't
3		finished my question. Reflecting the date that
4		they were created you say for the purpose of
5		inclusion in your affidavit?
6		A. For purposes of inclusion in the
7		affidavit only, yes.
8	476	Q. And where would I find the
9		original information?
10		A. Well, these are all publicly
11		maintained websites. Anyone can access them.
12	477	Q. So are you suggesting that none of
13		this would have been printed out for the purposes
14		of your research?
15		A. Some of it may have been.
16	478	Q. Okay, and the stuff that was
17		printed out, is there any reason why we don't have
18		the originals and we have some copies that were
19		generated afterwards?
20		A. Only because, you know, the
21		informational content is identical, unless the CIPO
22		has updated their site subsequent to us originally
23		looking at it.
24	479	Q. So what you are saying is that
25		most of what you have reproduced in your affidavit



1		that would have been produced for the purposes of
2		the affidavit would exist in hard copy somewhere?
3		A. No, I'm not suggesting that.
4	480	Q. Okay, so we
5		A. We didn't print
6	481	Q. You just would have looked at it
7		on a website?
8		A. Sometimes.
9	482	Q. But some of it may be in hard
10		copy?
11		A. Entirely possible.
12	483	Q. Okay, so I take it that is going
13		to be captured in whatever response you give me in
14		respect of this hard drive that was created for
15		this purpose, right?
16		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yes, I'll take under
17		advisement anything to do with printouts of
18		publicly available information reviewed in respect
19		of Callidus.
20		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay.
21		MR. MILNE-SMITH: I don't think it
22		would be on the drive because the drive is
23		electronic. We are talking about a hard drive.
24		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
25	484	Q. Okay, I hadn't understood how

exactly this drive was maintained. I thought maybe 1 2 you would have copies, hard copies of documents 3 that would be scanned in and kept on a hard drive, 4 but maybe I'm wrong. 5 So is there a paper file that would exist in relation to the research that was done in 6 7 regards to Callidus? There will certainly be some paper 8 Α. 9 There will be some electronically stored records. 10 documents, and some of what we have done is, you 11 know, in this case we may have printed some of 12 the -- you know, in this case the CIPO data that we 13 may have printed, Monitors' Reports, and we may 14 have viewed them electronically. There is no, you 15 know, necessary process to outline in terms of what 16 we print or don't print. 17 485 So it is all encompassed in the Q. 18 same request, I take it, right? 19 MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yes. 20 BY MR. DiPUCCHIO: 21 486 And you know, Mr. Griffin, that --0. 22 so that is short-circuited, that whole line of 23 inquiry, so we don't have to go through, you'll 24 happily note, we don't have to go through all of 25 the various exhibits that were appended.



1		So, Mr. Griffin, you are aware through
2		the prospectus that was filed in the IPO of
3		Callidus that Callidus did have loan loss
4		provisions, right?
5		A. Yes.
6	487	Q. And you understood that those loan
7		loss provisions at the time of the IPO were about 6
8		million dollars?
9		A. I don't recall.
10	488	Q. And if you look at page 612 of
11		your motion record, so that would be probably
12		Volume 2.
13		MR. MILNE-SMITH: So this is tab 33?
14		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Correct, Exhibit 33.
15		MR. MILNE-SMITH: And this is the
16		Callidus Capital Corporation prospectus for the
17		initial public offering dated April 15, 2014.
18		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
19	489	Q. Correct, which I think you have
20		mentioned you would have reviewed, right?
21		A. Yes.
22	490	Q. So you would have been aware,
23		looking at Note 6, that the company said that as at
24		December 31, 2013, it had an allowance for loan
25		losses of approximately \$10,176,000



	A. I thought you had earlier asked me
	about a 6 million dollar loan loss provision.
491	Q. Yeah, I thought that that was I
	had a note of a 6 million
	A. Which number do you want me to
	refer to?
492	Q. At page 593 I have got a record
	of it, and it is page 593. So it would be the same
	document.
	A. You may be confused between a
	current provision in the quarter and a cumulative
	provision.
493	Q. Yes, right. I think that's the
	A. Which one would you like me to
	address?
494	Q. Well, let's look at the one on
	Note 6. That is a cumulative provision, right?
	A. That is a cumulative provision.
495	Q. So you were aware and when I
	mentioned 6 million, I apologize, that was my
	mistake in my notes. But you were aware that as of
	the end of 2013 that Callidus was reporting that it
	had a cumulative loan loss provision in excess of
	10 million dollars?
	A. That is what the financial
	492



1		statements indicate.
2	496	Q. Okay. And you also saw the
3		statement that the loan loss allowances were offset
4		against loan receivables?
5		A. Can you refer me to that, please?
6	497	Q. Yes, in Note 6, loan losses of
7		\$10,176,000
8		A. Yes.
9	498	Q which was offset against loans
10		receivable?
11		A. Yes.
12	499	Q. Were you aware of that?
13		A. Yes.
14	500	Q. And there were no direct
15		write-offs for 2013? Did you see that in Note 6?
16		A. Can you refer me to that?
17	501	Q. Right at the bottom.
18		A. Yes.
19	502	Q. And you are not suggesting in any
20		of your evidence that any of this is incorrect?
21		A. I have no knowledge whether it is
22		or not. I'm not making that suggestion.
23	503	Q. All right. Bear with me for a
24		second.
25		A. I would indicate, though, however,

1		that the accounting for provisions subsequently
2		changed in the fourth quarter of 2014.
3	504	Q. Okay.
4		A. And that the company's
5		presentation of those losses changed to include the
6		guarantee that was provided by Catalyst to the
7		Callidus vehicle.
8	505	Q. And you have no reason to question
9		anything the company said in that regard?
10		A. I am just going on the public
11		information that is available.
12	506	Q. All right. One second as I review
13		my notes.
14		Do I take it in your research, because
15		you refer in your affidavit to searches that are
16		done on law firm websites and case dockets of
17		ongoing bankruptcy proceedings and things of that
18		nature, do I take it that in your research we might
19		see evidence of that as well?
20		A. Yes.
21	507	Q. Do you recall specifically where
22		you would have looked to do that research?
23		A. Yes, it was all internet-based
24		research predominantly.
25	508	Q. And do you recall any specifics?

1		A. Yes, we would have done typically
2		Google searches using various search syntaxes,
3		which include different search terms in the
4		strings, too numerous to list.
5		There were indications of, you know,
6		that pop-up on those searches that we would then
7		drill down into; so as an example, if a law firm
8		had worked on a transaction that one of the lawyers
9		had mentioned in their deal history publicly for
10		Callidus, we found evidence of transactions that
11		were not discussed in the public disclosure with
12		Callidus at the IPO point that were available in
13		other capacities.
14	509	Q. And is this all being done via
15		Google searches?
16		A. Yes.
17	510	Q. And you are just typing in search
18		parameters?
19		A. That's correct.
20	511	Q. Search terms, basically?
21		A. Yeah, the other tool we have
22		available to us is Bloomberg.
23	512	Q. Yes.
24		A. And you could perform similar
25		search functions on a Bloomberg terminal, not quite

1		as robust.
2	513	Q. Okay. And you mention in your
3		affidavit, and it is at paragraph 123 if you want
4		to have it in front of you, but you mention that
5		there are other internal methods that you use?
6		A. Sorry, can you refer me to the
7		paragraph?
8	514	Q. 123.
9		MR. MILNE-SMITH: It is page 48 of the
10		record. It's right there.
11		THE DEPONENT: Yes.
12		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
13	515	Q. And what would those internal
14		methods be?
15		A. Well, I think it is really largely
16		what we have outlined here, so looking at the
17		Industry Canada website which has a listing of
18		outstanding CCAA applications, looking at the
19		U.S
20	516	Q. Are those searchable?
21		A. You have to there is a listing
22		and there is usually links to the Monitors'
23		websites.
24	517	Q. Right.
25		A. And then once you are in the

1		Monitors' websites, you can open documents and word
2		search a lot of them, as they are either PDF or
3		typically PDF documents.
4	518	Q. And that is what you did here?
5		That is what is involved here?
6		A. In part.
7	519	Q. And what other internal methods
8		were you referring to?
9		A. There is the U.S. Pacer System
10		which has Bankruptcy Court docs which is actually a
11		more searchable universe, so you can create news
12		alerts within the Pacer System, from what I
13		understand, and that will prompt you with
14		information on specific cases or topics.
15		We looked through law sites of major
16		sorry, the websites of major Canadian law firms,
17		you know, Stikeman, Elliott, Davies, Aird & Berlis,
18		BLG, Fasken Martineau, Cassels Brock, any company
19		that, you know, we think could have been an agent
20		or advisor, to see if there was any mention of
21		transactions.
22		We looked at the case dockets of
23		ongoing U.S. bankruptcy proceedings in various
24		jurisdictions, most of which was sourced through
25		Pacer.



1		We looked through the Intellectual
2		Property Office websites in Canada and the U.S., so
3		any time a lien had been created against
4		intellectual property, there was a record of when
5		that lien had been registered and/or discharged and
6		the counter-party to whom it had been registered.
7	520	Q. So those are all the internal
8		methods you are referring to?
9		A. Yeah, we looked at SEC filings.
10		You know, I think in the case of a company like
11		Great Lakes Aviation, which if I recollect properly
12		is public and a reporting issuer in the U.S., we
13		would have looked at their EDGAR filings. If a
14		company was public, like Leader Energy Services or
15		North American Tungston, we would have looked at
16		their public disclosure.
17	521	Q. And this was all you and Mr. Wang
18		who were performing this research?
19		A. Yes.
20	522	Q. Do you have a sense of how many
21		hours you would have spent doing this?
22		A. We don't keep a docket like a
23		lawyer does, but we it would have been
24		substantive.
25	523	Q. Weeks of your time?



1		A. Cumulatively, yes.
2	524	Q. And you identify in your affidavit
3		six loans which were of particular interest to you,
4		right, in your research, through your research?
5		A. What page are you on?
6	525	Q. I'm on page 49 of the record, of
7		your affidavit.
8		A. So you are referring to Exchange
9		Technology, Arthon, Leader, North American
10		Tungston, Esco and Deepak?
11	526	Q. Right.
12		A. Yes.
13	527	Q. And those were the ones you say
14		you found information that raised significant
15		concern in your mind about the ability of the
16		borrower to ultimately repay the debt, right?
17		A. It goes beyond that. I think we
18		found in our examination an understanding of
19		collateral that we would be that we would
20		consider at West Face to be atypical of asset-based
21		lending collateral as we conventionally would
22		describe it. That is particularly the case in
23		something like North American Tungston and Deepak
24		International which had no operating business.
25		And we also



1 528 0. Sorry, sorry, you say it is 2 unconventional why? 3 Well, if we go back to what I had Α. 4 originally stated and you had asked me about how I -- or what I believe an ABL loan constitutes in 5 its strictest definition, asset-based lending at 6 its core is basically lending against the most 7 liquid assets of a company. You are not making 8 9 enterprise loans. You are not making cash flow 10 You are not making loans whose recovery 11 value is contingent on the liquidation of 12 non-fungible, non-liquid property, property which 13 would be classified not as a current asset of a 14 company but rather a long-term asset, so as the 15 case of Coalmont, like a wash plant, that would be 16 booked as property, plant and equipment. 17 You know, in the case of -- you know, 18 so that is what we were trying to ascertain is what is the nature of the collateral backing these 19 20 loans, who are the borrowers, and not just is there 21 evidence of a current impairment but is there a 22 potential for future difficulties in recovering the 23 principal that has been extended on these loans. 24 529 Q. And that is again going back to 25 our discussion where in your view a conventional

1		asset-based lender is lending as against inventory
2		and receivables?
3		A. Yes, in the strictest definition.
4	530	Q. And when you say the "strictest
5		definition", what are you referring to?
6		A. Well, I would say the vast
7		preponderance of ABL lenders that we have worked
8		with in Canada, Wells Fargo, CIT, CIBC asset-based
9		lending, typically their loans are going to be
10		confined to accounts receivable and inventories and
11		their borrowing bases defined on that.
12		To the extent they take collateral
13		charges over plant, property and equipment, they
14		are usually in a second capacity, not as a primary
15		collateral.
16	531	Q. Right, and did you understand from
17		the prospectus that you read in regards to Callidus
18		that this wasn't a conventional asset-based lender?
19		A. I think there were important
20		differences, in our view.
21	532	Q. Okay, but did you understand that
22		they themselves were not holding themselves out to
23		be a conventional asset-based lender?
24		A. I don't know.
25	533	Q. Did you know on average what kind

1		of interest rates they were charging for their
2		loans?
3		A. Yes.
4	534	Q. And was it quite high?
5		A. It was very high.
6	535	Q. Right, and is that consistent with
7		what you would view to be the business of a
8		conventional asset-based lender?
9		A. No, I think if you asked me my
10		view, I would say that the collateral that they
11		were taking interest in was atypical of what we
12		would call an ABL lender and that the rates of
13		interest being charged were materially higher than
14		other lenders that we have seen in the market, both
15		secured and unsecured of any form.
16	536	Q. Right, so you understood that the
17		interest rates that were being charged were much
18		higher than would otherwise be charged in these
19		traditional situations that you are talking about?
20		A. That certainly seemed to be the
21		case.
22	537	Q. And why did you think that was?
23		A. Well, I think as you move out on
24		the risk spectrum in the investment industry,
25		typically rates of return move higher.



1	538	Q. So let's talk a little bit about
2		the six loans that were of particular interest to
3		you. We have talked about Arthon already, and so
4		we won't repeat what we have said about Arthon.
5		And in regards to Exchange, did you
6		understand through your research that Callidus had
7		actually initiated the receivership proceedings?
8		A. Yes, I think we previously
9		established that.
10	539	Q. Okay, and instead of going through
11		a liquidation, what ultimately happened is that
12		Callidus bought the asset using a credit bid,
13		right?
14		A. That is our understanding.
15	540	Q. And did you understand, Mr.
16		Griffin, that as a result of that transaction in
17		the receivership process that the loan and any
18		associated loan loss provision would have moved off
19		the loan portfolio to a separate entry on the
20		balance sheet?
21		A. Sorry, on the Callidus balance
22		sheet?
23	541	Q. Yes.
24		A. I think at a future date they
25		ended up classifying it as an asset held for

1		sale
2	542	Q. Right.
3		A at Q4, and the loan balance had
4		an exposure, had increased materially from what the
5		last reported balance was in the Monitors' Report,
6		north of 60 million dollars, if I recall correctly.
7	543	Q. Right, so did you understand then
8		that from a balance sheet perspective that it moved
9		off into a separate area of the balance sheet?
10		A. As they reported it and it
11		appeared in their footnotes.
12	544	Q. And it was at that stage
13		considered to be an asset held for sale, as you
14		have said?
15		A. Yes.
16	545	Q. And if that asset gets sold for
17		more than the value of the loan, then there would
18		be a gain, and if it gets sold for less than the
19		value of the loan, then it would be a loss?
20		A. Correct.
21	546	Q. Is that fair? And do you know
22		whether any of that information is audited?
23		A. That would depend on whether it is
24		in the Q4 financial statements or which would be
25		year-end audited results or the quarterlies which



would be unaudited. 1 2 547 Did you have any information one 0. 3 way or the other as to whether that was an audited 4 figure? I can't recall where it first 5 Α. appeared as an asset held for sale. 6 7 548 And certainly you have no insight Q. ultimately as to whether there would be a loss or 8 9 not on this loan, right? 10 Well, I would say that during the Α. 11 pendency of the process, I quess receivership 12 process as we have established, there was a 13 comprehensive auction process run by Canaccord 14 Genuity and KPMG, and the only bid that surfaced 15 for the company was a bid, if I recall correctly, 16 of 17 million dollars which was contingent and did 17 not close. 18 So I guess what I would suggest to you 19 is that in a process where 56 parties were 20 contacted, signed -- and a subset of those signed 21 LOIs and a subset of those went to diligence on the 22 company, you had a fairly transparent and 23 independent process run for the company to 24 ascertain whether its market value was sufficient 25 to cover the loan that they had extended at the



1		time, which was, if I recall, somewhere in the
2		order of 45 million and the Monitor themselves
3		report that the only bids received, which did not
4		go to a binding commitment, were significantly less
5		than that.
6	549	Q. The receiver?
7		A. Yes.
8	550	Q. Yes, and do you have any
9		information beyond that to suggest that the loan is
10		going to be at a loss?
11		A. At this juncture, that is what we
12		have.
13	551	Q. Okay. As I say, we have talked
14		about Arthon. With respect to Leader, am I right
15		that you can't say how the loan is secured with
16		respect to Leader?
17		A. Our understanding is that it is
18		secured against the fleet equipment of the company.
19	552	Q. Right, and you got that
20		understanding from the filings that you reviewed?
21		A. Yes, whatever public filings
22		Leader made available.
23	553	Q. And if it is secured by equipment,
24		then any loss or potential loss would depend on the
25		value of that equipment, right?



1		A. Yes.
2	554	Q. And to state the obvious, if the
3		value of the equipment is higher than the value of
4		the loan, then there isn't going to be a loss?
5		A. Correct.
6	555	Q. And did you take any what did
7		you take from the Notice of Intention process in
8		that case?
9		A. I'm sorry, could you
10	556	Q. Was that some significant event
11		vis-à-vis Callidus?
12		A. I'm not sure what you are
13		referring to. Can you remind me?
14	557	Q. All right, well, you referred to
15		the fact that the company entered into a Notice of
16		Intention, right, process?
17		A. Yeah, yes.
18	558	Q. Okay, and what I am suggesting to
19		you is
20		A. Yeah, right, to the
21	559	Q is there any significance in
22		that?
23		A. Well, I guess our inference was
24		going to be that we would have in relatively short
25		order a determination as to whether the realizable

1		value of that equipment was greater or less than
2		the principal value of the loan.
3	560	Q. Okay.
4		A. And one of the outcomes of that
5		process may have been the sale transaction.
6	561	Q. All right, but is it your view
7		that the secured lenders are part of the proposal
8		process?
9		A. They would certainly be a party to
10		it.
11	562	Q. Would it not only affect the
12		unsecureds?
13		A. It would affect all lenders,
14		including the equity holders, public equity
15		holders.
16	563	Q. Okay. And did you have any
17		information available to you as to what the
18		appraisal values were for the equipment that was
19		securing the loan?
20		A. What we have are realtime
21		indications of where companies in that sector that
22		we would consider comparable to Leader trade on a
23		book value basis. We have been through
24		cumulatively in our history a number of
25		restructuring transactions in the services sector,



1		and I think we have a cumulative institutional
2		knowledge in a soft commodity market of where this
3		type of equipment would trade generically speaking
4		in a liquidation in a poor market for energy
5		services.
6	564	Q. And where is your analysis on
7		that? Was that the
8		A. It was partly referred to in the
9		presentation deck we put together.
10	565	Q. And that is the chart that we
11		looked at? Was that the little chart that we
12		looked at?
13		A. That provides a synopsis.
14	566	Q. That was done in terms of the net
15		book value of the assets?
16		A. It references a margin on the
17		reported accounting value of those assets on a
18		depreciated basis.
19	567	Q. And so if you look at page 1301 of
20		the record, Mr. Griffin, this is what I was
21		referring to when I was asking you questions about
22		whether to your understanding the Notice of
23		Intention
24		MR. WINTON: It's Exhibit 151.
25		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
<u> </u>		BI MR. DIFOCCIIIO.



1	568	Q affected the secured lender.
2		Do you see that it says:
3		"Pursuant to the filing the
4		Company has received temporary
5		protection from its creditors, other
6		than its senior lender, as it
7		attempts to restructure"?
8		A. I think what you asked me is would
9		the senior lender be involved in the process in any
10		capacity, and I had indicated yes, they probably
11		would be involved in the process.
12	569	Q. Okay.
13		A. I wasn't making any comment about
14		whether they were seeking to compromise the
15		liabilities of the senior lender at that juncture.
16	570	Q. All right.
17		A. What I would indicate is that
18		Leader had a cumulative history of operating
19		losses, and it was not clear to us as to how the
20		company was going to raise additional capital to
21		sustain its operations.
22		One of the consequences of that may
23		have been an orderly liquidation of the assets of
24		the company to satisfy all lenders, secured or
25		unsecured, and we await further information.



1	571	Q. So that is not information you
2		had; that was an assumption you were making?
3		A. Yes.
4	572	Q. And North American Tungston, which
5		is the other one you referred to, that has two
6		mines, right, a performing and non-performing?
7		A. Our understanding was there was an
8		operating mine and a non-operating mine, and the
9		security was only granted over one of them, if I
10		recall correctly.
11	573	Q. And that would have been the
12		performing mine?
13		A. There was a mine which was coming
14		to the end of its productive life. Let me just
15		read my
16	574	Q. Sure.
17		A. (Witness reviews document.)
18		The Cantung mine.
19	575	Q. Okay, and that is the performing
20		mine?
21		A. Yeah, that is the mine that has a
22		mineral reserve life that supports the production
23		life through 2017/18.
24	576	Q. Right, okay. And I think you
25		indicated in your affidavit it has only two years

1		of reserves; is that what you are referring to?
2		A. That is what is the publicly
3		reported financial statements.
4	577	Q. Do you know whether that is
5		consistent with all mines?
6		A. Well, I would say mines can have
7		short reserve lives on a running basis, but you
8		know, there has been an indication that the life of
9		the mine would not run beyond that, as far as I
10		remember in the public disclosure.
11	578	Q. And do you know the value of the
12		loan in that case?
13		A. Off the top I mean, we make
14		reference to it somewhere in our presentation deck,
15		I believe. It is publicly reported by North
16		American Tungston.
17	579	Q. And I take it, consistent with
18		what you have told me previously, that you are not
19		aware of the appraised value of the security?
20		A. Well, North American Tungston
21		would report a carrying value on its own balance
22		sheet of what the assets are worth according to the
23		financial statements.
24	580	Q. Right, and I am asking you the
25		appraised value of the security?



1		A. Unless they provided a prior
2		appraisal, I mean, in connection with a resources
3		company, all of them are required to publish
4		NI-51101s with estimates of the present value of
5		the remaining reserves and resources.
6	581	Q. All right.
7		A. So I would say that there is
8		independent audits performed at various discount
9		rates by third parties that would or from which
10		you could surmise a value for the asset, obviously
11		dependent on the commodity price assumptions that
12		are embedded whether those are realistic or not.
13		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay, give me one
14		second.
15		Do you want to take a break now at this
16		point?
17		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Sure.
18		MR. DiPUCCHIO: It is 1 o'clock, so we
19		might as well take a break.
20		MR. MILNE-SMITH: I assume you are not
21		close enough to being done?
22		MR. DiPUCCHIO: No, I'm not close
23		enough to being done, so we might as well take a
24		lunch, and then I won't be much longer after that,
25		but we might as well take a lunch now.



1		MR. MILNE-SMITH: When do you want to
2		come back?
3		MR. DiPUCCHIO: 2 o'clock is fine.
4		RECESSED AT 1:00 P.M.
5		RESUMED AT 2:01 P.M.
6		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
7	582	Q. Just to circle back, Mr. Griffin,
8		to something that I had asked you previously but I
9		don't think I asked you the follow-up question, you
10		had indicated that you never shared any of the
11		iterations of your research report on Callidus with
12		any third parties, right?
13		A. I didn't send a research report to
14		any third parties.
15	583	Q. And my follow-up question is have
16		you discussed the results of your research on
17		Callidus with any third parties?
18		A. No. I mean, my discussions with
19		third parties on Callidus really was limited to,
20		well, one particular investment analyst who covers
21		the company externally, and it was us asking
22		questions of them around some of the reports that
23		they had put out.
24	584	Q. To try and get some information
25		for the purposes of your research?

1		A. Yeah, and questions on the content
2		of a piece of research that they had written.
3	585	Q. Okay, and other than that one
4		and who was that one analyst?
5		A. It was an analyst at TD Securities
6		who covers the company. I just can't remember his
7		name.
8	586	Q. All right, fine. And other than
9		that one analyst, you don't recall having any
10		discussions with any third parties?
11		A. We had let's see. So at one
12		point we had a meeting with Veritas Investment
13		Research to discuss a number of opportunities that
14		they were following. We talked about Bombardier,
15		Loblaws, AutoCanada, and they had apparently
16		well, I don't know where it started but either
17		mentioned or asked us what we were working on and
18		the subject of Callidus came up.
19	587	Q. When was this meeting with
20		Veritas?
21		A. I would have to go back and look.
22	588	Q. Okay, could you go back and check
23		your calendar and give me a date for the meeting
24		with Veritas?
25		U/T MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yeah, that is fine,

1		we'll do that.
2		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
3	589	Q. And who did you meet with at
4		Veritas?
5		A. I don't recall the names. I would
6		have to go back and look. I didn't I don't
7		remember these individuals. I think I have only
8		the only occasion I had met with them was quite a
9		few years prior, so
10	590	Q. Can you go back and do the best
11		you can and try to get the names of the people
12		that would it have been one person or more than
13		one person?
14		A. No, it was more than one person.
15	591	Q. Okay, can you get me the names of
16		the people that you would have met with at Veritas?
17		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'll take the names
18		under advisement.
19		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
20	592	Q. And was it only you?
21		A. No.
22	593	Q. Who else at West Face would have
23		been
24		A. I believe Greg Boland, myself, and
25		I believe I think Peter Fraser may have been there



1		as well, but I would have to confirm that.
2	594	Q. And insofar as Callidus was
3		concerned, what was your discussion tell me
4		about your discussion with the people at Veritas
5		about Callidus?
6		A. Well, they asked us if we had
7		looked at the situation, had we conducted any if
8		we owned it or were aware of it and have looked at
9		it in the IPO documentation.
10	595	Q. And what was your response to
11		them?
12		A. We said we had done some work on
13		it.
14	596	Q. And did you speak to them about
15		the work that you had done?
16		A. We talked about like process, you
17		know, what we were doing in terms of, you know,
18		what I had explained to you earlier in terms of the
19		work flow that we had done.
20	597	Q. And did you discuss any of your
21		conclusions as a result of your research with them?
22		A. Well, what we had shared with them
23		was some of the borrowers that we had identified.
24	598	Q. Had you actually transmitted
25		anything to them in writing before or after the



1		meeting?
2		A. I think Greg asked Allen, the
3		analyst I had previously referred to, to send them
4		some names of borrowers that we had identified.
5	599	Q. And did you do that?
6		A. I did not do it, but he did.
7	600	Q. Could you produce for me whatever
8		correspondence would have flowed between West Face
9		and Veritas as a result of these meetings or
LO		discussions?
L1		U/T MR. MILNE-SMITH: Well, I can't do that
L2		because, as Mr. Griffin indicated, there were a
L3		number of other subjects that were discussed but I
L4		can, I believe well, I will make best efforts to
L5		produce to you the document or documents that Mr.
L6		Griffin referred to in terms of identifying the
L7		borrowers that was sent by Mr. Wang to Veritas.
L8		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
L9	601	Q. Okay, well, I would certainly to
20		the extent there is other correspondence that may
21		also touch upon other matters, I am happy to hear
22		your suggestion about how we can redact the
23		correspondence if you think there is other matters
24		that would not in any way, shape or form be
25		relevant to this matter, but if there is other



1		correspondence that relates specifically to
2		Callidus, then I would like to see that?
3		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yeah, I don't know
4		what that context would be, so I'll take it under
5		advisement.
6		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
7	602	Q. And what was the purpose of having
8		this discussion with Veritas?
9		A. Well, the meeting was set up to
10		discuss their investment research product, and you
11		know, they are basically a boutique non-affiliated
12		investment research house, and you know, they talk
13		about the scope of their service offering and the
14		research products and the names they follow.
15	603	Q. And was it your intention to have
16		them publish as an independent research house a
17		report that would ultimately benefit your short
18		position?
19		A. No, we did not.
20	604	Q. So then why would you care about
21		what they had to say about Callidus?
22		A. No, the inquiry had been made of
23		us as to whether we looked at it.
24	605	Q. Okay, and why would you bother to
25		share the results of your research with them?



1		A. Greg was asked a question and he
2		answered it.
3	606	Q. All right, well, he went beyond
4		answering a question, though. You actually sent
5		them the names, some of the names of the borrowers,
6		right?
7		A. Yes.
8	607	Q. And was there some benefit that
9	007	you perceived in doing that?
10		A. I didn't have the dialogue with
11		them about that. But no, it was
12	608	·
	008	Q. Purely altruistic?
13		A. No, I think Greg was you know,
14		it was Greg's decision as to whether he sent it
15		over or not.
16	609	Q. And did you have a discussion with
17		Greg before he decided to do that?
18		A. I did not, no.
19	610	Q. Can you ask Greg what his
20		rationale was for sending that over to Veritas?
21		U/T MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yes, we'll do that.
22		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
23	611	Q. And you are aware subsequently
24		that Veritas published a report on Callidus, right?
25		A. Yes.

1		
1	612	Q. And were you involved, other than
2		sending was West Face involved, not you
3		personally, was West Face involved other than in
4		sending the names of these borrowers in the
5		preparation of that research report?
6		A. We were not.
7	613	Q. Can I ask you to turn up, and this
8		is going to be in our motion record, tab FF. And
9		so there is reference here at the top part of the
10		page
11		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Sorry, which page are
12		you looking at?
13		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
14	614	Q. 321, sorry, to a comment that was
15		posted on December 30th, 2014, by a Jerome Hass.
16		Do you know that individual?
17		A. I do not know that individual.
18	615	Q. And do you see in the comment or
19		in the opinion that has been posted the sentence:
20		"A firm presented a very
21		formidable short case recently which
22		is probably part of the reason for
23		the selloff."
24		Do you see that comment?
25		A. Yes.



1	616	Q. Were you the firm that presented
2		the short case?
3		A. No.
4	617	Q. You weren't presenting any short
5		case in December of 2014?
6		A. Not to my knowledge.
7	618	Q. Can you make inquiries with your
8		other partners and find out whether they were
9		involved in presenting a short case?
10		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: We'll take it under
11		advisement.
12		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
13	619	Q. All right. We were just talking
14		before the break about North American Tungston, Mr.
15		Griffin, and you remember you were talking about
16		the life of the mine; do you remember that?
17		A. Yes.
18	620	Q. And when you were preparing your
19		research I mean, you didn't mean to suggest in
20		any way that this mine was going to be shut down in
21		2018, did you?
22		A. We were simply paraphrasing what
23		the public disclosure was from the company itself
24		that referenced in their MD&A what the remaining
25		reserve life of the asset was, and then also, you

1		know, there was references to the security that was
2		afforded to the loan and also references to the
3		status of the Mactung property which, if I recall
4		correctly, was not developed at that juncture.
5	621	Q. All right, but you have and I
6		think I read this into your affidavit, that you
7		have a pretty good background in mining, right?
8		A. I sit on the board of a mining
9		company.
10	622	Q. Right, so you wouldn't have read
11		into any of the public filings that you reviewed
12		that somehow this mine was going to be shut down in
13		2018?
14		A. I don't recall specifically. I
15		would have to go back and look at the disclosure
16		that we looked at.
17	623	Q. Well, if you look at Exhibit 153
18		of your affidavit, page 1306, this is the technical
19		report of September 19th, 2014?
20		A. Uhm-hmm.
21	624	Q. Do you see the sentence right
22		before the table:
23		"It is anticipated that during
24		the 2017 and 2018 fiscal years the
25		development would decrease unless a

1		new ore body is explored and the
2		life of mine is extended"?
3		Right?
4		A. Yes.
5	625	Q. And that is pretty common in your
6		experience, isn't it?
7		A. That mines have a finite life?
8	626	Q. Yeah, or that they have certain
9		reserves that
10		A. Yes.
11	627	Q will span out for two or three
12		years, and then you either have to explore new ore
13		bodies or go in a different direction, right? I
14		mean, it is pretty common. I mean, you are not
15		reading into that that the mine is going to be shut
16		down in 2018, are you?
17		A. No, I think it is indeterminate at
18		this juncture.
19	628	Q. Right, and so my point is that
20		this statement would suggest that there are options
21		that can be explored beyond 2018 with respect to
22		the mine, right?
23		A. I think what it indicates is that
24		management is basically stating and the independent
25		party that has prepared this report is that without

the discovery of a new ore body, the known 1 2 resources and reserves in the mine would be fully 3 depleted by 2017 or '18. 4 629 Q. Right. 5 So without the application of new capital or the discovery of a new ore body, there 6 would be a finite life which would not run beyond 7 the --8 9 And that is a pretty common 630 Ο. 10 statement; you have seen those kinds of statements 11 before, right? 12 Α. Yes. 13 631 Let's just briefly talk about the Q. 14 remaining -- well, with respect to Deepak, which 15 you have identified as another one of your areas of 16 concern, is it fair to say that you couldn't locate 17 any information with respect to the collateral for 18 that loan? 19 It was pretty limited. Α. 20 there were a few press reports that were available 21 on the business itself. It seemed that it had 22 dissolved into litigation. If I recall correctly, 23 there was some allegations of either misrepresentation or fraud on the part of the 24 25 borrower at a very early stage, and you know, that

1		is about the extent of what I remember about it.
2		In fact, I think the capital that was
3		being raised was actually not to secure existing
4		assets so much as to capitalize a new business
5		venture which was I think a diamond polishing
6		operation or something to that effect in the
7		Northwest Territories.
8	632	Q. Right, but you couldn't find any
9		information as to what assets were securing that
10		loan?
11		A. It appeared that given the state
12		of the company, there were not any substantive
13		assets at that juncture without the application of
14		capital.
15	633	Q. Well, did you know there was a
16		building?
17		A. That was mentioned in the press
18		release or sorry, in a press report.
19	634	Q. Right, so there was at least a
20		building?
21		A. Yes.
22	635	Q. Okay, so when you say there were
23		no assets, you are not including the building?
24		A. Well, I guess there were no
25		substantive operating assets; as we could see, the



business appeared to be dormant. 1 2 636 0. Did you have any sense of what the 3 value of the building was? 4 I don't think we made any Α. 5 inquiries. 637 Did you even inquire as to what 6 Q. kind of loan had been made? 7 Well, we presumed it was something 8 Α. 9 similar to what Callidus has done historically, a 10 first lien loan, and the issue on that was less 11 about the potential for credit losses so much as, 12 you know, the fact that, like CarCap many years ago 13 and as Esco Marine has recently alleged, there 14 seemed to be potentially some holes in the 15 diligence process that they were conducting that 16 would allow borrowers such as this to get qualified 17 to receive advances. And it wasn't so much about 18 19 establishing the value of the collateral so much as 20 the fact that, you know, this was seen to be a bit 21 of a recurring pattern in this borrower universe 22 where, look, of course there could be borrower 23 fraud, there could be misrepresentations that, you know, parties can make. This seemed to be an 24 25 instance like Esco and like CarCap where, you know,

1		a problem emerged that truncated the relationship.
2	638	Q. So this is more a comment on the
3		fact that the borrower is sort of a distressed
4		borrower?
5		A. Well, if I remember the press
6		reports, I think that it went beyond that. I think
7		that
8	639	Q. Because you are talking about some
9		litigation that was filed against the borrower by
10		his financial advisor?
11		A. By the principals
12	640	Q. Right, but
13		A. Or sorry, against the principals.
14	641	Q. So my question basically is did
15		you have any knowledge of how much money had
16		actually been loaned?
17		A. I can't recall offhand. Do we
18		reference it? Less than a million dollars? A term
19		sheet had been signed for 20.5 million. I can't
20		recall whether that
21	642	Q. For a loan do you have any
22		information as to what the actual draw was on the
23		loan?
24		A. I would have go back and look at
25		our materials to see if we did.



643	Q. Okay, can you let me know whether
	there was any information in that regard?
	A. Yes.
	MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yes, we can.
	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
644	Q. Now, you state in your
	MR. MILNE-SMITH: Counsel, just to
	answer that, at page 93 of the record, Callidus,
	and this is at paragraph 45, Callidus has disclosed
	it was owed 2.6 million by Deepak and that no cash
	flow is expected until construction of the facility
	is completed.
	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
645	Q. Yes, okay, so on February 17th
	Callidus disclosed that it was owed 2.6 million by
	Deepak, right, okay. And that is the information
	you had?
	A. Yes, whatever we referenced there.
	MR. MILNE-SMITH: And that is reflected
	in the slide deck at 755, and that is tab 46 of
	Volume 2.
	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
	Q. All right. In your affidavit you
646	Q. All right. In your affidavit you
646	draw a comparison between the business of Callidus
	644



1		A. Correct.
2	647	Q. And have you reviewed Mr. Riley's
3		supplementary affidavit?
4		A. Yes.
5	648	Q. And he has indicated that there
6		are a number of areas of difference between BDC and
7		the business that Callidus is engaged in. I just
8		wanted to cover those with you, just to see whether
9		you agree or disagree.
10		If you look at
11		MR. MILNE-SMITH: And that is starting
12		at paragraph 25 of the reply affidavit.
13		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
14	649	Q. Right, paragraph 25 and moving to
15		page 8, would you agree with Mr. Riley
16		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Hang on a second. We
17		are just getting the right one.
18		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay, I apologize.
19		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Do you have the reply
20		affidavit there?
21		This is it.
22		THE DEPONENT: All right. Okay, yes.
23		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
24	650	Q. Do you agree with Mr. Riley that
25		BDCs tend to have external management?

1		A. Well, actually, Callidus similarly
2		has an external management agreement.
3	651	Q. Okay, but do you agree with
4		Mr. Riley that BDCs tend to have external
5		management?
6		A. Yes.
7	652	Q. And do you agree with Mr. Riley
8		that BDCs are closed-ended funds and are required
9		to return cash to investors?
10		A. We think that is an important
11		distinction in that it ensures that the companies
12		are making performing loans to companies with, you
13		know, cash income that is distributed to investors,
14		and so yes, we acknowledge the difference and I
15		also think it is an important one.
16	653	Q. So that is a difference and it is
17		an important difference?
18		A. Yes, in favour of the BDCs in
19		terms of the attributes they provide to an end
20		investor.
21	654	Q. And do you agree with Mr. Riley
22		that BDCs tend to finance subordinate debt and
23		unsecured positions, including equity?
24		A. Actually, I don't agree with that
25		because it is actually a misstatement. If you look



1		at the U.S. BDC universe, 75 percent of, you know,
2		for instance, the Raymond James coverage universe
3		is comprised of secured indebtedness issued by BDCs
4		in terms of their asset composition.
5		If you were to look at the Goldman
6		Sachs BDC, by example, 95 percent of its
7		outstanding assets are in the form of secured
8		indebtedness to issuers.
9		So no, I don't agree. Yes, they do it
10		as part of their business, but the vast majority of
11		the lending that BDCs do is to small and
12		medium-sized enterprises on a secured basis.
13	655	Q. Is it senior secured debt?
14		A. Yes.
15	656	Q. And do you agree with Mr. Riley
16		that BDCs are not taxable at the corporate level;
17		they are taxed at a personal level?
18		A. That is correct.
19	657	Q. And is that a distinction?
20		A. Yes.
21	658	Q. And when you said that Callidus is
22		managed externally, are you referring to Mr. Reese?
23		A. No, I'm referring to the
24		management services agreement that exists with
25		respect to Newton Glassman and Jim Riley.



1 659 Okay, so you are referring to that 0. 2 as external management? 3 Α. In part. 4 660 Q. Okay. 5 Α. There is a management services agreement which exists which outlines their duties 6 and their time commitment to the fund, and whether, 7 you know, a manager is compensated internally or 8 9 externally at the end of the day, the compensation 10 that flows to them is in all cases performance 11 based and a combination of cash incentive and, you 12 know, share purchases or performance rewards. And 13 in each case, these companies typically will 14 extend -- well, I quess by inference there is a 15 benefit to the affiliation with the parent company 16 or the parent shareholder in terms of deal 17 origination. 661 18 Now, I just want to skip around a 0. 19 little bit just to ask you some questions with 20 respect to specific things that you have said in 21 your affidavit, so bear with me. 22 You refer in your affidavit to a USB 23 drive that was provided to our firm which contains 24 all non-privileged emails found on West Face's 25 email server that were sent to or from Mr. Moyse's

1		West Face email address. Do you see that at
2		paragraph 62?
3		A. Yes.
4	662	Q. And first of all, let's just ask a
5		question to establish some background. In the time
6		that Mr. Moyse was employed by West Face and
7		actually showed up at work, so I guess it would
8		have been the three-and-a-half-week period in June
9		and July, is it fair to say that he received
10		thousands of emails or a thousand emails during
11		that time frame?
12		A. I don't know.
13	663	Q. And this USB drive that was
14		created, do you know when it was created?
15		A. I don't. I wasn't involved in
16		that process directly.
17		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Counsel, do you know?
18		MR. MILNE-SMITH: It was created in the
19		weeks leading up to finalization of the affidavit.
20		It was done concurrently with preparing the
21		affidavit.
22		MR. DiPUCCHIO: All right, so the USB
23		drive would have been created I take it after
24		sometime after our motion materials were delivered
25		in this matter?



1		MR. MILNE-SMITH: The USB. What is on
2		the USB, what it was taken from was materials that
3		were preserved much earlier.
4		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Yeah, no, I'll get to
5		questions on that. I'm just trying to understand
6		when the USB drive was created for the moment.
7		So the USB drive itself that we
8		received was created at some point after the motion
9		materials were sent out?
10		MR. MILNE-SMITH: That's correct.
11		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay, and would it be
12		possible to get me a date, do you know?
13		MR. MILNE-SMITH: I mean, I think it
14		was finally burned the day before we served.
15		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay, which would have
16		been in or around March or sorry, not March
17		or maybe it was, yeah, early March 2015?
18		MR. MILNE-SMITH: It would have been
19		early March, yes.
20		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
21	664	Q. Okay. And as your counsel has
22		just mentioned, back in the summer of 2014 West
23		Face had taken steps to preserve whatever existed
24		on the West Face servers in relation to Mr. Moyse's
25		work during the period of time that he was with



1		you, right?
2		A. That is what I understand to be
3		the case.
4	665	Q. All right. And were there active
5		steps that were taken to preserve?
6		A. Well, my understanding is our
7		general counsel and our I/T department did take
8		active steps to do that. As to the specifics, only
9		they would know beyond what I have sworn to.
10	666	Q. And we have seen an affidavit that
11		is included as part of your motion record from
12		Mr. Burt-Gerrans, an expert that you retained.
13		Have you seen that affidavit?
14		A. I didn't personally retain him,
15		but I'm generally familiar with him.
16	667	Q. Yes, I know that, but are you
17		familiar with the affidavit?
18		A. Generally, yes.
19	668	Q. And just for the record, I guess
20		it is at tab C of your motion record.
21		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yes, we have it here.
22		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
23	669	Q. And Mr. Burt-Gerrans recites in
24		his affidavit what he did, but I am just interested
25		in canvassing with you a comment that he made at



forensic image of Mr. Moyse's computer hard drive, and he says:  "The first step was to determine who had logged into his computer from June 23, 2014, to July 16, 2014."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.	1		paragraph 16. So do you see he recounts in that
and he says:  The first step was to determine who had logged into his computer from June 23, 2014, to July 16, 2014." Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And then he says he extracted thi log-in data from the records and:	2		paragraph what his first step was in analyzing the
"The first step was to determine who had logged into his computer from June 23, 2014, to July 16, 2014." Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And then he says he extracted thi log-in data from the records and:	3		forensic image of Mr. Moyse's computer hard drive,
determine who had logged into his computer from June 23, 2014, to July 16, 2014."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And then he says he extracted thi log-in data from the records and:	4		and he says:
computer from June 23, 2014, to July  16, 2014."  Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And then he says he extracted thi  log-in data from the records and:	5		"The first step was to
8 16, 2014." 9 Do you see that? 10 A. Yes. 11 670 Q. And then he says he extracted thi 12 log-in data from the records and:	6		determine who had logged into his
Do you see that?  A. Yes.  Q. And then he says he extracted thi log-in data from the records and:	7		computer from June 23, 2014, to July
A. Yes.  10  Q. And then he says he extracted thi  12  log-in data from the records and:	8		16, 2014."
Q. And then he says he extracted thi log-in data from the records and:	9		Do you see that?
log-in data from the records and:	10		A. Yes.
	11	670	Q. And then he says he extracted this
"According to the log-in data,	12		log-in data from the records and:
	13		"According to the log-in data,
the only users who had logged on to	14		the only users who had logged on to
Mr. Moyse's computer were the user	15		Mr. Moyse's computer were the user
16 ID issued to Mr. Moyse and the user	16		ID issued to Mr. Moyse and the user
ID issued to Danny Yu, who I have	17		ID issued to Danny Yu, who I have
been advised by Mr. Chau is a West	18		been advised by Mr. Chau is a West
19 Face IT analyst."	19		Face IT analyst."
Do you see that?	20		Do you see that?
A. Yes.	21		A. Yes.
Q. And then he goes on to say at	22	671	Q. And then he goes on to say at
23 paragraph 17:	23		paragraph 17:
24 "After July 16, 2014, no user	24		"After July 16, 2014, no user
logged into Mr. Moyse's computer	25		logged into Mr. Moyse's computer

1		until December 15, 2014, when the
2		user ID issued to Mr. Chau was used
3		to log in."
4		Do you see that?
5		A. Yes.
6	672	Q. And then after that,
7		Mr. Burt-Gerrans says that he was advised that
8		Mr. Chau logged on to Mr. Moyse's computer again on
9		January 14 and 26; do you see that?
10		A. Yes.
11	673	Q. And those dates, January 14th and
12		26th, were after the motion materials were
13		delivered in this matter, right?
14		A. I am not familiar with the dates
15		exactly.
16	674	Q. Or was it the 19th?
17		MR. MILNE-SMITH: The original Notice
18		of Motion was dated January 13 and then it was
19		amended on February 6.
20		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
21	675	Q. Okay, so I guess my question
22		stands. The dates that Mr. Chau allegedly logged
23		into Mr. Moyse's computer were after the Notice of
24		Motion was delivered in this matter; is that
25		correct?

1		A. That is what it sounds like.
2	676	Q. And do you have any information as
3		to, other than what is reported here by
4		Mr. Burt-Gerrans, do you have any information as to
5		who directed Mr. Chau to log into the computer at
6		that time?
7		A. No.
8	677	Q. So it wasn't you, obviously?
9		A. No.
10	678	Q. And was it any of the partners?
11		A. I don't know who it was.
12	679	Q. And Mr. Chau hasn't sworn an
13		affidavit in these proceedings, right?
14		A. I don't believe so.
15		MR. MILNE-SMITH: He has not.
16		Counsel, is there a spoliation
17		allegation?
18		MR. DiPUCCHIO: No, not a spoliation
19		well, I don't know what the allegation is going to
20		be. There is going to be an allegation that
21		someone logged on to a computer after the date of
22		this Notice of Motion.
23		MR. MILNE-SMITH: It is not an
24		allegation. It is stated explicitly. You don't
25		have to allege it. We admit it.

MR. DiPUCCHIO: Right, and we are going 1 2 to ask the Court to draw certain inferences from 3 that. 4 MR. MILNE-SMITH: Okay, and what 5 inference is going to be drawn? MR. DiPUCCHIO: It is an inference that 6 7 even your own expert suggests, which is that someone who has IT experience might well have run 8 9 scrubbing software or would know how to run 10 scrubbing software. MR. MILNE-SMITH: Well, that is not 11 12 what it says. And that is a spoliation allegation. 13 If you are going to make a spoliation allegation, I 14 think you should plead it. 15 MR. DiPUCCHIO: Well, how can I plead 16 something? You have just delivered an affidavit to 17 So I'm going to ask the Court to draw 18 inferences, and part of the reason why we are 19 requesting an ISS is to get to the bottom of 20 whether there was anything like that that happened, as we did in the case of Mr. Moyse. 21 22 So once I have the information that 23 allows me to plead intelligently, I'll do that. 24 MR. MILNE-SMITH: Okay. Now that I 25 know you are alleging it, that is good to know.

1		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
2	680	Q. Yes, I'm not alleging anything.
3		I'm asking questions based on the information you
4		have given me.
5		So you can't tell me why that expert
6		would have accessed or why your IT person would
7		have accessed the computer at that time?
8		A. I have no idea.
9	681	Q. With respect to the Wind matters
10		in your affidavit, I just want to ask you a few
11		questions.
12		Is it fair to say that you only became
13		aware of the fact that Catalyst was the party that
14		had exclusivity with Wind during that period of
15		time in August through the course of these
16		proceedings?
17		A. Yes.
18	682	Q. But you were aware that a party
19		had an exclusive negotiating period with Wind at
20		some point in time?
21		A. Certainly.
22	683	Q. And you also acknowledge in your
23		affidavit that even though you knew that there was
24		a party that had an exclusive negotiation period
25		with Wind, that you elected, West Face elected to

1		submit offers to Wind during that period of time?
2		A. The syndicate elected to
3		collectively.
4	684	Q. Right, which included West Face?
5		A. Yes.
6	685	Q. And in those offers was it one
7		offer or more than one offer that were
8		communicated?
9		A. No, I believe it was only the
10		single offer.
11	686	Q. And did that single offer that was
12		communicated at that time, did that deal with the
13		regulatory concerns that you have identified?
14		A. Well, what it did was in our view
15		provided a transaction structure with a high degree
16		of certainty and expediency to the vendor, and what
17		changed from some of the prior proposals we had
18		made in our prior history with them was that we did
19		not require Industry Canada approval before closing
20		the transaction. We were effectively stepping into
21		the shoes of VimpelCom as minority voting
22		shareholder in Wind.
23	687	Q. Right, so in part, it dealt with
24		the regulatory concerns that you have identified in
25		your affidavit?



1		A. Well, I think what it dealt with
2		was a specific condition around closing that was
3		important, you know, we felt important to the
4		vendor in terms of delivering certainty of outcome,
5		expediency of capital and certainty of execution.
6	688	Q. And that offer as it related to
7		the regulatory concerns was something that had not
8		been part of any of your previous dealings with
9		Wind up to that point in time?
10		A. Yes, up until August, that was
11		when the idea first came up.
12	689	Q. Right. Bear with me for one
13		second.
14		And you have said in your affidavit
15		that no one at West Face had any communication with
16		Mr. Moyse I think you say a couple of things,
17		but let me clarify.
18		Are you saying that nobody from West
19		Face has had any communication with Mr. Moyse since
20		he was effectively well, since he stopped
21		working, effectively, at West Face after July of
22		2014?
23		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Sorry, what paragraph
24		are you referring to?
25		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:



1	690	Q. Well, I'm reading into something,
2		and that is why I'm asking him to clarify what
3		exactly he is saying, because he has said some
4		things in relation to whether people had contact
5		with him or not and I am just trying to figure out,
6		are you saying that nobody has had contact with
7		him?
8		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Sorry, what paragraph
9		are we at? I don't want to give him a memory test
10		for it.
11		MR. DiPUCCHIO: All right, well,
12		paragraph 13 as an example.
13		MR. MILNE-SMITH: It is a long
14		affidavit. I can't expect him to remember
15		everything.
16		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
17	691	Q. No, I know. So you make a
18		specific allegation here. You say:
19		"No members of West Face's Wind
20		deal team communicated at all with
21		him about Wind during this period",
22		okay.
23		A. Correct.
24	692	Q. So what I am trying to establish
25		is are you saying they communicated with him but

1		just not about Wind, or are you saying there were
2		no communications? I can read that one of two
3		ways.
4		A. Well, to my knowledge, I don't
5		know what communications there were with Brandon on
6		general subject matters. What I am attesting to
7		here specifically is that there was no
8		communication from me or any of the members of the
9		Wind deal team insofar as it contained or it
10		pertained to our process on Wind.
11	693	Q. Okay.
12		A. And to my knowledge, no one inside
13		the firm discussed any matters with respect to any
14		pending, current or proposed investment situations
15		that the firm was engaged in in any capacity.
16	694	Q. All right, and I understood that
17		from what you were giving evidence about, but what
18		I want to ask you is were there actual
19		conversations with Mr. Moyse during this period of
20		time about anything?
21		A. I don't know.
22	695	Q. Okay. Do you recall having any?
23		A. I absolutely recall having none.
24	696	Q. Okay, so you have a positive
25		recollection that you had no conversations with



1		Mr. Moyse after he left West Face up until present?
2		A. That is correct.
3	697	Q. Okay. And you haven't had that
4		
		discussion with everybody else at West Face in
5		order to determine whether they have had any
6		discussions with Mr. Moyse?
7		A. Only insofar as it pertains to the
8		Wind transaction, which is again what I am
9		referring to here, I know that to be the case.
10		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Counsel, I could help
11		you on this.
12		I think the emails you have that we
13		have disclosed to you demonstrate this, but to the
14		best of our knowledge and as a result of the
15		various inquiries we have made, the only
16		communications with Mr. Moyse following his
17		departure on July 16 concern human resources
18		matters, legal-related matters, which probably went
19		through counsel rather than directly between any
20		sort of lay staff.
21		I believe one time he asked a fellow
22		junior member of the firm to meet him for lunch on
23		a social basis, and I believe he tried to, when he
24		wanted to trade on his personal account, he had to
25		clear it through sort of whoever the appropriate

1 control person at West Face was. 2 And to the best of our knowledge, based 3 on reviewing computer records and speaking to the 4 people, those are the only communications with 5 Mr. Moyse. MR. DiPUCCHIO: So what you are telling 6 7 us -- and that is helpful, Counsel. What you are telling me, is that based 8 9 on the review of the email traffic or are you also 10 saying to me that that is the extent of the 11 communications that may have occurred even by phone 12 or what have you? 13 MR. MILNE-SMITH: That is based on 14 email traffic and phone. It is based on we have 15 looked at the email traffic and we have spoken to 16 people. 17 BY MR. DiPUCCHIO: 698 18 All right, so that is helpful. 19 you could confirm for me that that's the case, that 20 if you can make inquiries of -- and I'm not asking 21 you to inquire about everybody I quess at West 22 Face, but --23 If we come up with --MR. MILNE-SMITH: 24 MR. DiPUCCHIO: -- starting with the 25 partners.



1		II/E MD MIINE CMIEII. If we come up with
1		U/T MR. MILNE-SMITH: If we come up with
2		anything further, I'll let you know.
3		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
4	699	Q. Okay. And I am going to ask a
5		question to you, and I presume you are going to
6		jump in here, Counsel.
7		But what I would like to do, and it is
8		based on what I plan to ask Mr. Moyse later on in
9		this piece for, I would like to get from you the
10		phone numbers of the partners at West Face,
11		business and cell. And you know, you can give
12		those to me in whatever form you want. You don't
13		have to put it in a public record or anything like
14		that, obviously, but I am going to be making some
15		requests of Mr. Moyse to produce some documentation
16		which will only be useful to me if I have the phone
17		numbers ultimately of the partners at West Face.
18		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: I am sure it will be
19		no surprise to you I'll take that under advisement.
20		MR. DiPUCCHIO: I know, I expected as
21		much, but maybe you would have given me one, I
22		don't know.
23		MR. CARLSON: Not when you lead into it
24		like that.
25		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:



1	700	Q. Yes, exactly. Well, just being up
2		front.
3		Okay, bear with me, okay, because I'm
4		getting to some odds and sods here, so I'm just
5		flipping through. We are almost done.
6		In paragraph 43, and I just had one
7		question at paragraph 43 of your affidavit. This
8		is where you referred to the fact that there was
9		certain correspondence very early on in this piece
10		about concern about a telecom deal, and you then
11		point out that West Face implemented a
12		confidentiality wall on June 19, 2014, relating to
13		Wind, right; do you see that?
14		A. Yes.
15	701	Q. Okay, and my question is simply
16		this. Can you tell me how West Face knew that the
17		concern around the telecom deal was a concern that
18		related to Wind?
19		A. I can't. I didn't deal with it
20		directly. From recollection, it would have been
21		probably Greg, our general counsel at the time, and
22		our compliance officer.
23	702	Q. All right, so you can't say
24		whether that knowledge came from any discussion
25		that would have been had with Mr. Moyse?

1		A. I wasn't party to that discussion.
2		The only thing I recall from that, once the memo
3		had gone around regarding the confidentiality wall
4		being established for Wind, there was a discussion
5		that I believe is referenced in here with Tom Dea
6		where Tom Dea informed everyone in the office of
7		what had been established.
8	703	Q. Okay, so was it primarily
9		Mr. Boland and your in-house counsel that would
10		have been dealing with the confidentiality wall?
11		A. Yes.
12	704	Q. And I know your in-house counsel
13		is not here any longer, but could you ask
14		Mr. Boland how he came to know that the concern
15		around the telecom deal related to Wind?
16		U/T MR. MILNE-SMITH: I will make
17		inquiries, yes.
18		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
19	705	Q. And specifically whether that
20		would have come from Mr. Moyse.
21		And you have referred in your affidavit
22		at paragraph 61 and you have appended as an exhibit
23		a notebook that was kept by Mr. Moyse during the
24		period of time that he was employed by West Face in
25		June and July of 2014.



1		Counsel, this is probably more a
2		question for you than it is for your client
3		representative, but there is a number of
4		redactions. What is being redacted there?
5		MR. MILNE-SMITH: That is referred to
6		in footnote 16 which indicates that West Face
7		confidential information in the notebook has been
8		redacted, none of which relates to Wind, the AWS-3
9		auction or Callidus.
10		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay.
11		MR. MILNE-SMITH: So it is basically
12		West Face confidential information about ongoing
13		activities which is confidential to the market
14		which has nothing to do with this case.
15		MR. DiPUCCHIO: With those three
16		things?
17		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Well, those were the
18		scope of the allegations, so that is all we
19		referred to because that is all we understood the
20		allegations to relate to.
21		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
22	706	Q. Well, did any of them refer to
23		Arcan, do you know?
24		A. I would have to look at it again.
25		I don't recall.



1		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: We'll have to look at
2		it. I'll take it under advisement to
3		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
4	707	Q. All right.
5		A. Some of the notes in that book
6		were, you know, summaries of internal meetings we
7		would have had, morning meetings with the analysts,
8		so I would have to go back and look.
9	708	Q. And that is material that you
10		would consider to be confidential?
11		A. I would insofar as, you know,
12		there is ongoing discussion of positions that are
13		open in the book, and you know, some of these are
14		companies that we are public on, but the mere
15		existence of the position is one aspect. The other
16		aspect is obviously, you know, what are the
17		specific thoughts or parameters that we have around
18		it.
19	709	Q. Okay. And so sometimes, insofar
20		as you are concerned, the mere disclosure of the
21		existence of a position might be information that
22		you would want to retain confidential?
23		A. You know, if there is something
24		like a proxy action where we are accumulating a
25		position in a security, then I mean, look, at the

1		end of the day, we comply with our filing
2		obligations to the letter and don't go any further.
3		MR. DiPUCCHIO: All right, give me one,
4		if we can go off the record, and we may be done,
5		okay.
6		(DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD.)
7		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
8	710	Q. Just a couple of cleanup things.
9		Number one, we should probably mark
10		that page of the factum as an exhibit, since we
11		have referred to it, Counsel. Are you okay with
12		that?
13		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Sure. Here is a
14		clean copy.
15		MR. DiPUCCHIO: So it would be page 12
16		of the factum filed by West Face on the injunction
17		motion, just the page.
18		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Well, why don't we
19		I think it is better to just do the whole thing.
20		MR. DiPUCCHIO: You want to mark the
21		whole thing?
22		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yeah.
23		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay, that's fine by
24		me.
25		EXHIBIT NO. 1: Factum filed by West



1		Face on the injunction motion.
2		MR. DiPUCCHIO: It just makes the
3		materials that much thicker.
4		MR. CENTA: That ship has sailed.
5		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Yes, that ship sailed
6		awhile ago, you are right.
7		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Look around you.
8		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
9	711	Q. And, Mr. Griffin, do you recall we
10		were discussing Mr. Dea's request in mid-July for
11		Mr. Moyse to do some work in relation to
12		TransOcean?
13		A. Yes.
14	712	Q. Do you remember that? That is
15		referred to in your affidavit, and I am going to
16		show you an email that was sent by Mr. Dea to
17		Mr. Moyse on July 14th, 2014, and I take it this is
18		the email that was sent by Mr. Dea indicating to
19		Mr. Moyse the work that he wanted him to do?
20		A. Okay.
21	713	Q. Is that fair?
22		A. It appears to be the case.
23		MR. DiPUCCHIO: All right, can we mark
24		that as an exhibit as well, Counsel?
25		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yes.

1		EXHIBIT NO. 2: Email sent by Mr. Dea
2		to Mr. Moyse on July 14th, 2014.
3		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
4	714	Q. And, Mr. Griffin, you have
5		mentioned in your affidavit, you were talking about
6		the harm to West Face in the relief being sought
7		with respect to Wind?
8		A. Yes.
9	715	Q. And you mentioned that West Face
10		has two out of the ten seats on the Board of
11		Directors, right?
12		A. Yes.
13	716	Q. And am I right that Wind has its
14		own management team?
15		A. It does.
16	717	Q. And it doesn't have people from
17		West Face on the management team?
18		A. It has people that the board,
19		including West Face, have assisted in procuring.
20	718	Q. Right, fair enough. I didn't ask
21		you who procured them. The management team doesn't
22		include anybody from West Face?
23		A. That is correct.
24	719	Q. And you are not suggesting that if
25		the relief is granted, that somehow Wind can't

1 operate? 2 I think what we are suggesting --Α. 3 well, look, the practical reality is the company 4 relies on the sponsorship of its financial sponsors 5 of which we are one of the largest. 720 6 Q. Right. 7 Α. That is what we are suggesting. 721 Okay, but you are not going to 8 0. 9 pull your financial sponsorship of the company if 10 the relief is granted? 11 We are not pulling our financial Α. 12 sponsorship of the company. 13 722 So are you suggesting in any way Q. 14 that Wind cannot operate if the relief is granted, 15 that management of Wind wouldn't be able to operate 16 the company? 17 No, I'm not suggesting that. Α. 18 I am suggesting is that it is a company that relies 19 on the direction and input of a series of advisors, 20 including financial investors and advisors of which 21 we are one and the most important and a functioning 22 board of which we have two seats, and our influence 23 is instrumental in terms of, you know, the 24 conception of the transaction and the consummation 25 of the transaction and the go-forward success of

1		the company.
2		And on behalf of our LPs, we have a
3		duty obviously to manage that investment on their
4		behalf.
5		And, yes, I believe that without our
6		input and involvement, we wouldn't be where we are
7		
		today and we certainly wouldn't have the same
8		chance of success with the business that we do.
9	723	Q. So are you suggesting that without
10		your management and/or strategic direction that
11		Wind could not operate?
12		MR. MILNE-SMITH: He has answered the
13		question, Counsel.
14		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
15	724	Q. Okay, those are my questions.
16		Thank you.
17		Sorry, Counsel, I just wanted to
18		clarify, because Mr. Winton is concerned. I
19		believe I did ask for an undertaking that the work
20		product be produced in regards to Arcan. I thought
21		I remembered asking that and you took it under
22		advisement, I believe?
23		MR. MILNE-SMITH: Mr. Moyse's work
24		product.
25		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Mr. Moyse's work



1		product in relation to Arcan. Mr. Winton doesn't
2		recall me making that request.
3		U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'll take it under
4		advisement.
5		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay, thank you.
6		MR. MILNE-SMITH: I just want to take
7		one or two minutes to talk about re-examination
8		with my friends. It will be very, very short, if
9		any.
10		MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay.
11		RECESSED AT 2:53 P.M.
12		RESUMED AT 2:57 P.M.
13		RE-EXAMINATION BY MR. MILNE-SMITH:
14	725	Q. Mr. Griffin, I just want to
15		address four issues that were raised during the
16		course of your cross-examination.
17		The first, while you were discussing
18		the issue of the short position that West Face took
19		with respect to Callidus with Mr. DiPucchio, you
20		used the phrase that it was a position that West
21		Face, quote, "legged into" over time. Could you
22		just explain what that mean in layperson's terms?
23		A. Sure. Often times wherein you are
24		confronted with a security where the liquidity is
25		somewhat limited in that the daily trading volume



is, you know, constrained or low, it will take time 1 2 to deploy either a long or a short position of any 3 consequence in a company. So it is hard to predict 4 when volume will improve or get worse, but you 5 know, you are in there basically on a daily or a weekly basis trying to execute a program to get to 6 7 a certain end point. And so you know, we'll set some 8 9 Sometimes constraints are imposed upon parameters. 10 us, if we could only borrow -- you know, in a short 11 position, you can only borrow a certain amount of 12 stock, you know the end point you are getting to. If it is a long position, you may have a position 13 14 objective you want to get to. We are beholden to 15 the liquidity that is available on a given day, and 16 you are never going to be a hundred percent of the 17 volume. 726 18 The second point, you were 0. Okav. 19 asked a series of questions about ongoing research 20 by West Face after it had accumulated its short 21 position in Callidus. Do you recall that series of 22 questions?

A. Yes.

Q. So it may be clear to everybody around the table, but I'm not sure it will be

727

23

24

25

necessarily to everyone reading the transcript. 1 2 Could you just explain to me how a short sale works and how a company like West Face tries to make a 4 profit off of it? 5 Α. Well, what we try to do is, first, identify whether we think there is an aberration or 6 7 anomaly in the value of the company relative to typically a comparable set, a discounted cash flow 8 9 analysis, our own internal financial models, and 10 those would be some of the ways we could judge 11 valuation, and any other research we had done 12 qualitatively or quantitatively. 13 And then we are trying to basically 14 time our entry point. Unlike a purchase where you 15 are trying to purchase low and then sell high, on a 16 short position it is the reverse, you are trying to 17 sell high, as high as possible, and cover as low as 18 possible. 19 So to a large degree the timing of our 20 involvement in a situation is influenced by how the 21 stock trades in the market, and you know, we are

involvement in a situation is influenced by how the stock trades in the market, and you know, we are looking for entry points where the valuations are at extremes, in a purchase either extremely low, in a short sale extremely high.

Q. So you mentioned two parts of it



728

22

23

24

25

1		there. You said you have to sell as high as
2		possible and cover as low as possible. So when you
3		were talking to Mr. DiPucchio about accumulating
4		your short position, which part was that, the
5		selling or the covering?
6		A. That is the selling.
7	729	Q. And how do you sell shares that
8		you don't have?
9		A. We have to borrow those shares and
10		provide evidence, though, that we have a borrow
11		before we execute a trade. Those trades are
12		typically routed to an institutional trading desk
13		who does the execution as agent on our behalf and
14		then charges our firm a commission for transacting
15		in the underlying security.
16	730	Q. Okay. Now, you spoke to Mr.
17		DiPucchio about how by December you had accumulated
18		your short position. At that point had you done
19		anything with respect to the second part of the
20		transaction, covering as low as possible?
21		A. No, my recollection is there was
22		some distance between those events.
23	731	Q. So how do you ultimately decide on
24		when to cover the position, as you described it?
25		A. It is driven really by well,
21 22 23	731	A. No, my recollection is the some distance between those events.  Q. So how do you ultimately d

part of the job that we do is we continually 1 2 monitor the position, whether it is a long or a short, you know, both as you are going into it and 4 once you have it on and once you are closing it 5 And what you are looking for is are there any positive or negative changes in circumstances that 6 7 influence your thesis on it, rightly or wrongly, the value positively or negatively, and you are 8 also looking for changes obviously in the 9 10 underlying price of the security. 11 So you know, when we are coming out of 12 the short position, we are looking for 13 opportunities to opportunistically purchase when 14 liquidities are available and prices are low. 732 And did such a situation arise in 15 0. 16 the past few months? 17 Yeah, after the earnings for the Α. 18 fourth quarter were presented to the market, there 19 was a series of analyst downgrades which occurred, 20 and the share price declined reasonably 21 significantly once those financial results had been 22 published. 23 733 The third point, during the 0. 24 discussion about Wind, you told Mr. DiPucchio that 25 the August 7 offer made by the new syndicate,



including West Face, dealt with a regulatory 1 2 condition that was important to the vendor. Why 3 did you think it was important to the vendor? Well, I guess from the outset of 4 Α. 5 the process, you know, we originally got involved in Wind on the basis that we were going to provide 6 7 a loan proposal to the company. That went all the way back to I believe '09 and then was reinitiated 8 on our first contact in November of 2013. 9 10 The objective of that was basically 11 they had an immediate fire they needed to put out, 12 which was maturing vendor obligations, their first 13 lien debt owed to Huawei, Alcatel, and other 14 lendors. And that was the first proposal we put 15 in. 16 It then became very clear that the 17 federal government as a result of their 18 relationship with the Russian government, whether 19 security concerns, economic sanctions, political 20 sanctions, they, as the press reported, made it 21 clear that VimpelCom was never going to be in a 22 position to exercise both voting and economic 23 control over this company. 24 That turned them into not only a party

that wanted to refinance the debt, but also a

25

company that wanted just to get out of the country and out of the business as expediently as possible.

And from the outset, it had been explained to us from the advisor UBS that you are basically buying the business at a very discounted valuation on an as-is/where-is basis with limited reps and warranties, where certainty of closing and timeline of closing are two of the most important things for our client, and that will remain the message throughout. And unlike many processes we have been involved in, it actually went so far as to actually, rather than asking us for a price, indicate a rough enterprise value range that was acceptable ballpark.

Q. I can't remember if it is in the record already, so just we are clear, what is the connection between Russia and VimpelCom?

A. Well, the ultimate mind and management -- so going way back, Wind was originally owned by Orascom or one of its affiliates. VimpelCom purchased I believe it was Orascom's parent company, and Wind came under the umbrella of VimpelCom which basically had, you know, mind and management that was unpalatable to the Canadian government, as far as it has been

1		explained to us.
2	735	Q. And where were they located?
3		A. In Russia.
4	736	Q. And the last point, in the
5		discussion on BDCs you discussed one point of
6		distinction between Callidus and BDCs is that BDCs
7		were taxed at the personal level, whereas Callidus
8		was taxed at the corporate level or at the entity
9		level?
LO		A. Yes.
L1	737	Q. Which do you perceive from an
L2		investment perspective to be more favourable?
L3		A. Well, I think most of these credit
L4		vehicles, and the income trusts were a historical
L5		artifact of this, were set up to generate recurring
L6		distributable income to investors. And you know,
L7		it is our perception or our judgment that most
L8		investors, institutional or retail, own income
L9		products for the recurring distribution of income
20		and that funding of growth can be accommodated
21		through, you know, additional leverage applied on a
22		consistent basis to extension of gross assets.
23		So I would contend that in a business
24		that is set up to basically make performing loans
25		to companies that are solvent where recovery of



principal is highly certain and where consistency of income generation and distributions is paramount and you are beholden to a distribution policy, you know, investors generally favour a flow-through of that income.

And that is certainly, you know, the perspective of sophisticated institutional investors that back our credit fund vehicle, is it is an income product where return of principal, volatility is presumed to be low, certainty of collection is high, and predictability of income is also high.

Q. And I think you may have been sort of assuming the answer to the question I was asking, but what is the distinction between when you are taxed at the personal level versus being taxed at the corporate level?

A. Well, it affects your after-tax cash flows, and obviously, you know, individuals may have differing tax situations that may be better or worse than what the corporation would experience themselves. They may hold these in tax-advantaged accounts which shelter the income and really make the issue go away in their entirety.

```
I think the important point is that,
 1
     you know, investors value the distribution of the
 2
 3
     income out of the vehicles and a flow-through of
 4
     that income to them on a predictable basis.
 5
                  MR. MILNE-SMITH: Okay, thank you.
 6
     Those are my questions.
 7
 8
     -- Adjourned at 3:08 p.m.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
2.2
23
24
25
```

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, DEANA SANTEDICOLA, RPR, CRR,
4	CSR, Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify:
5	That the foregoing proceedings were
6	taken before me at the time and place therein set
7	forth, at which time the witness was put under oath
8	by me;
9	That the testimony of the witness
10	and all objections made at the time of the
11	examination were recorded stenographically by me
12	and were thereafter transcribed;
13	That the foregoing is a true and
14	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
15	
16	
17	
18	Dated this 8th day of May, 2015.
19	
20	Dogna Cantodicola
21	<u> Deana Santedicola</u>
22	NEESONS
23	PER: DEANA SANTEDICOLA, RPR, CRR, CSR
24	
25	



\$	<b>12</b> 6:23 115:15,21 190:15	<b>14th</b> 175:11 191:17 192:2	<b>18</b> 7:21 162:3
Ψ	<b>120</b> 28:3	<b>15</b> 7:9 26:5 109:18	<b>180</b> 45:1
<b>\$10,176,000</b> 128:25	<b>120</b> 28:3 <b>121</b> 28:6	128:17 175:1	<b>181</b> 45:6
130:7		<b>150</b> 35:23	<b>182</b> 45:9
	<b>122</b> 28:9	<b>151</b> 36:2 146:24	<b>183</b> 45:13
0	<b>123</b> 28:20 133:3,8	<b>152</b> 36:25	<b>184</b> 45:18
<b>09</b> 200:8	<b>124</b> 29:1	<b>153</b> 37:4 160:17	<b>185</b> 46:1
	<b>125</b> 29:6	<b>154</b> 37:8	<b>186</b> 46:25
1	<b>126</b> 29:11	<b>155</b> 37:14	<b>187</b> 47:5
4	<b>127</b> 29:13	<b>156</b> 38:7	<b>188</b> 47:16
<b>1</b> 5:5 71:7 150:18 190:25	<b>128</b> 29:16	<b>157</b> 39:7	<b>189</b> 47:24
<b>10</b> 6:16 52:3 117:20,24	<b>129</b> 29:18		<b>19</b> 7:24 13:20 89:6
129:24	<b>13</b> 7:1 36:5 59:24	<b>158</b> 39:12	186:12
<b>100</b> 23:22	109:16 175:18 181:12	<b>159</b> 39:16	<b>190</b> 48:3
<b>101</b> 24:6	<b>130</b> 29:20	<b>16</b> 7:13 174:1,8,24 183:17 188:6	<b>191</b> 48:11
<b>102</b> 24:10	<b>1301</b> 146:19	<b>160</b> 39:25	<b>192</b> 48:15
<b>103</b> 24:14 60:20	<b>1306</b> 160:18	<b>161</b> 40:3	<b>193</b> 49:5
<b>104</b> 24:20	<b>131</b> 30:1	<b>162</b> 40:8	<b>194</b> 49:13
<b>105</b> 25:1	<b>132</b> 30:5	<b>163</b> 40:14	<b>195</b> 49:20
<b>106</b> 25:4	<b>133</b> 30:11	<b>164</b> 40:20	<b>196</b> 50:1
<b>107</b> 25:7	<b>134</b> 30:20		<b>197</b> 50:6
<b>108</b> 25:11	<b>135</b> 31:4	<b>165</b> 41:3	<b>198</b> 50:10
<b>109</b> 25:14	<b>136</b> 31:8	<b>166</b> 41:12	<b>199</b> 50:13
<b>10:04</b> 5:1	<b>137</b> 31:11	<b>167</b> 41:18	<b>1997</b> 8:8 61:21
<b>10.04</b> 5.1 <b>11</b> 6:19	<b>138</b> 31:14	<b>168</b> 41:23	<b>19th</b> 160:19 175:16
	<b>139</b> 32:13	<b>169</b> 42:7	<b>1:00</b> 151:4
<b>110</b> 25:19 111:22	<b>14</b> 7:6 175:9	<b>17</b> 7:17 142:16 174:23	1.00 151.4
<b>111</b> 26:3	<b>140</b> 33:6	<b>170</b> 42:10	2
<b>112</b> 26:8	<b>141</b> 33:11	<b>171</b> 42:13	
<b>113</b> 26:12	<b>142</b> 33:20	<b>172</b> 42:18	<b>2</b> 5:7 128:12 151:3
<b>114</b> 26:20	<b>143</b> 33:24	<b>173</b> 43:4	166:21 192:1
<b>115</b> 26:24	<b>144</b> 34:2	<b>174</b> 43:15	<b>2.2</b> 12:1,8,14
<b>116</b> 27:3	<b>145</b> 34:10	<b>175</b> 43:20	<b>2.6</b> 166:10,15
<b>117</b> 27:11	<b>146</b> 34:16	<b>176</b> 43:24	<b>20</b> 8:3 13:21,22 85:24 116:10,20
<b>118</b> 27:19 70:9,12 71:4	<b>147</b> 35:4	<b>177</b> 44:4 60:2	<b>20.5</b> 165:19
<b>119</b> 27:24 84:1	<b>147</b> 35.4 <b>148</b> 35:10	<b>178</b> 44:10	<b>200</b> 50:18
<b>11:27</b> 83:22		<b>179</b> 44:18	<b>2003</b> 7:19
<b>11:44</b> 83:23	<b>149</b> 35:14	<b>17th</b> 166:14	2003 7.18

Index: \$10,176,000..2003

SRIFFIN, ANTHONY ON IV	11ay 00, 2015		Index: 2006300
<b>2006</b> 5:12 7:20	<b>215</b> 55:18	<b>247</b> 66:12	<b>276</b> 75:6
<b>201</b> 50:21	<b>216</b> 56:2	<b>248</b> 66:15	<b>277</b> 75:16
<b>2011</b> 109:15	<b>217</b> 56:6	<b>249</b> 66:18	<b>278</b> 76:5
<b>2012</b> 48:14 109:16	<b>218</b> 56:14	<b>24th</b> 30:13 31:17 34:13	<b>279</b> 76:17
<b>2013</b> 48:14 57:20,21	<b>219</b> 56:24	38:2 112:4	<b>27th</b> 14:1 19:8 35:20
114:14 128:24 129:22 130:15 200:9	<b>22</b> 8:9	<b>25</b> 8:22 167:12,14	39:12,17 59:23 124:10
<b>2014</b> 10:21 14:1 18:20	<b>220</b> 57:6	<b>250</b> 66:22	<b>28</b> 9:14
19:8 20:20 21:8,15,20	<b>221</b> 57:11	<b>251</b> 67:3	<b>280</b> 77:13
22:22 23:7 24:7,10 30:23 36:5 41:5 58:7	<b>222</b> 58:6	<b>252</b> 67:13	<b>281</b> 77:21
59:23 60:4 70:10 73:22	<b>223</b> 58:12	<b>253</b> 68:4	<b>282</b> 77:23
75:8,19,20 76:10 78:24 80:13 86:14 87:5,17	<b>224</b> 58:15	<b>254</b> 68:14	<b>283</b> 78:1
103:2 108:19 109:16	<b>225</b> 58:23	<b>255</b> 68:22	<b>284</b> 78:11
110:6 128:17 131:2 158:15 159:5 160:19	<b>226</b> 59:3	<b>256</b> 69:2	<b>285</b> 78:21
172:22 174:7,8,24	<b>227</b> 59:7	<b>257</b> 69:10	<b>286</b> 79:3
175:1 180:22 186:12 187:25 191:17 192:2	<b>228</b> 59:12	<b>258</b> 69:21	<b>287</b> 79:7
<b>2015</b> 5:17,20 6:10 21:5,	<b>229</b> 59:21	<b>259</b> 69:25	<b>288</b> 79:12
13 81:5,10,15,23 82:10,	<b>22nd</b> 110:6	<b>25th</b> 37:1	<b>289</b> 79:17
22 102:3,4,5 108:8 109:13 110:9 124:10,20	<b>23</b> 8:13 24:7,10 174:7	<b>26</b> 9:1 30:23 175:9	<b>28th</b> 110:9
172:17	<b>230</b> 60:10	<b>260</b> 70:5	<b>29</b> 9:18
<b>2017</b> 160:24 162:3	<b>231</b> 60:14	<b>261</b> 70:12	<b>290</b> 79:22
<b>2017/18</b> 148:23	<b>232</b> 60:17	<b>262</b> 70:18	<b>291</b> 80:1
<b>2018</b> 159:21 160:13,24	<b>233</b> 61:17	<b>263</b> 70:21	<b>292</b> 80:9
161:16,21 <b>202</b> 50:25	<b>234</b> 61:22	<b>264</b> 71:12	<b>293</b> 80:11
	<b>235</b> 62:7	<b>265</b> 71:17	<b>294</b> 80:18
<b>203</b> 51:5 <b>204</b> 51:12	<b>236</b> 62:11	<b>266</b> 72:1	<b>295</b> 80:25
	<b>237</b> 62:14	<b>267</b> 72:15	<b>296</b> 81:4
<b>205</b> 51:18	<b>238</b> 63:3	<b>268</b> 72:21	<b>297</b> 81:10
<b>206</b> 51:22 <b>207</b> 52:6	<b>239</b> 63:6	<b>269</b> 72:25	<b>298</b> 81:14
	<b>24</b> 8:18 30:23	<b>26th</b> 30:13 31:17 37:5, 10,25 38:2,4 41:5 60:4,	<b>299</b> 81:17
<b>208</b> 52:10 <b>209</b> 52:17	<b>240</b> 63:21	11 175:12	<b>2:01</b> 151:5
<b>21</b> 8:6	<b>241</b> 64:6	<b>27</b> 9:4	<b>2:53</b> 195:11
	<b>242</b> 64:18	<b>270</b> 73:3	<b>2:57</b> 195:12
<b>210</b> 53:12 <b>211</b> 53:19	<b>243</b> 64:24	<b>271</b> 73:13	3
<b>211</b> 53:19 <b>212</b> 54:8	<b>244</b> 65:4	<b>272</b> 73:21	
	<b>245</b> 65:9	<b>273</b> 73:25	<b>3</b> 5:10 124:2
<b>717</b> E4.40	İ	1	20 004
<b>213</b> 54:13	<b>246</b> 65:13	<b>274</b> 74:15	<b>30</b> 9:21
<b>213</b> 54:13 <b>214</b> 55:14	<b>246</b> 65:13	<b>274</b> 74:15 <b>275</b> 74:21	<b>300</b> 9:21 <b>300</b> 81:22



Index: 2006..300

ANTHONY C	11 May 06, 2015		index: 301
<b>801</b> 82:2	<b>332</b> 90:24	<b>365</b> 98:11	<b>398</b> 105:6
<b>802</b> 82:6	<b>333</b> 91:13	<b>366</b> 98:13	<b>399</b> 105:9
<b>303</b> 82:9	<b>334</b> 91:15	<b>367</b> 99:7	<b>3:08</b> 204:9
<b>304</b> 82:14	<b>335</b> 91:18	<b>368</b> 99:9	
<b>305</b> 82:17	<b>336</b> 92:2	<b>369</b> 99:14	4
<b>306</b> 82:21	<b>337</b> 92:7	<b>37</b> 10:24	<b>4</b> 5:13
<b>307</b> 83:25	<b>338</b> 92:11	<b>370</b> 99:23	<b>40</b> 11:9
<b>308</b> 84:7	<b>339</b> 92:19	<b>371</b> 100:6	<b>400</b> 105:16
<b>309</b> 84:13	<b>34</b> 10:13	<b>372</b> 100:11	<b>401</b> 105:22
<b>30th</b> 158:15	<b>340</b> 92:21	<b>373</b> 100:14	<b>402</b> 106:3
<b>31</b> 9:25 128:24	<b>341</b> 93:7	<b>374</b> 100:18	<b>403</b> 106:6
<b>310</b> 85:6	<b>342</b> 93:14	<b>375</b> 100:22	<b>404</b> 106:9
<b>311</b> 85:9	<b>343</b> 93:17	<b>376</b> 101:1	<b>405</b> 106:14
<b>312</b> 85:16	<b>344</b> 93:21	<b>377</b> 101:6	<b>406</b> 106:17
<b>313</b> 85:19	<b>345</b> 93:24	<b>378</b> 101:16	<b>407</b> 106:20
<b>314</b> 86:1	<b>346</b> 94:6	<b>379</b> 101:20	<b>408</b> 106:23
<b>315</b> 86:4	<b>347</b> 94:14	<b>38</b> 11:2 60:18	<b>409</b> 107:9
<b>316</b> 86:12	<b>348</b> 94:18	<b>380</b> 102:2	<b>41</b> 11:12
<b>317</b> 86:18	<b>349</b> 94:22	<b>381</b> 102:5	<b>410</b> 107:23
<b>318</b> 86:22	<b>35</b> 10:16	<b>382</b> 102:7	<b>411</b> 108:12
<b>319</b> 87:3	<b>350</b> 94:25	<b>383</b> 102:12	<b>412</b> 108:22
<b>31st</b> 36:5 106:4	<b>351</b> 95:4	<b>384</b> 102:15	<b>413</b> 108:25
<b>32</b> 10:4	<b>352</b> 95:16	<b>385</b> 102:20	<b>414</b> 109:6
<b>320</b> 87:7	<b>353</b> 95:18	<b>386</b> 102:24	<b>415</b> 109:8
<b>321</b> 87:15 158:14	<b>354</b> 95:22	<b>387</b> 103:4	<b>416</b> 109:13
<b>322</b> 88:4	<b>355</b> 96:3	<b>388</b> 103:7	<b>417</b> 109:20
<b>323</b> 88:14	<b>356</b> 96:7	<b>389</b> 103:14	<b>418</b> 110:5
<b>324</b> 88:18	<b>357</b> 96:13	<b>39</b> 11:6 47:1	<b>419</b> 110:8
<b>325</b> 88:25	<b>358</b> 96:18	<b>390</b> 103:18	<b>42</b> 11:20 36:9
<b>326</b> 89:6	<b>359</b> 97:3	<b>391</b> 103:21	<b>420</b> 110:11
<b>327</b> 89:16	<b>36</b> 10:21	<b>392</b> 103:24	<b>421</b> 110:15
<b>328</b> 89:22	<b>360</b> 97:8	<b>393</b> 104:6	<b>422</b> 110:25
<b>329</b> 90:8	<b>361</b> 97:17	<b>394</b> 104:9	<b>423</b> 111:8
<b>33</b> 10:9 128:13,14	<b>362</b> 97:22	<b>395</b> 104:12	<b>424</b> 111:17
<b>330</b> 90:15	<b>363</b> 98:4	<b>396</b> 104:22	<b>425</b> 111:22
<b>331</b> 90:21	<b>364</b> 98:8	<b>397</b> 105:2	<b>426</b> 112:14



GINIT IIN, ANTITIONT OIL	Way 00, 2015		11dex. 427334
<b>427</b> 112:17	<b>46</b> 12:8 94:8 100:15	<b>492</b> 129:7	<b>522</b> 135:20
<b>428</b> 112:22	166:20	<b>493</b> 129:13	<b>523</b> 135:25
<b>429</b> 113:4	<b>460</b> 121:9	<b>494</b> 129:16	<b>524</b> 136:2
<b>43</b> 11:22 186:6,7	<b>461</b> 121:19	<b>495</b> 129:19	<b>525</b> 136:6
<b>430</b> 113:6	<b>462</b> 121:22	<b>496</b> 130:2	<b>526</b> 136:11
<b>431</b> 113:11	<b>463</b> 121:25	<b>497</b> 130:6	<b>527</b> 136:13
<b>432</b> 113:24	<b>464</b> 122:3	<b>498</b> 130:9	<b>528</b> 137:1
<b>433</b> 114:7	<b>465</b> 122:10	<b>499</b> 130:12	<b>529</b> 137:24
<b>434</b> 114:15	<b>466</b> 122:20	-	— <b>53</b> 13:13
<b>435</b> 114:19	<b>467</b> 122:25	5	<b>530</b> 138:4
<b>436</b> 114:24	<b>468</b> 123:4	<b>5</b> 5:16	<b>531</b> 138:16
<b>437</b> 115:4	<b>469</b> 123:10	<b>50</b> 13:1	<b>532</b> 138:21
<b>438</b> 115:8	<b>47</b> 12:12 89:18	<b>500</b> 130:14	<b>533</b> 138:25
<b>439</b> 115:11	<b>470</b> 123:20	<b>501</b> 130:17	<b>534</b> 139:4
<b>44</b> 11:25	<b>471</b> 124:4	<b>502</b> 130:19	<b>535</b> 139:6
<b>440</b> 115:14	<b>472</b> 124:8	<b>503</b> 130:23	<b>536</b> 139:16
<b>441</b> 115:20	<b>473</b> 124:14	<b>504</b> 131:3	<b>537</b> 139:22
<b>442</b> 116:4	<b>474</b> 124:22	<b>505</b> 131:8	<b>538</b> 140:1
<b>443</b> 116:7	<b>475</b> 125:2	<b>506</b> 131:12	<b>539</b> 140:10
<b>444</b> 116:10	<b>476</b> 125:8	<b>507</b> 131:21	<b>54</b> 13:15 124:1
<b>445</b> 116:19	<b>477</b> 125:12	<b>508</b> 131:25	<b>540</b> 140:15
<b>446</b> 117:4	<b>478</b> 125:16	<b>509</b> 132:14	<b>541</b> 140:23
<b>447</b> 117:9	<b>479</b> 125:24	<b>51</b> 13:7	<b>542</b> 141:2
<b>448</b> 117:12	<b>48</b> 12:19 133:9	<b>510</b> 132:17	<b>543</b> 141:7
<b>449</b> 118:6	<b>480</b> 126:4	<b>511</b> 132:20	<b>544</b> 141:12
<b>45</b> 12:4 143:2 166:9	<b>481</b> 126:6	<b>512</b> 132:23	<b>545</b> 141:16
<b>450</b> 118:12	<b>482</b> 126:9	<b>513</b> 133:2	<b>546</b> 141:21
<b>451</b> 118:15	<b>483</b> 126:12	<b>514</b> 133:8	<b>547</b> 142:2
<b>452</b> 118:17	<b>484</b> 126:25	<b>515</b> 133:13	<b>548</b> 142:7
<b>453</b> 118:20	<b>485</b> 127:17	<b>516</b> 133:20	<b>549</b> 143:6
<b>454</b> 119:14	<b>486</b> 127:21	<b>517</b> 133:24	<b>55</b> 13:19 30:20 38:22,
<b>455</b> 119:24	<b>487</b> 128:6	<b>518</b> 134:4	24
<b>456</b> 120:12	<b>488</b> 128:10	<b>519</b> 134:7	<b>550</b> 143:8
<b>457</b> 120:16	<b>489</b> 128:19	<b>52</b> 13:10	<b>551</b> 143:13
<b>458</b> 120:24	<b>49</b> 12:22 136:6	<b>520</b> 135:7	<b>552</b> 143:19
<b>459</b> 121:4	<b>490</b> 128:22	<b>521</b> 135:17	<b>553</b> 143:23
	<b>491</b> 129:3	100.17	<b>554</b> 144:2
L			



RIFFIN, ANTHONY	•		Index: 5556
<b>555</b> 144:6	<b>588</b> 152:22	<b>618</b> 159:7	<b>650</b> 167:24
<b>556</b> 144:10	<b>589</b> 153:3	<b>619</b> 159:13	<b>651</b> 168:3
<b>557</b> 144:14	<b>59</b> 14:16	<b>62</b> 15:7 171:2	<b>652</b> 168:7
<b>558</b> 144:18	<b>590</b> 153:10	<b>620</b> 159:18	<b>653</b> 168:16
<b>559</b> 144:21	<b>591</b> 153:15	<b>621</b> 160:5	<b>654</b> 168:21
<b>56</b> 14:5 142:19	<b>592</b> 153:20	<b>622</b> 160:10	<b>655</b> 169:13
<b>560</b> 145:3	<b>593</b> 129:7,8 153:22	<b>623</b> 160:17	<b>656</b> 169:15
<b>561</b> 145:6	<b>594</b> 154:2	<b>624</b> 160:21	<b>657</b> 169:19
<b>562</b> 145:11	<b>595</b> 154:10	<b>625</b> 161:5	<b>658</b> 169:21
<b>563</b> 145:16	<b>596</b> 154:14	<b>626</b> 161:8	<b>659</b> 170:1
<b>564</b> 146:6	<b>597</b> 154:20	<b>627</b> 161:11	<b>66</b> 16:2
<b>565</b> 146:10	<b>598</b> 154:24	<b>628</b> 161:19	<b>660</b> 170:4
<b>566</b> 146:14	<b>599</b> 155:5	<b>629</b> 162:4	<b>661</b> 170:18
<b>567</b> 146:19		- <b>63</b> 15:14	<b>662</b> 171:4
<b>568</b> 147:1	6	<b>630</b> 162:9	<b>663</b> 171:13
<b>569</b> 147:12	<b>6</b> 5:19 128:7,23 129:2,4	<b>631</b> 162:13	<b>664</b> 172:21
<b>57</b> 14:11	17,20 130:6,15 175:19	<b>632</b> 163:8	<b>665</b> 173:4
<b>570</b> 147:16	<b>60</b> 14:22 141:6	<b>633</b> 163:15	<b>666</b> 173:10
<b>571</b> 148:1	<b>600</b> 155:7	<b>634</b> 163:19	<b>667</b> 173:16
<b>572</b> 148:4	<b>601</b> 155:19	<b>635</b> 163:22	<b>668</b> 173:19
<b>573</b> 148:11	<b>602</b> 156:7	<b>636</b> 164:2	<b>669</b> 173:23
<b>574</b> 148:16	<b>603</b> 156:15	<b>637</b> 164:6	<b>67</b> 16:5
<b>575</b> 148:19	<b>604</b> 156:20	<b>638</b> 165:2	<b>670</b> 174:11
<b>576</b> 148:24	<b>605</b> 156:24	<b>639</b> 165:8	<b>671</b> 174:22
<b>577</b> 149:4	<b>606</b> 157:3	<b>64</b> 15:19	<b>672</b> 175:6
<b>578</b> 149:11	<b>607</b> 157:8	<b>640</b> 165:12	<b>673</b> 175:11
<b>579</b> 149:17	<b>608</b> 157:12	<b>641</b> 165:14	<b>674</b> 175:16
<b>58</b> 14:13 52:2	<b>609</b> 157:16	<b>642</b> 165:21	<b>675</b> 175:21
<b>580</b> 149:24	<b>61</b> 14:24 187:22	<b>643</b> 166:1	<b>676</b> 176:2
<b>581</b> 150:6	<b>610</b> 157:19	<b>644</b> 166:6	<b>677</b> 176:8
<b>582</b> 151:7	<b>611</b> 157:23	<b>645</b> 166:14	<b>678</b> 176:10
<b>583</b> 151:15	<b>612</b> 128:10 158:1	<b>646</b> 166:23	<b>679</b> 176:12
<b>584</b> 151:24	<b>613</b> 158:7	<b>647</b> 167:2	<b>68</b> 16:12
<b>585</b> 152:3	<b>614</b> 158:14	<b>648</b> 167:5	<b>680</b> 178:2
<b>586</b> 152:8	<b>615</b> 158:18	<b>649</b> 167:14	<b>681</b> 178:9
<b>587</b> 152:19	<b>616</b> 159:1	<b>65</b> 15:23	<b>682</b> 178:18
	<b>617</b> 159:4		



GINIT IIN, AINTHONT OITIN	nay 00, 2013		index. 005activities
<b>683</b> 178:22	<b>712</b> 191:14	<b>773</b> 97:24 98:2	ability 136:15
<b>684</b> 179:4	<b>713</b> 191:21	<b>78</b> 18:15	<b>ABL</b> 61:10 62:3 63:9
<b>685</b> 179:6	<b>714</b> 192:4	<b>79</b> 18:18	90:7,9,11,17,18,23 137:5 138:7 139:12
<b>686</b> 179:11	<b>715</b> 192:9	<b>793</b> 94:15	<b>ABLS</b> 61:16
<b>687</b> 179:23	<b>716</b> 192:13	<b>7th</b> 5:17 6:10	absence 59:14
<b>688</b> 180:6	<b>717</b> 192:16		absolutely 87:22
<b>689</b> 180:12	<b>718</b> 192:20	8	182:23
<b>69</b> 16:17	<b>719</b> 192:24	<b>8</b> 6:4 167:15	acceptable 201:14
<b>690</b> 181:1	<b>72</b> 17:6	<b>80</b> 18:20	access 122:9 125:11
<b>691</b> 181:17	<b>720</b> 193:6	<b>81</b> 18:23	accessed 124:9
<b>692</b> 181:24	<b>721</b> 193:8	<b>82</b> 19:4	178:6,7
<b>693</b> 182:11	<b>722</b> 193:13	<b>83</b> 19:7	accommodated 202:20
<b>694</b> 182:16	<b>723</b> 194:9	<b>84</b> 19:12	accompanying
<b>695</b> 182:22	<b>724</b> 194:15	<b>85</b> 19:17	31:23
<b>696</b> 182:24	<b>725</b> 195:14	<b>86</b> 19:22	account 7:4 63:15
<b>697</b> 183:3	<b>726</b> 196:18	<b>87</b> 20:5	93:3 95:1 96:11 183:24
<b>698</b> 184:18	<b>727</b> 196:24	<b>878</b> 108:9 109:17	<b>accounting</b> 95:13 96:24 131:1 146:17
<b>699</b> 185:4	<b>728</b> 197:25	<b>88</b> 20:9	accounts 65:24 66:1
<b>6th</b> 5:20	<b>729</b> 198:7	<b>89</b> 20:11	68:2 90:13 93:9,12,15, 16,20 138:10 203:23
	<b>73</b> 17:14		accumulate 29:25
7	<b>730</b> 198:16	9	33:12 70:7
<b>7</b> 5:25 199:25	<b>731</b> 198:23	<b>9</b> 6:9	accumulated 79:4,
<b>70</b> 16:21	<b>732</b> 199:15	<b>90</b> 20:15	14 101:13 102:17 109:25 196:20 198:17
<b>700</b> 186:1	<b>733</b> 199:23	<b>91</b> 20:22	accumulating 33:10
<b>701</b> 186:15	<b>734</b> 201:15	<b>92</b> 21:3	103:1 108:15 118:1
<b>702</b> 186:23	<b>735</b> 202:2	<b>93</b> 21:19 166:8	189:24 198:3
<b>703</b> 187:8	<b>736</b> 202:4	<b>94</b> 21:25	accumulation 103:15 108:4 109:10
<b>704</b> 187:12	<b>737</b> 202:11	<b>95</b> 22:4 169:6	accurate 7:4 47:17,25
<b>705</b> 187:19	<b>738</b> 203:13	<b>96</b> 22:14	achieved 113:21,22
<b>706</b> 188:22	<b>74</b> 17:19	<b>97</b> 22:17	acknowledge 15:23
<b>707</b> 189:4	<b>745</b> 108:6	<b>98</b> 22:20	27:25 168:14 178:22
<b>708</b> 189:9	<b>747</b> 108:12	<b>99</b> 23:6	acquire 49:3
<b>709</b> 189:19	<b>75</b> 17:24 169:1		acting 46:21
<b>71</b> 16:24	<b>755</b> 109:21 166:20	Α	action 18:14 20:24
<b>710</b> 190:8	<b>76</b> 18:2		71:25 107:15 189:24
<b>711</b> 191:9	<b>77</b> 18:7	<b>a.m.</b> 5:1 83:22,23	active 173:4,8
		aberration 197:6	<b>activities</b> 71:2 188:13



Index: 683..activities

**activity** 10:5,12

**actual** 18:16 100:7 101:6 106:12 165:22 182:18

add 78:4

added 26:1

**additional** 98:24 103:12 147:20 202:21

additions 6:6

**address** 32:6 129:15 171:1 195:15

Adjourned 204:9

admit 176:25

admitted 14:5

advance 54:3

**advanced** 55:1 99:12 101:4

**advances** 66:8 117:25 164:17

advise 83:2

**advised** 32:19 118:24 174:18 175:7

advisement 21:1 26:18 31:20 32:10 35:8 40:18 42:16 75:23 76:15 79:1,20 81:20 83:1,11 89:3 104:20 105:12 107:7 126:17 153:18 156:5 159:11 185:19 189:2 194:22 195:4

**advisor** 118:24 120:20 134:20 165:10 201:4

**advisors** 7:15 51:10 58:1 193:19,20

**affect** 145:11,13

affected 147:1

**affects** 203:18

**affidavit** 5:16,20 6:9, 15,20,24 7:2,4 8:9 12:1 13:17 14:6 26:5 27:25 28:6 30:12,21 31:21 38:1 45:7,19,22 46:4,7 49:6,7,14 50:11,22 51:23 56:15 57:1,2

58:16 60:18 70:21 76:18 84:1 85:21 89:18 107:13 110:21 111:23 112:8 114:4 124:12,19 125:5,7,25 126:2 131:15 133:3 136:2,7 148:25 160:6,18 166:23 167:3,12,20 170:21,22 171:19,21 173:10,13, 17,24 176:13 177:16 178:10,23 179:25 180:14 181:14 186:7 187:21 191:15 192:5

**affidavits** 5:13 6:1,7,

affiliates 201:21 affiliation 170:15

**affirm** 39:13

affirmations 113:20 affirmed 56:23 113:8

afforded 160:2

**after-tax** 203:18

agent 134:19 198:13

**aggregate** 95:9 115:11

aging 66:6

**agree** 11:17,21 37:8,11 39:7 42:20 43:7 44:12, 19 50:18 61:22 66:18 67:13 68:4,16 69:2,10 109:24 124:17 167:9, 15,24 168:3,7,21,24 169:9,15

agreed 36:25 93:14

**agreement** 13:25 49:2 59:4 168:2 169:24 170:6

ahead 65:4 112:17

**Aird** 134:17

Aland 80:10 100:17

Alcatel 200:13

**alert** 25:16

**alerted** 17:20 19:24 33:13

**alerts** 134:12

Alex 20:7 41:7

**Alex's** 43:10

**allegation** 83:4 107:19 176:17,19,20,24 177:12,13 181:18

**allegations** 46:14 162:23 188:18,20

**allege** 176:25

alleged 164:13

allegedly 175:22

alleging 177:25 178:2

Allen 155:2

allowance 128:24

allowances 130:3

**alternative** 10:20 119:7 120:10

altruistic 157:12

Amaranth 7:15,18

**amended** 175:19

**American** 135:15 136:9,23 148:4 149:16, 20 159:14

amorphous 55:10

**amount** 34:7 55:24 84:18,21,25 196:11

**amounts** 87:25 117:24

analyses 100:3

**analysis** 25:22 26:1, 21 28:18,22 30:14,25 32:20 64:9 77:14 78:12, 17,19 94:9 98:5,7,8,9, 15,16 109:3 123:13 146:6 197:9

**analyst** 52:24 58:14 80:4 151:20 152:4,5,9 155:3 174:19 199:19

**analysts** 53:1 54:9 58:3 189:7

analysts' 74:9 analyzed 32:23 analyzing 174:2

and/or 135:5 194:10

Index: activity..appraisals

**announced** 24:11 34:9 77:10

announcement 48:9

announcements 74:13

**annual** 73:11

anomaly 197:7

answering 157:4

answers 79:3 80:20

ANTHONY 5:3

anticipated 160:23

**apologies** 13:22 21:16

**apologize** 23:23 116:19 129:20 167:18

**apparently** 28:15 152:15

**appeared** 110:22 141:11 142:6 163:11 164:1

**appears** 191:22

**appended** 124:19 127:25 187:22

Appendices 97:13 appendix 115:16,17,

applicant 116:9

22 116:11

**application** 96:16 162:5 163:13

applications 133:18

**applied** 202:21

**apply** 54:14 55:3 116:1

appointed 96:19

**appraisal** 64:9,16,19, 21 68:23 69:3 120:13, 25 145:18 150:2

**appraisals** 64:18,25 66:19 99:11,17 100:8,9

**appraised** 67:22 149:19.25

apprised 74:5

**approach** 89:12,15

**approval** 71:19 72:6 179:19

approximately 12:1 128:25

**April** 18:20 71:1 73:22 75:7,18 106:6 128:17

**Arcan** 13:16,19,25 24:12,18 25:2,9,17 28:1,4,13 29:9,11,12,14 30:18 31:18 33:13,15, 17,25 34:4,14 37:17 39:18 40:5,25 41:9,24 42:24 43:13 44:23 45:10 46:11 48:13 49:3, 8,16,25 50:8,15 77:8 188:23 194:20 195:1

**Arcan's** 30:7,14,25 31:2 32:21

area 120:7 141:9

areas 162:15 167:6

**arise** 199:15

arrears 65:25

**Arthon** 91:7,15 94:12 95:23 113:14 114:1,7,9 115:5,25 121:25 136:9 140:3,4 143:14

artifact 202:15

as-is/where-is 201:6

**ascertain** 137:18 142:24

ascertainable

aspect 189:15,16

**Aspenleaf** 30:15 31:1 49:2

**Asphalt** 109:23 110:9

asserting 23:13

**assess** 119:2

**asset** 91:5 95:7 113:1 120:22 121:6,22

137:13,14 140:12,25 141:13,16 142:6 150:10 159:25 169:4

**asset-based** 61:1,5, 23 62:8,20 67:14 68:5 69:11,14 136:20 137:6 138:1,8,18,23 139:8

**assets** 12:2,12 61:8 63:16,17,23 64:1,10,15 68:20 69:19 91:10 92:16 95:6 118:15 120:13 121:12,13 122:14 137:8 146:15,17 147:23 149:22 163:4,9, 13,23,25 169:7 202:22

**assign** 33:18 41:10 43:13 86:24

assigned 121:11

assignment 114:12 assignments 51:24

**assist** 53:6 83:1 88:6 92:3,21 93:18

assistance 98:10

assisted 192:19

assisting 81:2

assists 92:24

**associate** 80:6,11 98:10 100:17

associates 81:1

**assume** 13:25 15:2 122:22 150:20

**assumed** 15:15 81:7 121:12,16

**assuming** 32:1 203:14

assumption 148:2

**assumptions** 109:4 150:11

assuredly 78:16

**attached** 26:5 45:24 47:11 49:19

**attachment** 14:17,25 15:24 18:24 25:2

attachments 14:8,9

24:24

attempts 147:7

attention 16:16 attesting 182:6

attributes 93:5

168:19

**atypical** 136:20 139:11

**auction** 112:25 113:15 142:13 188:9

**auctions** 113:10

**audited** 141:22,25 142:3

auditors 64:22

audits 150:8

**August** 178:15 180:10 199:25

August/september 58:7

author 15:5

authority 71:23

Autocanada 152:15

average 138:25

**Aviation** 109:23 110:2 135:11

await 147:25

awaiting 18:12

**aware** 21:4,19,22,25 22:3,20,24 23:2 27:15 35:21,22 36:22 40:24 42:23 43:1 49:23 50:16 71:2 75:6,7 86:2 88:7 97:13 114:8,24 115:5 128:1,22 129:19,21 130:12 149:19 154:8 157:23 178:13,18

awhile 191:6 awkwardly 23:23

**AWS-3** 188:8

В

Bachelor 8:4,6

back 18:11 19:10 33:8 34:16 50:4,5 62:16 78:15 80:16 95:23 106:7 137:3,24 151:2,7 152:21,22 153:6,10 160:15 165:24 172:22 189:8 200:8 201:19 203:8

Index: appraised..BDCS

**background** 9:22 11:3 27:9 160:7 171:5

**backing** 89:20 90:5 91:1,21 92:9,22,25 99:5 137:19

**backs** 90:3

**bad** 121:6

**balance** 63:1 66:25 109:17 140:20,21 141:3,5,8,9 149:21

balances 109:16

**ballpark** 105:17,22 201:14

**bankruptcy** 85:12 121:12 131:17 134:10, 23

banks 61:12 62:3

**base** 91:5

**based** 46:7 60:22 69:3 88:5 170:11 178:3 184:2,8,13,14 185:8

**bases** 138:11

basically 10:23 132:20 137:7 156:11 161:24 165:14 188:11 196:5 197:13 200:10 201:5,23 202:24

**basis** 61:7 64:24 67:14 99:12 119:5 145:23 146:18 149:7 169:12 183:23 196:6 200:6 201:6 202:22 204:4

**BC** 95:8

**BDC** 87:23,24 88:6 167:6 169:1,6

**BDCS** 166:25 167:25 168:4,8,18,22 169:3,11, 16 202:5,6



**bear** 124:19 130:23 170:21 180:12 186:3

**began** 33:10,12 35:20 79:8 84:9 88:10

beginning 60:18

**behalf** 8:23 12:4 13:4 15:1 21:5 24:17 28:12 35:12 40:5,24 42:24 44:23 49:9,17,22,24 51:8 61:14 63:13 194:2, 4 198:13

**beholden** 196:14 203:3

**benefit** 106:12 107:17 156:17 157:8 170:15

**Berlis** 134:17

**bid** 140:12 142:14,15

**bids** 100:4 143:3

**billion** 12:2,10,14

**billions** 12:12,13

**binding** 143:4

**bit** 13:15 70:5 71:18 76:7 104:25 140:1 164:20 170:19

**BLG** 134:18

**Bloomberg** 132:22, 25

Blueberry 92:16

**board** 8:10,14 63:11 160:8 192:10,18 193:22

**bodies** 161:13

**body** 161:1 162:1,6

**Boland** 22:16 58:14 70:14,17 76:11 106:19 153:24 187:9,14

Bombardier 152:14

**book** 71:24 77:5 84:4, 11,16,17 85:20 86:10, 11 88:12 98:16 108:8 145:23 146:15 189:5,13

**booked** 137:16

**books** 62:3

**borrow** 196:10,11

198:9,10

**borrower** 61:24 94:20 136:16 162:25 164:21, 22 165:3,4,9

borrower's 95:14

**borrowers** 85:3,24 86:5,9,16 87:22 88:8 89:8 103:13 137:20 154:23 155:4,17 157:5 158:4 164:16

**borrowing** 67:19 85:24 138:11

**bother** 45:9 156:24

bothered 41:25

**bottom** 14:20 109:22 130:17 177:19

**bought** 140:12

boutique 156:11

**Brandon** 16:10 17:12 27:12 31:24 33:14,17 41:8 42:4 43:14 48:7 50:25 60:5 83:4,15 182:5

**breach** 51:19

**break** 71:17 150:15,19 159:14

**briefly** 162:13

**broad** 61:6 75:10

broader 9:10

broadly 27:14

**Brock** 134:18

**broker** 113:18

brought 16:8,16 46:8

browsing 88:23

bucket 66:1

**building** 163:16,20,23 164:3

**bunch** 56:3

**burned** 172:14

**Burt-gerrans** 173:12, 23 175:7 176:4

**business** 28:7 56:10

62:6 87:21 92:6,8 93:7, 8,11 98:25 111:21 136:24 139:7 162:21 163:4 164:1 166:24,25 167:7 169:10 185:11 194:8 201:2,5 202:23

buy 10:13 111:13

buying 111:18 201:5

C

calendar 152:23

call 74:11 102:8 139:12

**called** 10:19 16:19 52:7

**Callidus** 59:21 60:7, 12,19 70:7 71:9 72:22 73:4 74:23 75:7,18 76:20 77:23 79:15 80:12 81:25 82:3 83:5 87:24 89:20 91:1 100:10 108:8 110:22 111:24 114:9,16,20,25 116:21 117:5,13 118:7 119:6 121:2,17 122:4, 23 126:19 127:7 128:3, 16 129:22 131:7 132:10,12 138:17 140:6,12,21 144:11 151:11,17,19 152:18 154:2,5 156:2,21 157:24 164:9 166:8,9, 15,24 167:7 168:1 169:21 188:9 195:19 196:21 202:6,7

Callidus' 84:4

**Callidus's** 84:10 85:20

Callidus-originated

calling 69:14

calls 53:23 74:11

**Canaccord** 96:22 142:13

**Canada** 67:10 85:13 133:17 135:2 138:8 179:19

Canadian 61:12 62:3

63:11 134:16 201:25

Index: bear..Catalyst

**Cantung** 148:18

canvassing 173:25

**cap** 11:15 65:11,20 66:7

**capacities** 9:9 132:13

**capacity** 7:5 11:11 23:5 24:5 30:17 43:14 62:23 138:14 147:10 182:15

**capital** 5:8 7:22,25 12:24,25 13:1 61:11 62:20 63:16,25 64:3,11, 16 65:23 67:7,18 69:16 71:9 119:10,11,22 120:2 128:16 147:20 162:6 163:2,14 180:5

capitalize 163:4

capture 54:17

captured 126:13

Carcap 164:12,25

care 156:20

**CARLSON** 97:24 185:23

carrying 149:21

**case** 53:7,19 54:12,19, 20 56:2,16 63:7,8 66:22,24 68:18 70:4 72:15 80:2 94:3,19 98:5 113:13,22,23 114:18 127:11,12 131:16 134:22 135:10 136:22 137:15,17 139:21 144:8 149:12 158:21 159:2,5, 9 170:13 173:3 177:21 183:9 184:19 188:14 191:22

**cases** 55:11 69:25 99:15 134:14 170:10

**cash** 61:24 67:3,15 69:17 93:3 98:25 137:9 166:10 168:9,13 170:11 197:8 203:19

**Cassels** 134:18

Catalyst 15:2,21 21:5 24:17 25:17 32:24 40:6 44:8 46:14 50:14 121:1



131:6 178:13

category 61:6

**CCAA** 85:14 86:1,19 91:4,16,19 94:21 96:9, 14 114:9,16,25 115:22 116:2,7 118:17 121:5, 20 122:1 133:18

**cell** 185:11

**CENTA** 191:4

Cerberus 60:7

**certainty** 179:16 180:4,5 201:7 203:10

**chain** 59:25

**chains** 27:14

challenge 123:1

chance 17:18 194:8

**changed** 131:2,5 179:17

**charged** 139:13,17,18

**charges** 138:13 198:14

charging 139:1

**chart** 108:17 109:8 146:10,11

**charts** 108:13

**Chau** 174:18 175:2,8, 22 176:5.12

**check** 19:11 152:22

**chief** 92:16

choice 46:5

choosing 43:19

**chose** 118:7

chronology 86:24

**CIBC** 7:22,24 138:8

**CIPO** 125:21 127:12

**circle** 151:7

**circulated** 26:9 78:9

circumstances 9:9

70:3 199:6

**CIT** 138:8

civil 95:13 113:19

claim 36:25

claimed 111:24

**clarify** 180:17 181:2 194:18

classified 137:13

classifying 140:25

clean 190:14

cleanup 190:8

**clear** 107:12 147:19 183:25 196:24 200:16, 21 201:16

client 188:2 201:9

**close** 142:17 150:21,

closed-ended 168:8

**closing** 105:14 179:19 180:2 199:4 201:7,8

**co-chief** 71:21

coal 95:8

coal-washing

Coalmont 95:7

113:15,23 115:8 117:19 118:25 121:6,8,10,13 137:15

collaborative 57:15

**collateral** 68:15 69:5 89:19 90:3,5,7,10,11, 20,22 91:1,21 92:1,4,9, 22,25 93:1 94:1,10,11 97:9 98:5,21 122:13 136:19,21 137:19 138:12,15 139:10 162:17 164:19

**collection** 64:13 203:11

collectively 179:3

combination 170:11

**commenced** 29:17, 18 36:20

commences 24:7

commencing 5:1

**comment** 147:13 158:14,18,24 165:2 173:25

**commentary** 74:9 120:22

comments 112:5

Commerce 8:4,7

commission 198:14

commitment 84:18 117:21 143:4 170:7

**commitments** 84:18, 19,24,25 85:1

**committee** 11:1 15:11 26:1 72:8,16,18 76:12 101:5,8,11,18

76:12 101:5,8,11,18 102:10 103:19 104:15, 23

**commodity** 146:2 150:11

**common** 9:10 54:8 161:5,14 162:9

communicated 179:8,12 181:20,25

communicating 21:20

communication

21:23 22:1 180:15,19 182:8

communications

74:16 182:2,5 183:16 184:4.11

**companies** 9:6,15 39:18 53:24 61:4 62:12 64:19 91:4 93:13,15,16 145:21 168:11,12 170:13 189:14 202:25

company 20:9,12,16, 23 25:23 29:23 32:23 33:10 52:7 53:7 55:2 61:15 62:25 63:8,16,17, 25 66:24 67:6,12,16,20 69:16 71:2 73:6 74:6,10 75:9,15 77:10 78:6 81:13 84:15 87:20,21 91:6,10 92:5,14,17 93:20,22 95:2,6,12 96:9,20 97:7 98:20,23 106:1 108:20 110:3

111:4,10,15 113:14,19 114:16 117:17,22 120:8 121:5,25 128:23 131:9 134:18 135:10,14 137:8,14 142:15,22,23 143:18 144:15 147:4, 20,24 150:3 151:21 152:6 159:23 160:9 163:12 170:15 193:3,9, 12,16,18 194:1 196:3 197:3,7 200:7,23 201:1,

Index: category..concern

**company's** 96:12 112:12 113:2 131:4

**comparable** 87:20 145:22 197:8

compared 87:23

comparison 88:6 166:24

compensated 170:8

compensation

170:9

compiled 102:11

complete 79:9

**completed** 29:19 166:12

compliance 186:22

**comply** 190:1

component 63:9

components 91:10

composite 15:11

**composition** 84:4,10 85:20 91:5 92:1 169:4

comprehensive

78:18 88:3 101:24 142:13

comprised 169:3

compromise 147:14

**computer** 174:3,7,15, 25 175:8,23 176:5,21 178:7 184:3

concept 11:4

conception 193:24

concern 22:6 23:1



31:25 136:15 162:16 183:17 186:10,17 187:14

**concerned** 17:6 154:3 189:20 194:18

**concerns** 32:6 179:13,24 180:7 200:19

conclude 119:24

concluded 34:13

conclusion 111:1,2

conclusions 154:21

concurrent 62:24

concurrently 171:20

condition 180:2 200:2

**conditions** 67:9 68:11

**conduct** 71:3 96:12, 20,22

**conducted** 75:9 87:14 113:9 123:15 154:7

conducting 164:15

**conference** 53:23 74:11

confidence 32:8

**confidential** 14:18 16:7 17:8 22:8 52:12 83:5,8,14 107:18 188:7, 12,13 189:10,22

confidentiality

14:21 22:25 32:1,4 36:8 50:19,23 51:1,13,19 186:12 187:3,10

confined 138:10

**confirm** 6:5 154:1 184:19

confronted 195:24

confused 129:10

**connection** 61:16 107:6 150:2 201:17

**consequence** 27:16 67:10 196:3

consequences

147:22

**considered** 58:8 67:9 87:20 90:6 113:16 141:13

consistency 203:1

**consistent** 38:5 139:6 149:5,17 202:22

consistently 81:12

constitutes 137:5

constrained 68:2

constraining 66:10

constraints 196:9

construct 64:12

construction 166:11

consult 45:3

consummation 193:24

**contact** 57:22 181:4,6 200:9

contacted 142:20

**contained** 47:13 182:9

contemplating

**contend** 202:23

**content** 46:5 125:21 152:1

**contents** 17:3 18:12 45:23 46:7

**context** 45:11 56:8,11 83:3 119:12 122:19 156:4

**contingent** 119:10 137:11 142:16

continually 199:1

**continue** 123:12

continued 117:23

continuing 121:17

contract 51:3

contracting 113:19

Contractors 91:7 113:14 115:8

contracts 74:7

contributed 15:18

**control** 55:25 184:1 200:23

controlled 114:25

convention 65:5

**conventional** 9:13 90:6,9,11 93:8 137:25 138:18,23 139:8

conventionally

136:21

**conversation** 16:25 22:5 34:18,23,24 35:6 36:7,15 37:22,25 38:3, 8,12,17,19 39:2,5 41:11,24 43:8,11,17 50:4

conversations

74:25 182:19,25

copies 125:18 127:2

**copy** 14:6 18:8 26:9, 12,21 35:18 120:25 126:2,10 190:14

**core** 137:7

**corporate** 169:16 202:8 203:17

**corporation** 71:9 128:16 203:21

**correct** 5:18,21 6:8 8:5,11,12,20 13:12 21:15 28:2 29:15 39:22 66:17 70:11 84:12,13 95:21 100:11 108:11,24 115:13,20 118:19 121:24 128:14,19 132:19 141:20 144:5 167:1 169:18 172:10 175:25 181:23 183:2 192:23

**correctly** 58:17 76:17 110:2 114:14 119:4 141:6 142:15 148:10 160:4 162:22

correspondence

155:8,20,23 156:1

186:9

**cost** 64:14 65:8,19 68:20

Index: concerned..credit

**counsel** 18:4,6,13 19:25 20:6 22:2,6,15,22 23:4,9,12,23 31:6,20 35:2 38:15 40:11 41:7 42:13 45:1,4,23 46:2,4, 20,21 59:12,15,18 75:16 83:2,18 89:2 97:12 107:12 115:20 118:10 166:7 171:17 172:21 173:7 176:16 183:10,19 184:7 185:6 186:21 187:9,12 188:1 190:11 191:24 194:13,

counter 10:8

counter-parties 98:20

counter-party 135:6

counterparty 52:23

country 201:1

**couple** 44:11 86:20 180:16 190:8

Court 44:22 46:12 47:6 48:1,19 50:14 84:20 91:19 96:17 134:10 177:2,17

**cover** 119:6 142:25 167:8 197:17 198:2,24

coverage 69:1 169:2

covered 118:9

**covering** 31:22 61:8 198:5,20

**covers** 52:24 151:20 152:6

create 134:11

**created** 31:24 54:24 78:4 124:9,23 125:4 126:14 135:3 171:14, 18,23 172:6,8

creates 77:10

**credit** 10:20 62:23 65:22 68:1 97:6 98:19, 20 113:2 119:6 121:18 140:12 164:11 202:13



Index: creditors..Dipucchio

203:8

**creditors** 121:19 147:5

credits 86:10

cross-examination

5:4 7:10 36:3 50:2 107:13 195:16

culmination 123:18

**cumulative** 61:20 77:9 88:9 91:2 94:9 104:2 129:11,17,18,23 146:1 147:18

**cumulatively** 86:7 87:1 122:8 136:1 145:24

**current** 109:13 122:18 129:11 137:13,21 182:14

**customers** 63:11,13

**cut** 101:24

#### D

daily 195:25 196:5

**damages** 107:3,15

**Danny** 174:17

**data** 127:12 174:12,13

date 5:22,23 18:22 19:11 20:14 28:17 33:9 34:18 43:3 45:21 49:3 62:16 77:14 80:15 85:1 96:22 101:12 107:25 111:11 124:13,19,22 125:3 140:24 152:23 172:12 176:21

**dated** 37:5 81:5 128:17 175:18

**dates** 110:1 114:13 175:11,14,22

**Davies** 134:17

**day** 29:13 65:18 72:2 170:9 172:14 190:1 196:15

days 5:20 65:25

**Dea** 6:23 7:5 10:24,25 14:2 16:9,13,14,19 17:9,19 19:24 22:16 35:19 53:21 54:3 56:9, 17,19,23 59:24 60:3,4, 11,15 187:5,6 191:16, 18 192:1

**Dea's** 6:20 191:10

**deal** 25:23 26:21 30:14,25 32:21 63:10 132:9 170:16 179:12 181:20 182:9 186:10, 17.19 187:15

**dealers** 75:12

**dealing** 12:13 17:11 55:4 56:1 187:10

dealings 180:8

**dealt** 179:23 180:1 200:1

debate 107:24

**debentures** 29:22

**debt** 9:23 10:1,10,14 136:16 168:22 169:13 200:13,25

**December** 79:11 102:22 103:2 108:14 110:6 114:13 128:24 158:15 159:5 175:1 198:17

**decide** 71:25 72:2 198:23

**decided** 41:10 58:1 70:17 72:19 157:17

**decision** 17:11 54:4, 10 70:13,19 72:15 76:9 157:14

decisions 55:4,12

**deck** 112:18 146:9 149:14 166:20

declined 199:20

declining 65:16

decrease 160:25

**Deepak** 136:10,23 162:14 166:10,16

default 88:1

defaults 68:6

define 122:24

defined 67:18 138:11

**definition** 61:10 90:18 137:6 138:3,5

**degree** 115:2 179:15

deletions 6:6

deliberations 83:2

**delivered** 171:24 175:13,24 177:16

delivering 180:4

demands 57:16

demonstrate 183:13

**Dentons** 22:23 23:7, 17,25

department 173:7

**departure** 20:13,23 183:17

**depend** 52:23 69:22 141:23 143:24

depended 52:22

**dependent** 69:17 150:11

**depending** 55:7 69:25 77:3

**depends** 54:12 62:1 67:17 68:8 69:13 72:4

depleted 162:3

**deploy** 196:2

**DEPONENT** 5:23 34:22 39:4 57:21 71:10 77:19 84:22 97:25 133:11 167:22

**deposit** 95:9 115:12

depreciated 146:18

describe 136:22

describing 92:6,7

description 95:5,8,11

desk 198:12

destroying 18:7

detailed 76:19 95:1

details 89:19 97:14

determination 144:25

**determine** 69:1 71:23 89:8 93:11,25 97:9 99:10 120:1,2,3 174:6 183:5

determined 32:22 46:7

**determining** 91:20 92:4,22,24

**developed** 86:7 92:15 95:10 111:12 160:4

**development** 87:21 95:9 119:8 120:3,7 160:25

**dialogue** 22:13 23:4 157:10

dialoguing 57:24

diamond 163:5

**difference** 9:22 167:6 168:14,16,17

differences 138:20

differing 77:7 203:20

difficult 88:2,5

difficulties 137:22

difficulty 9:7,16 16:2

**diligence** 53:6 142:21 164:15

diligencing 55:2

diligent 76:22

**DIP** 114:22 115:1 117:23

**Dipucchio** 5:4,24 12:11 19:16 20:18,21 21:2,10,14,18 23:13,19, 21 26:16,19 29:10 30:10 32:3,9,12 34:25 35:3,9 36:1 37:3,7,13, 21 38:6,18,23 39:1,6, 11,24 40:13,19 42:17 44:17 45:5 46:9,16,24 47:4.23 58:5.22 59:20 71:11 75:25 76:4.16 77:20 79:2,21 80:24 81:21 83:6,12,16,24 85:5 89:5 94:17 96:6 97:16 98:3 104:21 105:15,21 107:1,8,16, 22 112:16 115:19 116:15,18 118:11 123:9 124:1,3 125:1 126:20, 24 127:20 128:14,18 133:12 146:25 150:13, 18,22 151:3,6 153:2,19 155:18 156:6 157:22 158:13 159:12 166:5, 13,22 167:13,18,23 171:17,22 172:4,11,15, 20 173:22 175:20 176:18 177:1,6,15 178:1 180:25 181:11,16 184:6,17,24 185:3,20, 25 187:18 188:10,15,21 189:3 190:3,7,15,20,23 191:2,5,8,23 192:3 194:14,25 195:5,10,19

direct 130:14

198:3.17 199:24

directed 176:5

**direction** 103:22 104:23,25 106:15 161:13 193:19 194:10

directions 104:9

**directly** 171:16 183:19 186:20

Director 7:14

**Directors** 8:10 192:11

**disagree** 44:12 69:20 167:9

discharged 135:5

**disclose** 44:21 45:7 53:13

**disclosed** 8:21 45:14, 15 81:4 83:4,15 99:13, 19,21,24 100:13 108:21 109:19 123:3 166:9,15 183:13

disclosing 51:9

**disclosure** 49:5,7,8, 13,15 50:13 74:6 84:14

85:4 87:22 88:3,12 91:24,25 112:12 117:2, 6,14 122:23 132:11 135:16 149:10 159:23 160:15 189:20

**disclosures** 116:22 118:8

discount 150:8

**discounted** 197:8 201:5

**discovered** 28:17 85:11 86:25 87:1 117:15

**discovery** 107:15 162:1,6

discrepancies 54:24

**discuss** 7:6 102:9 152:13 154:20 156:10

**discussed** 122:19 132:11 151:16 155:13 182:13 202:5

**discussing** 191:10 195:17

discussion 13:19 19:23 20:1 22:12 23:8 24:1 33:3 34:6,11 35:25 43:21 45:23 56:18 70:17 83:21 97:1,4 109:2,3 118:22 120:4 122:8 137:25 154:3,4 156:8 157:16 183:4 186:24 187:1,4 189:12 190:6 199:24 202:5

**discussions** 23:4,11, 14,17 24:4 46:2 52:14 59:2 100:2,4 151:18 152:10 155:10 183:6

dispute 28:9

disseminated 53:10

dissolved 162:22

distance 198:22

**distinction** 168:11 169:19 202:6 203:15

distress 9:13

**distressed** 9:1,5,11 61:3 62:11,13 66:24 67:1 165:3

distributable 202:16

distributed 168:13

distributing 17:4

**distribution** 26:2 202:19 203:3 204:2

distributions 203:2

docket 135:22

**dockets** 131:16 134:22

docs 134:10

**document** 18:8 31:24 88:10 100:20,23 124:9 129:9 148:17 155:15

documentation 154:9 185:15

**documents** 47:10 48:18 56:20 124:4 127:2,10 134:1,3 155:15

dollar 117:20 129:2

**dollars** 12:2,9,14 128:8 129:24 141:6 142:16 165:18

dormant 164:1

downgrades 199:19

downside 11:16

**draw** 117:23 165:22 166:24 177:2,17

**drawn** 84:18,21,25 117:20,25 177:5

drill 132:7

**drive** 78:4,11,22,23 126:14,22,23 127:1,3 170:23 171:13,23 172:6,7 174:3

driven 59:18 198:25

drought 67:9

**drove** 41:22

**due** 53:6

**Duff** 96:19

**duties** 170:6

**duty** 194:3

Ε

Index: direct..employed

**earlier** 26:9 90:12 98:14 103:10 129:1 154:18 172:3

**early** 106:9 162:25 172:17,19 186:9

**earnings** 74:12 199:17

economic 200:19,22

**EDGAR** 135:13

**effect** 163:6

**effectively** 179:20 180:20,21

**efforts** 19:15 80:23 95:14 96:12 155:14

**elected** 178:25 179:2

**electronic** 34:23 126:23

electronically 127:9,

**elevators** 63:18 64:12

**Elliott** 134:17

email 14:1,6 17:3 18:24 19:5 25:8,24 26:3,4,9,13 27:13,20 36:11,14 37:9,18 38:13 39:9,10,12,17 40:14 42:1,5,10 45:24 47:11, 21 48:7,20 49:19 51:22 59:10,23,25 60:3 75:4 170:25 171:1 184:9,14, 15 191:16,18 192:1

**emails** 40:9 59:7,14 75:17,21 76:8,10,13 101:9 104:16 170:24 171:10 183:12

emanated 104:17

embarked 118:24 embedded 150:12

emerged 122:1 165:1

**employ** 58:19

**employed** 15:21 171:6 187:24

Index: employee..Face

employee 28:25

employees 51:4

employment 51:3

enclosed 120:23

encompass 61:6

encompassed

127:17

**end** 85:24 86:5 87:22 88:8 129:22 148:14 168:19 170:9 190:1 196:7,12

endeavoured 113:17

ended 121:9 140:25

**energy** 97:11 135:14 146:4

**engaged** 68:5 96:21 167:7 182:15

engaging 84:8

engineering 67:22

**ensures** 168:11

ensuring 7:3

entered 49:2 144:15

**enterprise** 137:9 201:13

enterprises 169:12

**entirety** 112:20 203:25

**entities** 115:22,24 121:17

entity 60:7 63:3 202:8

**entry** 140:19 197:14,22

**environment** 54:18 62:9 65:17

**Episodically** 9:3

**equipment** 63:19 64:2,5 90:15,19,22 95:11 99:5 113:18 115:8 117:17 137:16 138:13 143:18,23,25 144:3 145:1,18 146:3

**equity** 9:10 145:14 168:23

**Esco** 136:10 164:13,25

**essence** 22:23 26:20 114:25 121:5

**essentially** 11:22 26:8 121:23

**establish** 171:5 181:24

**established** 34:7 140:9 142:12 187:4.7

establishing 164:19

**estimate** 99:4,7,8

**estimates** 99:10 150:4

**estimation** 120:21 122:12

**event** 69:11,12 70:1,2 77:11 144:10

event-oriented 54:16,22 56:7

events 77:1 198:22

**eventually** 36:13,16 80:8

evidence 7:2,7 24:15 35:15,17 36:6,22 37:12 43:5 47:16 48:4 60:18 70:6,8 71:6 76:9 96:13 122:11 130:20 131:19 132:10 137:21 182:17 198:10

**exact** 20:13 33:9,20 111:11 114:13

**examination** 87:8 107:15 117:16 136:18

examinations 91:12

examine 17:2

**examined** 94:23 119:12

examiners 64:22

examining 120:10

**examples** 90:12 97:8 100:7 108:2 113:25 124:16

**exceed** 68:15

exception 86:1

**excess** 8:16 79:25 129:23

**exchange** 10:7 96:1, 14 100:5 112:24 113:6 123:7,10,11 136:8 140:5

exchange-traded 29:24

**exclusive** 178:19,24

exclusivity 178:14

**execute** 27:8 196:6 198:11

executed 104:4

executing 70:15

**execution** 180:5 198:13

**exercise** 112:21 200:22

exhaustive 87:11

**exhibit** 26:5 94:8 100:15 128:14 146:24 160:17 187:22 190:10, 25 191:24 192:1

**exhibits** 123:14 124:18 127:25

**exist** 46:17 59:7 87:9 126:2 127:6

**existed** 172:23

**existence** 189:15,21

**existing** 10:6 86:10 163:3

**exists** 59:14 78:22 169:24 170:6

exited 105:3

**expect** 53:15 181:14

**expected** 50:25 166:11 185:20

**expediency** 179:16 180:5

expediently 201:2

expenditure 64:11 67:7

**experience** 60:23,25 61:2,13,17,20 62:7,14 90:17 161:6 177:8 203:22

experiencing 9:16 67:4

**expert** 173:12 177:7 178:5

expired 58:25

**explain** 37:15 59:8 195:22 197:2

**explained** 90:12 154:18 201:4 202:1

explicitly 176:24

**explore** 161:12

**explored** 161:1,21

**exposure** 65:11 141:4

**expressions** 96:25 97:2,5

**extend** 170:14

**extended** 98:19 113:3 121:17 137:23 142:25 161:2

**extension** 65:22 202:22

extensive 11:3

**extent** 15:24 17:4 41:11 80:19 88:22 89:9 91:24 104:13 138:12 155:20 163:1 184:10

**external** 46:21 167:25 168:2,4 170:2

**externally** 151:21 169:22 170:9

extracted 174:11

**extremely** 83:7 197:23,24

**extremes** 197:23

F

**Face** 5:8 7:14 8:18,22 9:25 10:17 12:1,5 13:4, 10 14:3 21:6,21 22:10 24:7 25:5 26:10 27:2



28:1.13 29:2 30:7 31:25 35:14 40:10.20.25 42:20,25 44:5,11,14,21, 23 45:15 46:6,21 47:8, 20,21 48:12,16,20 49:10,15,17,22,24 50:15,22 51:2,8,20 52:1 58:24 59:15 62:22 70:6 71:20 74:17,22 75:17 76:19 79:13 82:7,18 102:25 106:20 107:17 136:20 153:22 155:8 158:2,3 171:1,6 172:23, 24 174:19 178:25 179:4 180:15,19,21 183:1,4 184:1,22 185:10,17 186:11,16 187:24 188:6,12 190:16 191:1 192:6,9,17,19,22 195:18,21 196:20 197:3 200:1

**Face's** 71:8 170:24 181:19

facets 54:21

**facilities** 61:13 63:19 119:6

**facility** 62:20,23 63:24 66:8 67:20 121:15 166:11

**fact** 17:6 25:16 27:15, 25 28:3 33:13 43:6 48:11 50:6 117:8,10 144:15 163:2 164:12,20 165:3 178:13 186:8

**facts** 33:7 101:10 118:4,6

factual 39:22

**factum** 44:14 46:25 47:6 48:16 190:10,16, 25

failed 117:19

fair 8:22 10:18 12:2,19 15:14 20:2 23:24 24:18, 19 70:2,10 89:4 99:14 105:16 110:25 124:10 141:21 162:16 171:9 178:12 191:21 192:20

**fairly** 10:17 54:8 87:10 142:22

**faith** 123:2

**fall** 105:14

falls 42:15

**familiar** 28:7,8 56:9 173:15,17 175:14

**Fargo** 61:12 138:8

fashion 57:15

**Fasken** 134:18

fast 55:21

favour 168:18 203:4

favourable 202:12

favourable/
aggressive 111:5

**February** 108:8,10 109:13,18 124:20 166:14 175:19

federal 200:17

fellow 183:21

**felt** 180:3

**FF** 158:8

field 64:22

**figure** 90:25 93:10 142:4 181:5

**file** 27:18 28:24 33:19 41:16 43:2,19 45:4 57:9 95:20 127:5

**filed** 6:11,18 44:14 46:12 67:1 73:9,24 88:10 128:2 165:9 190:16,25

files 45:24 87:9 88:21

**filing** 78:7 95:3 96:9 147:3 190:1

**filings** 91:4 99:25 103:12 110:4 135:9,13 143:20.21 160:11

**final** 73:7 100:22 101:21 102:8

finalization 171:19

**finally** 172:14

finance 62:21 168:22

financial 9:6,12,13,16 30:14,24 32:20 64:8,15 73:11 74:8 78:12,17,18 106:1 108:20 119:1 120:20 129:25 141:24 149:3,23 165:10 193:4, 9,11,20 197:9 199:21

financials 31:2

**financing** 66:8,19 99:18 117:23 120:11

**find** 19:15 52:1 80:23 87:8 94:8 110:18 119:23 125:8 159:8 163:8

**findings** 101:5,12 102:10

**fine** 21:19 37:14 151:3 152:8,25 190:23

**finger** 111:9

**finish** 57:9 112:15

finished 101:24 102:25 125:3

finite 55:5 161:7 162:7

fire 200:11

**firm** 15:12 16:11 25:24 48:25 49:25 52:16 58:19 80:7 90:20 131:16 132:7 158:20 159:1 170:23 182:13,15 183:22 198:14

**firms** 62:19 134:16

fiscal 160:24

**fixed** 63:17 64:4

**flag** 17:10

flagging 17:15

fleet 143:18

flexible 72:12

flipped 14:2

flipping 186:5

**flow** 27:16 67:3,16 98:25 137:9 154:19 166:11 197:8

**flow-through** 203:4 204:3

**flowed** 155:8

**flows** 61:24 69:17 170:10 203:19

Index: Face's..front

**follow** 55:11 72:1,13 75:13 156:14

**follow-up** 151:9,15

footer 14:20

footnote 188:6

footnotes 141:11

for-informationonly 42:1

forced 77:11 116:1

forensic 174:3

**form** 61:8 139:15 155:24 169:7 185:12

**formal** 63:4,5 67:2 72:2,18 101:11

formally 67:1 80:8,15

formidable 158:21

**forms** 10:15 78:16 102:23 120:10

forward 25:8 109:3

**forwarded** 15:6,7 16:10 24:16 60:1 77:13

forwarding 27:4

**found** 87:19 88:1,4 91:5 97:14 101:15 132:10 136:14,18 170:24

fourth 131:2 199:18

**frame** 41:8 58:8 79:7 171:11

frankly 46:22 107:6

**Fraser** 58:13 70:14,16 72:22 74:16 106:19 153:25

fraud 162:24 164:23

frequently 52:25

**friends** 195:8

**front** 123:23 133:4 186:2



Index: full..identify

**full** 68:3

fully 117:3 122:4 162:2

fulsome 47:25

functioning 193:21

functions 132:25

**fund** 10:18,20,24 12:18 13:3,4 54:21,22 170:7 203:8

**funding** 202:20

**funds** 8:19,23 12:6,15, 23 168:8

fungible 93:1

future 137:22 140:24

G

**gain** 53:4 141:18

gaming 92:14,15

gas 67:20

**gather** 12:5 34:12 101:14

**gave** 7:2 52:19 95:1,8, 11,13 105:12 123:6

**GE** 62:21 63:24 64:3, 17,20

**general** 13:10 18:4,6, 13 19:25 20:5 22:2,6,15 23:8 46:20 55:3 56:14 69:9 85:2 173:7 182:6 186:21

**generally** 7:1 13:7 53:17 56:24 61:3 67:13 71:2 75:13 173:15,18 203:4

generate 202:15

**generated** 124:5 125:19

generating 99:1

**generation** 109:5 203:2

generically 146:3

gentleman 20:6

**Genuity** 96:22 142:14

**give** 18:10 20:17 50:7 54:13 79:13 89:23 92:8, 12,13 97:13 105:16 126:13 150:13 152:23 181:9 185:11 190:3

**giving** 36:6 106:14 182:17

glaring 111:7

Glassman 169:25

go-around 10:17 47:7

go-forward 193:25

Goldman 169:5

**good** 5:5,6 160:7 177:25

**Google** 132:2,15

**government** 200:17, 18 201:25

grade 62:4

**grain** 63:12,18 64:13 65:16

**granted** 148:9 192:25 193:10,14

**Great** 109:22 110:2 135:11

greater 145:1

**Greg** 22:16 58:14 71:21 72:19 106:19 153:24 155:2 157:1,13, 17,19 186:21

**Greg's** 157:14

grew 57:9

**Griffin** 5:3,5 21:4 30:21 32:14 36:3 37:15 40:4 47:14 59:22 71:7 76:18 83:25 89:19 105:17 108:2 112:8 127:21 128:1 140:16 146:20 151:7 155:12,16 159:15 191:9 192:4 195:14

Griffin's 31:21

**gross** 108:8,18 109:15 202:22

**group** 64:21 115:25

growth 202:20

guarantee 131:6

**guess** 6:15 37:14 38:7 45:23 56:14 65:2 72:5 75:16 76:7 102:15 109:1 112:7 122:22 142:11,18 144:23 163:24 170:14 171:7 173:19 175:21 184:21 200:4

guidance 109:4

Н

half 8:2

hang 47:18 167:16

happen 105:6

**happened** 38:4 105:18 140:11 177:20

happening 102:18,21 121:10

happily 127:24

**happy** 155:21

**hard** 55:21 111:11 126:2,9,14,23 127:2,3 174:3 196:3

harm 192:6

**Hass** 158:15

header 14:19,20 15:13

hear 46:1 155:21

heard 18:5 21:17 76:2

**heart** 61:6

**held** 140:25 141:13 142:6

helpful 184:7,18

**Hey** 60:5

**high** 54:18,19 61:25 115:2 139:4,5 179:15 197:15,17,24 198:1 203:11,12

**higher** 118:1 139:13, 18.25 144:3

highlighted 112:13

**highly** 203:1

hire 51:4

164:9

203:22

hired 96:20

**hiring** 17:11 21:7,21

historical 86:8 202:14

historically 9:20 48:12 60:22 95:12

**history** 88:23 132:9 145:24 147:18 179:18

hold 63:13 74:12

holders 145:14,15

holding 138:22

holes 164:14

Homburg 24:25

honestly 24:3 74:24

hope 97:12

**hours** 135:21

house 78:4 156:12.16

**HSBC** 114:12 117:20, 25 119:6

HSBC'S 114:20

**Huawei** 200:13

**huge** 76:1

**human** 183:17

**hundred** 196:16

hypothetically 94:2

Т

**l/t** 173:7

**ID** 174:16,17 175:2

**idea** 28:20 178:8 180:11

identical 125:21

identified 154:23 155:4 162:15 179:13,24

identify 136:2 197:6

Index: identifying..interview

**identifying** 93:19 155:16

**identities** 86:16 88:7

illiquid 76:25

illustrate 79:18

image 174:3

**imagine** 12:14 42:12

**immediately** 73:3 122:15

**impaired** 116:25 117:7

**impairment** 90:1 116:23 117:2,6,14 118:3,8 137:21

imperfect 55:5

implemented 186:11

**implication** 34:5 43:10 54:7

implies 38:3

**imply** 117:12

implying 37:24

importance 36:8

important 46:10 47:19 50:19 89:23 90:2, 4 138:19 168:10,15,17 180:3 193:21 200:2,3 201:8 204:1

impose 66:7

**imposed** 196:9

improper 17:16

**improve** 196:4

**in-house** 64:20 187:9, 12

incentive 170:11

incipient 89:12

include 9:1,10,14 25:25 51:5,8 63:18 90:15 116:4 131:5 132:3 192:22

included 27:13 123:14 173:11 179:4

includes 109:9 123:3

including 25:25 115:25 145:14 163:23 168:23 192:19 193:20 200:1

inclusion 124:11 125:5,6

**income** 67:11 109:4 168:13 202:14,16,18,19 203:2,5,9,11,23 204:3,4

incorrect 130:20

increased 111:6 141:4

increasing 117:24

incumbent 68:12

indebtedness 169:3,

independent 64:8 68:25 113:9 119:18 142:23 150:8 156:16 161:24

independently 67:22

indeterminate 161:17

indexed 67:21

indicating 191:18

indication 89:24 111:14 149:8

indications 53:24 132:5 145:21

indicators 84:16

individual 12:22 158:16.17

individuals 15:12 57:25 153:7 203:19

**Industries** 91:8 116:1

industry 53:25 55:3 65:6 67:8 68:11 85:2,13 92:15 133:17 139:24 179:19

**inference** 144:23 170:14 177:5,6

inferences 177:2,18

infinitely 11:19

influence 115:3 193:22 199:7

influenced 197:20

inform 33:6

Informally 74:19

information 22:8,9 38:10 47:12 53:25 55:5, 13 59:13 64:14 78:5 83:5,8,14 84:5 85:2 89:10 91:14 94:20 97:14,15 99:16,24 101:14,17 103:6,9,15, 19 106:13 107:18 108:3,7,10,13 109:9,14 110:4 119:20,23 120:16,18 122:5,9 123:3 125:9 126:18 131:11 134:14 136:14 141:22 142:2 143:9 145:17 147:25 148:1 151:24 162:17 163:9 165:22 166:2,16 176:2, 4 177:22 178:3 188:7. 12 189:21

# informational

125:21

**informed** 20:19 31:5 32:15 41:6 187:6

initial 22:21 87:19 111:13 128:17

initially 57:3

**initiate** 55:8 72:19

initiated 140:7

initiating 86:14 88:16

**injunction** 40:22 42:22 44:21 45:16 58:25 190:16 191:1

injunctive 107:14

inoperative 95:7 119:22

input 193:19 194:6

inquire 164:6 184:21

inquiries 34:8,17 52:25 82:21 159:7 164:5 183:15 184:20 187:17 **inquiry** 127:23 156:22

inside 182:12

**insight** 53:4 79:13 118:20 142:7

**insolvency** 69:11 70:1 103:12

**instance** 164:25 169:2

instances 112:24

institutional 146:1 198:12 202:18 203:7

instructed 28:22 29:2

instruction 41:22

instructions 18:13

instrumental 193:23

instruments 10:1

insufficient 119:5,17

intellectual 92:18 135:1,4

intelligently 177:23

intention 117:16 120:6 144:7,16 146:23 156:15

intentions 111:20

**interest** 73:14 74:22 85:25 96:25 97:2,5 122:17 136:3 139:1,11, 13,17 140:2

interested 28:1 73:4 173:24

internal 74:15 75:17 100:9 133:5,13 134:7 135:7 189:6 197:9

internally 52:14 82:17 98:10 100:21 101:2,3 170:8

International 136:24

internet 88:22

**internet-based** 87:11 131:23

**interview** 18:16,25 19:8,15



interviewed 19:4

interviewing 19:18

inventories 63:12 66:5 90:13 95:17 138:10

inventory 65:7 66:7, 10 68:3 93:4,8,12,13 138:1

invest 13:3

invested 12:5

investing 9:2,5 12:15

investment 8:17,19, 24 11:1 12:17,23 15:10 25:25 29:14 30:7 34:13 35:18 48:10,25 51:7,15 52:15,24,25 55:4,14 60:1 62:1,4 71:22 72:7, 12,14,16,18 73:19 75:11 76:9,12 77:4 79:23 81:1 101:5,7,11, 18 102:10 103:19 104:1,2,5,7,15,23 112:18 119:10 139:24 151:20 152:12 156:10, 12 182:14 194:3 202:12

investments 9:15

investor 61:20 168:20

**investors** 13:3,5,13 53:8 168:9,13 193:20 202:16,18 203:4,8 204:2

invests 8:23

involved 17:13 18:15 22:12 23:3 24:5 27:18 28:24 33:18 41:17 43:24 44:2,24 47:8,20, 21 48:13,16,20,24 51:11 53:3 57:8 59:1 61:14 62:17,24 63:7,22 66:23 67:25 72:13 73:25 74:1,4 75:12 80:8,15,17,21,23 114:10,17 134:5 147:9, 11 158:1,2,3 159:9 171:15 200:5 201:11

**involvement** 53:10 194:6 197:20

involving 45:16 75:17

**IPO** 71:1 73:1,4,10,15, 18 75:12 85:6,9 87:19 88:2,10 109:16 111:3,6, 18,25 128:2,7 132:12 154:9

isolate 34:17 39:1

**ISS** 31:23 83:18 177:19

**issue** 19:24 32:4 33:14 40:11,15 53:11 164:10 195:18 203:24

**issued** 29:23 37:1 169:3 174:16,17 175:2

**issuer** 87:24 99:21 102:14 135:12

**issuers** 61:14 62:5 85:3 169:8

**issues** 67:3 75:14 195:15

**items** 93:6

iterations 100:24 103:11 151:11

J

James 169:2

**January** 109:1 110:9 124:10,20 175:9,11,18

**Jerome** 158:15

**Jim** 169:25

**job** 199:1

joined 7:14

**jointly** 10:25

judge 197:10

judgment 202:17

judgments 91:25 112:19

**July** 20:20 36:4 171:9 174:7,24 180:21 183:17 187:25 191:17 192:2

jump 185:6

juncture 143:11 147:15 160:4 161:18 163:13 June 21:5,8,20,24 22:22 23:7 24:7,10 30:13,23 31:17 34:12 37:1,5,10 41:4 50:4,6 171:8 174:7 186:12 187:25

junior 61:15 62:21,23 183:22

jurisdictions 134:24

Κ

keeping 74:5

key 66:19 89:13

**kind** 9:6 41:16 54:9 56:7 63:6 68:23 70:13 72:1 78:12 100:22 101:21 103:22 138:25 164:7

kinds 53:13 162:10

Kitimat 95:11

knew 178:23 186:16

knowledge 25:3 29:4 34:3 40:12 53:7 55:3 59:10 77:9 82:8,13,15 84:10 86:5,15 100:7 102:13 130:21 146:2 159:6 165:15 182:4,12 183:14 184:2 186:24

**KPMG** 96:20 142:14

L

Lacavera 58:1

**Lakes** 109:23 110:2 135:11

large 87:16 197:19

largely 133:15

largest 193:5

**law** 131:16 132:7 134:15,16

lawyer 135:23

lawyers 59:19 132:8

lay 183:20

layperson's 195:22

LC 117:21

lead 185:23

**Leader** 97:11,20,21 98:19 135:14 136:9 143:14,16,22 145:22 147:18

**leading** 171:19

learn 40:4 83:14

**leave** 20:11 58:17,21 59:13

left 20:16 109:9 183:1

leg 76:25

legal-related 183:18

legged 195:21

**lender** 68:6,9 69:11 90:18,23 100:10 114:21,22 115:1 138:1, 18,23 139:8,12 147:1,6, 9.15

**lenders** 65:11 67:14 90:18 138:7 139:14 145:7,13 147:24

**lending** 61:1,2,10 62:4,8,20 67:14,20 68:5 69:14,15 136:21 137:6, 7 138:1.9 169:11

lendors 200:14

lesser 65:12,15,20

**letter** 22:21,23 23:7,25 31:22 190:2

**level** 55:22 57:15 72:16 169:16,17 202:7,8,9 203:16,17

levels 77:7 118:1

**leverage** 61:25 202:21

liabilities 147:15

liability 11:9

liaising 17:12

**lien** 64:3,4 135:3,5 164:10 200:13

**life** 148:14,22,23 149:8

159:16,25 161:2,7 162:7

limit 65:21

**limited** 53:9 77:11 151:19 162:19 195:25 201:6

**linear** 72:13

links 133:22

**liquid** 61:10 64:1 65:23 69:19 93:6 137:8

liquidation 65:7,14 69:4,19 98:7 99:4 120:9 137:11 140:11 146:4 147:23

liquidities 199:14

**liquidity** 93:5 99:1 195:24 196:15

**list** 115:7 132:4

**listed** 84:16

**listing** 96:10 133:17, 21

**litigation** 31:12 35:20 36:20 162:22 165:9

**live** 51:1

lives 149:7

**LNG** 120:7

**loan** 61:7 63:7,21 64:1 67:18 68:16 69:22 70:1 84:4,11,16 85:20 88:12 89:25 90:5 91:11 98:22 99:5,12 108:8 110:5,8 112:6,20 114:12 116:23,24 117:7,14 118:3,8,13 122:4,11,14 128:3,6,24 129:2,23 130:3,4,6 137:5 140:17, 18,19 141:3,17,19 142:9,25 143:9,15 144:4 145:2,19 149:12 160:2 162:18 163:10 164:7,10 165:21,23 200:7

loaned 165:16

loans 61:5 84:17 85:14 88:1 89:20 90:1,3 91:1, 21 92:23,25 109:15,22 110:2,12 112:5 130:9

136:3 137:9,10,20,23 138:9 139:2 140:2 168:12 202:24

loans.' 112:2

**Loblaws** 152:15

locate 162:16

located 120:4 202:2

log 175:3 176:5

log-in 174:12,13

**logged** 174:6,14,25 175:8,22 176:21

**LOIS** 142:21

**long** 7:17,24 8:13 11:14 54:20 181:13 196:2,13 199:2

long-term 77:4 137:14

longer 20:9 120:6 150:24 187:13

looked 63:25 64:1 73:5,6,7 87:18 88:12 91:8 99:25 111:19 119:19 126:6 131:22 134:15,22 135:1,9,13, 15 146:11,12 154:7,8 156:23 160:16 184:15

loop 22:18

loss 68:18 69:7 128:3, 7 129:2,23 130:3 140:18 141:19 142:8 143:10,24 144:4

losses 61:24 67:4 89:25 112:1,6,10 114:5 128:25 130:6 131:5 147:19 164:11

lost 38:14

**lot** 76:24 134:2

**low** 54:19,20 196:1 197:15,17,23 198:2,20 199:14 203:10

**LP** 13:8

**LPS** 13:13 194:2

**lunch** 150:24,25 183:22

## М

Mactung 160:3

made 8:16 22:3,24 29:14 46:14 47:6 55:13 56:13 70:13 72:16 75:7 78:8 83:8 89:2 96:17 101:7 104:2,7,15 112:5 116:22 117:5 118:7 122:12 143:22 156:22 164:4,7 173:25 179:18 183:15 199:25 200:20

main 115:5

maintain 52:11

maintained 88:24 125:11 127:1

**major** 53:23 67:7 91:9 134:15,16

majority 12:23,24 169:10

make 19:14 34:16,17 52:25 71:6 76:9 80:22 82:21 91:25 99:18 110:21 111:23 117:5 149:13 155:14 159:7 164:24 177:13 181:17 184:20 187:16 197:3 202:24 203:24

makes 112:19 191:2

**making** 6:6 54:4,10 70:18 101:10 117:13 118:2 130:22 137:8,9, 10 147:13 148:2 168:12 185:14 195:2

**manage** 12:18 54:21 194:3

managed 169:22

management 59:2 109:3 161:24 167:25 168:2,5 169:24 170:2,5 192:14,17,21 193:15 194:10 201:19,24

manager 170:8

manages 8:23 12:1

managing 7:14 13:4 Mandalay 8:10,14,19 March 5:17 6:10 14:1 19:8 35:19 39:12,17 49:8,14 50:11 59:23 60:3,11 81:5,10,15,23 82:10,22 94:7 97:19 100:14 102:2,4,5 106:4 108:2 172:16,17,19

mandate 72:12

**margin** 66:3 68:2 146:16

**Marine** 164:13

**mark** 14:21 190:9,20 191:23

marked 17:7 108:19

**market** 10:2,6 54:24 65:7,18 68:21 77:2 99:5 139:14 142:24 146:2,4 188:13 197:21 199:18

**marketing** 75:10,13

Martineau 134:18

**material** 74:6,12 112:9 114:4 121:14 189:9

**materially** 139:13 141:4

materials 40:21 41:1, 4 42:21 43:25 46:12 48:8 73:8 75:13 76:22 103:6 113:17 165:25 171:24 172:2,9 175:12 191:3

**matter** 5:14 7:7 13:16 16:8 18:5 20:1 23:5 24:5 36:18 37:1 40:10 44:1 46:5 48:11 49:16 155:25 171:25 175:13,

**matters** 6:25 35:8,10 50:23 74:18 155:21,23 178:9 182:6,13 183:18

maturing 200:12

**Mcguire** 26:22,24 27:5,6

MD&A 159:24

means 34:21 89:9

measured 68:20



measurement 65:25 68:1

medium-sized

**meet** 19:20 153:3 183:22

**meeting** 19:21 72:18 152:12,19,23 155:1 156:9

**meetings** 104:10 155:9 189:6,7

member 183:22

**members** 181:19 182:8

**memo** 16:12,14,18 17:7 24:16,21 187:2

**memory** 14:11,13 24:22 181:9

**memos** 15:11 35:18 60:1

**mention** 41:25 46:10 118:7 133:2,4 134:20

mentioned 46:11 51:23 99:15 101:20 114:20 119:15 123:5 128:20 129:20 132:9 152:17 163:17 172:22 192:5,9 197:25

mere 189:14,20

message 201:10

met 18:17 153:8,16

**methods** 133:5,14 134:7 135:8

**mid-july** 191:10

middle 60:2

Midwest 109:23 110:9

**million** 12:8 79:25 108:9 117:20,24 128:8 129:2,4,20,24 141:6 142:16 143:2 165:18,19 166:10,15

**MILNE-SMITH** 5:22 12:10 19:14 20:17,19, 25 21:8,12,17 23:10,16, 20 26:14,17 29:9 30:9 31:19 32:7,11 34:20

35:1.7 37:2.6.11.19.24 38:14,21,25 39:3,10,20 40:12,17 42:15 44:15 45:3 46:3,13,19 47:3,18 57:20 58:21 59:17 71:5 75:22 76:3,14 77:17 78:25 79:19 80:22 81:19 82:25 83:10,13, 19 89:4 94:15 96:5 97:12 104:19 105:11,20 106:24 107:5,11,20 112:15 115:17 116:13, 17 118:9 123:7,24 124:25 126:16.21 127:19 128:13,15 133:9 150:17,20 151:1 152:25 153:17 155:11 156:3 157:21 158:11 159:10 166:4,7,19 167:11,16, 19 171:18 172:1,10,13, 18 173:21 175:17 176:15,23 177:4,11,24 180:23 181:8,13 183:10 184:13,23 185:1,18 187:16 188:5,11,17 189:1 190:13,18,22 191:7,25 194:12,23 195:3,6,13 204:5

mind 136:15 201:18,24

minds 46:20

mine 95:8 113:15 117:19 148:8,12,13,18, 20,21 149:9 159:16,20 160:12 161:2,15,22 162:2

**mineral** 148:22

**mines** 148:6 149:5,6 161:7

mining 160:7,8

minority 179:21

minutes 195:7

misleading 48:4

misrepresentation 162:24

misrepresentations 164:23

misstatement 89:24 168:25

mistake 129:21

MNP 120:20

**Mobilicity** 9:18

model 78:18

modeled 60:8

modelled 119:8

modelling 119:1

models 197:9

modern 64:12

moment 19:23 73:15 110:16 172:6

monetize 95:14

money 85:24 165:15

monies 117:23

**monitor** 95:19 113:10 119:15 120:19 143:2 199:2

monitoring 104:3

**Monitors'** 91:9 94:23 96:10,11 99:20 100:1 118:5,23 119:3 120:5 121:14 127:13 133:22 134:1 141:5

month 105:24

**months** 105:23,24 199:16

morning 5:5,6 189:7

**motion** 37:4 40:21,22 41:1,3 42:22 43:25 44:5 46:8,15,17,18 83:3 107:14 128:11 158:8 171:24 172:8 173:11,20 175:12,18,24 176:22 190:17 191:1

motivation 28:24

move 139:23.25

moved 140:18 141:8

**moving** 167:14

**Moyse** 6:15 14:1 15:1, 16 18:16 19:1,18 20:1,4 22:7,24 23:9,15 24:1,6, 16 25:8,14,16,19 26:4, 21 27:4,19 28:11,21 29:3,7 30:13,24 32:17, 19 34:6 35:12,19 36:7,

15 37:16,22 38:9,19 39:18 40:4,24 42:23 43:7,9 44:6,22 49:9,15 50:7,15 51:6,12,24 52:6,18 53:22 54:2,3 56:17 58:17 59:8,13,23 60:3,11 83:4 171:6 174:16 177:21 180:16, 19 182:19 183:1,6,16 184:5 185:8,15 186:25 187:20,23 191:11,17,19 192:2

**Moyse's** 21:6,21 31:16 32:22 38:1 170:25 172:24 174:3, 15,25 175:8,23 194:23,

multiples 111:4

### Ν

**named** 85:15

**names** 87:1,24 153:5, 11,15,17 155:4 156:14 157:5 158:4

**nature** 56:13 57:24 67:17 69:13,22 70:1 72:9 92:6,8,25 93:10 104:16 131:18 137:19

**necessarily** 62:5 69:12 197:1

needed 58:2 200:11 negative 69:12 199:6

negatively 199:8

negotiated 62:19

negotiating 178:19

negotiation 62:25 178:24

negotiations 57:1

net 98:16 146:14

neutral 70:2

**newer** 103:6

news 134:11

**Newton** 169:25

NI-51101S 150:4

non-affiliated 156:11 non-disclosure

non-fungible 137:12

non-liquid 137:12

112:9 114:5

non-operating 148:8

non-performing

66:2 112:6 122:11,24 148:6

non-privileged 170:24

non-tangible 92:17

**north** 135:15 136:9,23 141:6 148:4 149:15,20 159:14

Northwest 163:7

**note** 127:24 128:23 129:4,17 130:6,15

**notebook** 187:23 188:7

**noted** 110:7,10

**notes** 78:9,18 81:16 88:20 110:18 129:21 131:13 189:5

**notice** 53:10 144:7,15 146:22 175:17,23 176:22

**noticed** 14:22,24 16:6 24:16

notify 27:9

notwithstanding 28:10

**November** 57:18,20, 21 112:4 200:9

**number** 25:23 27:7 84:24 129:5 145:24 152:13 155:13 167:6 188:3 190:9

**numbers** 65:12 79:24 185:10,17

**numerous** 77:6 101:19 132:4

# 0

**object** 39:21

**objective** 196:14 200:10

**obligations** 22:24 51:2,14,19 69:17 98:23 122:17 190:2 200:12

obtain 8:6 89:19 99:16

obtained 109:10

obvious 40:18 144:2

occasion 18:17 153:8

occasions 9:15

**occurred** 19:9 24:1 34:12,19 35:6 38:8,12, 19 39:2 70:8 82:15 84:8 85:16 87:16 106:3 118:4 184:11 199:19

**October** 70:10 75:20 76:10 78:14,24 79:8 80:13 86:14 87:5,17 102:22 108:14,23

odds 186:4

**offer** 179:7,10,11 180:6 199:25

offered 31:23

**offering** 111:14,21 128:17 156:13

offers 179:1,6

**offhand** 165:17

**office** 16:22 21:5,20 135:2 187:6

officer 186:22

Officers 71:22

offset 130:3,9

oil 53:23 67:20

**ongoing** 85:12 131:17 134:23 188:12 189:12 196:19

Ontario 96:17

**open** 18:12 134:1 189:13

**opened** 14:7,9,16,20 15:24 24:23 25:2

opening 14:14,25

**operate** 193:1,14,15 194:11

operated 85:4

**operating** 119:21 136:24 147:18 148:8 163:25

operation 163:6

operations 147:21

**opinion** 158:19

opportunistically

**opportunities** 8:24 51:15 52:15 152:13 199:13

**opportunity** 5:25 6:10,19 7:10 19:20 25:9 27:17 51:7 52:3 56:4 57:4,7,24 58:2 78:14 80:12 81:2

**options** 161:20

Orascom 201:20

Orascom's 201:22

**order** 66:12 93:10,25 120:17 143:2 144:25 183:5

**orderly** 65:6,14 69:4, 19 99:4 147:23

ore 161:1,12 162:1,6

**original** 6:15 27:13 40:22 42:18 45:24 57:22 65:19 73:5 117:16 125:9 175:17

originally 8:16 124:12 125:22 137:4 200:5 201:20

originals 125:18

originated 109:24 110:5,9,12

**origination** 10:11,12 85:1 110:1 170:17

others' 99:10

outcome 180:4

outcomes 145:4

**outline** 127:15

outlined 94:4 133:16

outlines 170:6

outset 200:4 201:3

outsourced 64:23

outstanding 68:16 97:6 133:18 169:7

**overvalued** 110:23

**owed** 166:10,15 200:13

**owned** 95:12 113:19 154:8 201:20

### Ρ

**p.m.** 151:4,5 195:11,12 204:9

Pacer 134:9,12,25

paper 127:5,8

paragraph 30:20 38:22,24 47:1 52:3 60:20 70:9,12 71:4,7 84:1 89:6 111:22 112:13 115:14,21 116:14,19 133:3,7 166:9 167:12,14 171:2 174:1,2,23 180:23 181:8,12 186:6,7 187:22

parallel 102:19

**parameters** 132:18 189:17 196:9

paramount 203:2

paraphrasing 159:22

parent 121:5,25 170:15,16 201:22

**parsed** 101:13

**part** 15:16 51:13 61:19 66:8 85:10 86:9 87:16 95:20 98:18 112:21 118:12 134:6 145:7

158:9,22 162:24 169:10 170:3 173:11 177:18 179:23 180:8 198:4,19 199:1

**parties** 12:5 51:11,14 53:5,13,14 82:4,12,19, 24 83:9 113:9,20 142:19 150:9 151:12, 14,17,19 152:10 164:24

**partly** 146:8

**partner** 5:8,10 6:20 13:11 57:15 58:13

**partners** 7:23 12:17 53:9 58:4 59:5 159:8 176:10 184:25 185:10, 17

**parts** 78:20 98:17 197:25

**party** 12:25 13:1 48:9 64:19 145:9 161:25 178:13,18,24 187:1 200:24

passive 48:24

past 199:16

Pat 26:22

Patrick 27:1

**pattern** 164:21

**PDF** 134:2.3

peculiar 87:19

pendency 142:11

**pending** 182:14

**people** 22:9 25:4 53:16 58:9 59:14 103:19 153:11,16 154:4 181:4 184:4,16 192:16, 18

perceive 202:11

perceived 119:9

157:9

**percent** 85:25 169:1,6 196:16

percentage 66:9

perception 202:17

perfect 55:13

**perform** 41:20 42:2 132:24

performance 170:10,

**performed** 30:13,24 34:3 38:2 49:9 78:13 150:8

**performing** 32:20 33:24 61:3 66:2 88:1 122:17 135:18 148:6, 12,19 168:12 202:24

**period** 7:19 8:1,15 29:25 30:1,6 51:25 57:6 72:23 74:2 79:14 111:12 171:8 172:25 178:14,19,24 179:1 181:21 182:19 187:24

periodically 74:20 permitted 55:16 119:22

permitting 119:25

**person** 153:12,13,14 178:6 184:1

**personal** 169:17 183:24 202:7 203:16

personally 75:3 158:3 173:14

personnel 75:18

perspective 68:9 141:8 202:12 203:7

pertained 182:10

pertains 183:7

**Peter** 58:13 70:25 71:13,21 72:19 106:18 153:25

**Phelps** 96:19

**phone** 16:18 184:11, 14 185:10,16

**phrase** 195:20

**picked** 16:18

**piece** 12:20 14:25 15:15,20 45:10 46:10 152:2 185:9 186:9

**pieced** 56:22

**pieces** 108:3

pinpoint 111:11

**place** 19:15 37:25

plan 185:8

**plans** 120:5

**plant** 64:1,4 90:19 121:15 137:15,16 138:13

**play** 91:16,19,20

**plead** 177:14,15,23

point 6:14 21:4,22 25:15,16,22 37:19 44:20 56:22 57:11 70:9 71:13 73:15 79:25 80:16 95:25 99:15 102:16 103:24 104:22 105:3 111:6 122:5,10 132:12 150:16 152:12 161:19 172:8 178:20 180:9 186:11 196:7,12, 18 197:14 198:18 199:23 202:4,5 204:1

**points** 64:13 100:19 109:19 197:22

policies 55:15

**policy** 203:3

polishing 163:5

political 200:19 Pool 62:18 66:23

**poor** 68:11 146:4

**pop-up** 132:6

**portfolio** 103:13 108:18 109:5 140:19

portion 47:1

portions 56:25 95:14

**position** 11:14 29:25 33:10,12 44:4 47:24 48:3,25 52:7 53:21 54:5,11,20 55:8,20 56:12 70:7 71:19 72:3, 9,10,20 75:19 76:20 77:3 79:4,11,15 80:5 84:9 85:17 86:14 88:16 102:17 103:1,16 104:3,

24 105:4,14 106:21 107:23 108:5,15 109:11,25 110:13 111:12 114:21 115:1 123:16 156:18 189:15, 21,25 195:18,20 196:2, 11,13,21 197:16 198:4, 18,24 199:2,12 200:22

Index: parties..prepared

**positions** 28:4 55:15 77:4,6 168:23 189:12

**positive** 182:24 199:6

positively 199:8

possession 22:7

**post-dated** 103:15 108:4

posted 158:15,19

**potential** 51:7,15 52:15 56:4,12 89:25 137:22 143:24 164:11

**potentially** 119:5,17 164:14

practical 193:3

practice 78:7

**Prairies** 64:12

pre-date 81:15

pre-dated 48:8 109:7

pre-tax 67:11

**precise** 86:13 105:23

predict 196:3

predictability 203:11

predictable 204:4

predominantly 63:18 131:24

**preliminary** 73:6,23 75:3

premise 39:22

**preparation** 43:25 46:4 158:5

prepare 28:15

**prepared** 25:22 30:17 31:6 41:2,4 42:23 43:7 78:10 81:11,24 82:3,10

98:9 100:3,15,21 101:25 112:19 120:21 161:25

**preparing** 18:25 28:21 40:21 45:22 159:18 171:20

preponderance 138:7

present 150:4 183:1

**presentation** 73:8 94:5,7 97:19 101:21 102:8 103:5,11 108:3 131:5 146:9 149:14

**presentations** 53:23 78:8 101:7 102:21,23 103:4,8 104:14,15

**presented** 101:3,5,17 102:1 158:20 159:1 199:18

**presenting** 103:18,25 159:4.9

**preserve** 172:23

preserved 172:3

**press** 112:4 162:20 163:17,18 165:5 200:20

**presume** 122:18 185:5

**presumed** 164:8 203:10

**pretty** 160:7 161:5,14 162:9,19

**previous** 44:20 46:12, 18 81:6 89:1 96:4,5 180:8

**previously** 28:1,3 49:3 140:8 149:18 151:8 155:3

**price** 65:16 111:5 150:11 199:10,20 201:12

**prices** 199:14

**pricing** 54:18,23 77:2

primarily 57:23 187:8

**primary** 10:3,10 57:12,13 58:9 63:15

70:22,23 71:8,16 75:14 81:7 90:20 138:14

**principal** 66:14,15 87:25 112:1 113:1 117:18 137:23 145:2 203:1,9

**principals** 165:11,13

print 126:5 127:16

**printed** 125:13,17 127:11,13

printouts 126:17

**prior** 6:4,12,24 16:12 19:5,8 37:9 45:15 48:23 49:5,6,13 50:10 54:10 55:19 62:19,22 70:14 76:20 78:14,24 80:4,12 81:10 82:11 84:7 85:16 86:13 87:4,9 88:16 108:10 150:1 153:9 179:17.18

private 61:4

privilege 23:14 40:18

privileged 23:11

problem 165:1

proceed 29:21

**proceeding** 6:12 35:16 44:20 45:15 83:17 91:20 94:21

**proceedings** 67:2 85:12 86:2,19 131:17 134:23 140:7 176:13 178:16

**process** 18:16 44:25 45:22 53:6 55:10 63:4,5 66:6 68:13 71:19,20 72:2,13 75:10,12 88:9 89:13 91:3,16,19 93:24 96:12,14,21,23,24 104:3 113:15,16 114:25 118:23,25 121:5,8,20 122:1 127:15 140:17 142:11,12,13,19,23 144:7,16 145:5,8 147:9, 11 154:16 164:15 171:16 182:10 200:5

**processes** 68:25 76:24 85:15 100:5 112:25 201:10

processing 63:19

procured 192:21

procurement 119:11

**procuring** 61:13 192:19

**produce** 26:15 28:18 31:16,23 40:16 42:5 59:16 79:17 81:17 155:7,15 185:15

**produced** 31:11 43:2 49:25 50:17 126:1 194:20

producing 42:21

**product** 28:14 31:6,9, 16 42:6 49:18,20,21,23 156:10 194:20,24 195:1 203:9

**production** 75:20 76:13 78:22 148:22

productive 148:14

**products** 156:14 202:19

profile 98:25

**profit** 106:20,23 197:4

**program** 64:11 67:7 196:6

progressed 58:7

**project** 32:25 119:25 120:2,11

**prompt** 134:13

**properly** 53:9 71:6 135:11

**property** 64:2 90:19 92:18 135:2,4 137:12, 16 138:13 160:3

proportionality 75:23

**proposal** 95:10 145:7 200:7,14

proposals 179:17

**proposed** 30:14,25 32:21 95:10 182:14

**prospectus** 73:6,7 75:3,12 78:7 85:9 87:19

88:2 111:19,25 128:2, 16 138:17

Index: preparing..Purely

protect 66:13,14

**protection** 68:6 116:2 147:5

protracted 68:12

**provide** 87:13 168:19 198:10 200:6

**provided** 7:4 31:15 64:7 84:15 85:4 90:13 98:6 131:6 150:1 170:23 179:15

**provision** 68:17 69:6 129:2,11,12,17,18,23 140:18

**provisions** 65:21 128:4,7 131:1

**proxy** 189:24

**public** 56:1 61:4 74:6, 14 76:22 78:5 84:4 85:4,12 87:20 88:11 91:23 92:2 93:22 99:24 110:3 111:13 122:23 128:17 131:10 132:11 135:12,14,16 143:21 145:14 149:10 159:23 160:11 185:13 189:14

**publicly** 8:21 73:8 78:8 87:12 88:15 99:21, 22 100:12 119:19,23 123:3 125:10 126:18 132:9 149:2,15

publish 150:3 156:16

**published** 73:12 74:7 75:4 108:20 157:24 199:22

**pull** 193:9

pulled 78:6

**pulling** 193:11

**purchase** 197:14,15, 23 199:13

**purchased** 63:14 201:21

purchases 170:12

**Purely** 157:12



**purpose** 83:17,18 102:7,12 125:4 126:15 156:7

**purposes** 18:25 103:8 124:11 125:6,13 126:1 151:25

Pursuant 147:3

pursue 120:8,11

**put** 45:9,11,19 55:8 57:16 62:21,23 94:5 110:15 111:8 146:9 151:23 185:13 200:11, 14

#### Q

Q4 106:2 141:3,24 qualified 164:16 qualitative 78:20 qualitatively 197:12 quality 94:10 quantitative 78:20 quantitatively

quantums 77:5

197:12

**quarter** 10:23 108:20 129:11 131:2 199:18

quarterlies 141:25

quarterly 53:22 73:10

**question** 23:22 26:15 36:9,10,11,13,14,19 37:15 39:21 42:18 45:12,18 49:12 56:15 65:18 86:13 106:12 107:2 110:21 114:3 125:3 131:8 151:9,15 157:1,4 165:14 171:5 175:21 185:5 186:7,15 188:2 194:13 203:14

**questions** 13:24 89:14 146:21 151:22 152:1 170:19 172:5 178:3,11 194:15 196:19,22 204:6

quick 123:21

quickly 54:23

quote 195:21

quoted 71:6

## R

R/f 39:20

raise 147:20

raised 136:14 163:3 195:15

ran 121:7

range 201:13

rate 66:4

rated 62:5

**rates** 68:2 85:25 139:1, 12.17.25 150:9

rationale 56:7 157:20

Raymond 169:2

re-examination

195:7,13

reached 111:3

**read** 6:10 15:24 16:13 24:21,22 36:24 39:14 48:15 138:17 148:15 160:6,10 182:2

readily 93:2

reading 16:2,5,12 18:23 74:5 161:15 181:1 197:1

realistic 150:12

reality 193:3

realizable 144:25

realization 68:10

**realize** 68:7,13

**realized** 111:25 112:6, 10 114:5

realtime 145:20

reason 17:14 27:4 122:25 125:17 131:8 158:22 177:18

reasons 9:12 40:18

recall 15:12 18:18,21 20:13 21:23 24:15 43:20 44:2 56:21 79:7 80:14 86:23 99:23 101:10,16,19,23 110:2 114:14 119:4 128:9 131:21,25 141:6 142:5, 15 143:1 148:10 152:9 153:5 160:3,14 162:22 165:17,20 182:22,23 187:2 188:25 191:9 195:2 196:21

**receivable** 65:24 66:1 68:3 90:14 93:4,9,12, 16,20 109:16 130:10 138:10

receivables 61:11 63:10 66:3 95:15 130:4 138:2

**receive** 164:17

received 14:6 47:21 107:17 143:3 147:4 171:9 172:8

receiver 96:20 143:6

receivership 96:16 140:7,17 142:11

receiving 19:5

recent 64:11,14

recently 10:17 33:4 158:21 164:13

**RECESSED** 83:22 151:4 195:11

reciprocal 64:4

recites 173:23

recollect 6:13 17:1 19:11 27:21 30:3,18 39:4 41:8,21 43:17 62:17 64:21 75:4 79:11 87:6 135:11

recollection 19:3 33:21,22 34:11 35:5,13 115:10 182:25 186:20 198:21

recommendation 29:14

\_-----

reconstructing 56:19

record 34:24 35:24,25 37:4 52:2,3 60:2 74:14, 21 76:22 78:1 83:20,21 91:9,23 92:3 94:16 104:14 108:7 109:21 128:11 129:7 133:10 135:4 136:6 146:20 158:8 166:8 173:11,19, 20 185:13 190:4,6 201:16

Index: purpose..referred

recorded 88:18

**records** 30:4,6 79:12, 18 88:24 105:8,13 127:9 174:12 184:3

recounts 174:1

recoup 117:18

recouped 112:20

recover 113:1

recoverability 118:3

recoverable 117:3

recovered 122:15

recovering 137:22

**recovery** 137:10 202:25

recurring 164:21 202:15,19

redact 155:22

redacted 188:4,8

redactions 188:4

reducing 99:1

Reese 169:22

**refer** 9:5 108:3 117:22 129:6 130:5,16 131:15 133:6 170:22 188:22

**reference** 57:22 97:18,21 109:21 110:17 120:19 149:14 158:9 165:18

**referenced** 7:5 24:24 48:8 49:4 109:18 122:8 159:24 166:18 187:5

**references** 56:11 146:16 160:1,2

referred 13:16 38:21,



23 48:23 86:19 98:13 144:14 146:8 148:5 155:3,16 186:8 187:21 188:5,19 190:11 191:15

referring 31:7 36:2 49:19 61:18 70:24 85:7, 21,22 92:3 134:8 135:8 136:8 138:5 144:13 146:21 149:1 169:22,23 170:1 180:24 183:9

refinance 200:25

refinancing 96:21,23

refine 76:7

reflected 166:19

reflecting 124:22 125:3

**regard** 93:18 131:9 166:2

registered 135:5,6

regulatory 179:13,24 180:7 200:1

reinforce 36:8

reinitiated 200:8

**relate** 55:15 57:1 123:14 188:20

**related** 25:2 44:6 56:3 180:6 186:18 187:15

**relates** 31:17 56:15 78:23 156:1 188:8

relating 44:21 49:16 53:20 186:12

relation 21:6 28:11,13 33:25 34:4 36:4,6 37:17 38:10 40:5,10,15,25 42:21,24 43:5 44:7,23 50:7 52:4 62:15 70:6 77:23 81:24 82:3 89:10 127:6 172:24 181:4 191:11 195:1

relationship 165:1 200:18

**relative** 97:5 197:7

release 112:4 163:18

released 106:1

releases 74:12

relegated 10:6

relevance 46:17 83:3 106:24

**relevant** 46:14 83:7 107:2,24 155:25

**relief** 107:14 192:6,25 193:10,14

relies 193:4,18

relying 69:18 110:4

**remain** 201:9

**remaining** 68:14 69:5 150:5 159:24 162:14

remember 15:4 19:17 42:19 64:24 79:9 107:12 149:10 152:6 153:7 159:15,16 163:1 165:5 181:14 191:14 201:15

remembered 194:21

remind 38:16 144:13

repay 136:16

repeat 84:21,22 140:4

repeating 104:13

replacement 64:14

reply 167:12,19

report 81:5,7,11,23,24 82:10,18,23 87:4 100:14,15 101:13,25 141:5 143:3 149:21 151:11,13 156:17 157:24 158:5 160:19 161:25 163:18

**reported** 113:10 114:11 118:5 141:5,10 146:17 149:3,15 176:3 200:20

REPORTER 84:20

reporting 74:8 129:22 135:12

**reports** 53:22 73:9 74:9 81:11,14 82:3,9, 11,22,23 91:9 94:24 95:19 96:10,11 99:20 100:1 118:5,23 119:3

120:5 121:15 127:13 151:22 162:20 165:6

repository 78:5 representative 188:3

reproduced 125:25

reps 201:7

**request** 42:14 56:13 76:7 83:18 89:1 127:18 191:10 195:2

requesting 177:19

requests 185:15

require 55:12 179:19

required 57:17 72:7 150:3 168:8

research 15:1 24:17 51:6 54:2,10 55:8,23,24 56:3 71:8,15 73:9 76:19 77:7,24 78:2,23 80:4 81:5 84:2,8 86:6 87:2, 14 89:7,13,17 90:25 93:25 102:10 114:8 115:4 123:14,17 124:6 125:14 127:6 131:14, 18,22,24 135:18 136:4 140:6 151:11,13,16,25 152:2,13 154:21 156:10,12,14,16,25 158:5 159:19 196:19 197:11

**reserve** 148:22 149:7 159:25

reserve-based 67:19

**reserves** 67:23 149:1 150:5 161:9 162:2

**resources** 8:11 57:16 150:2,5 162:2 183:17

respect 7:1 21:21 25:8 32:4 33:14 44:5 52:7 59:4,5 66:5 70:22 75:18 80:2 94:10 99:17 104:24 105:13 109:4 110:8 112:3 126:14,18 143:14,16 161:21 162:14,17 169:25 170:20 178:9 182:13 192:7 195:19 198:19

respond 54:23 77:12

responded 27:20

responding 41:3 42:21 43:25 77:1

response 6:18 17:20 22:21 27:21 40:21 126:13 154:10

responses 81:6

responsibility 57:12, 13 70:22,23 71:8,16 81:8

responsible 57:3,7, 23 58:9

restatement 112:12

restructure 147:7

restructuring 62:18 63:1,4 85:14 145:25

result 113:22 115:24 140:16 154:21 155:9 183:14 200:17

resulted 100:4 117:19

**results** 106:1,2 108:21 121:8 141:25 151:16 156:25 199:21

**RESUMED** 83:23 151:5 195:12

retail 202:18

retain 173:14 189:22

retained 121:23 173:12

**return** 139:25 168:9 203:9

returning 18:8

revealed 80:19

**reverse** 197:16

**review** 6:1,20 7:10 53:22 76:22 101:11 105:7 131:12 184:9

**reviewed** 95:19 100:2,21 101:2 126:18 128:20 143:20 160:11 167:2

**reviewing** 18:23 184:3



reviews 148:17 | scen

**rewards** 170:12

rightly 199:7

**Riley** 6:17 167:15,24 168:4,7,21 169:15,25

Riley's 167:2

**risk** 11:15 53:24 66:14, 16 117:18 139:24

risks 11:6

robust 133:1

rough 79:24 201:13

roughly 7:19 8:2

routed 198:12

rules 55:22

**run** 113:14,15 142:13, 23 149:9 162:7 177:8,9

running 10:24 113:16 149:7

Russia 201:17 202:3

Russian 200:18

#### S

Sachs 169:6

**sailed** 191:4,5

**sale** 119:2 120:22 141:1,13 142:6 145:5 197:2,24

**sales** 55:4 96:12,23 113:21 120:9

**samples** 35:19

**sanctions** 200:19,20

**Sandhill** 113:17 115:9,11 116:5,7 118:13,15,17 119:2,13, 16,20 120:5,14 121:22

Saskatchewan

62:18 66:22

sat 62:21

**satisfy** 147:24

scanned 127:3

**scenario** 119:7,8 120:9,10

**scope** 156:13 188:18

**scrubbing** 177:9,10

**search** 40:8 93:10,18 132:2,3,17,20,25 134:2

**searchable** 133:20 134:11

**searches** 87:11 88:15 131:15 132:2,6,15

**seats** 192:10 193:22

**SEC** 110:4 135:9

**secondary** 10:2,6 75:14

**sector** 145:21,25

**secure** 163:3

**secured** 9:23 10:13 61:7 63:22 98:22 114:21 122:4 139:15 143:15,18,23 145:7 147:1,24 169:3,7,12,13

**securing** 145:19 163:9

**securities** 10:7 27:8 56:1 77:1 152:5

**security** 54:18 63:6,9, 21,24 64:6 67:15 68:7 118:13,21 148:9 149:19,25 160:1 189:25 195:24 198:15 199:10 200:19

SEDAR 74:7

**seeking** 89:8 107:14 147:14

self-interest 28:23

**sell** 113:18 117:17,18 197:15,17 198:1,7

**selling** 198:5,6

selloff 158:23

**send** 26:20 151:13 155:3

**sending** 157:20 158:2.4

**senior** 114:21 147:6,9, 15 169:13

**sense** 11:12 87:4 92:8 135:20 164:2

**sentence** 116:20 158:19 160:21

**separate** 140:19 141:9

**September** 108:19 160:19

**series** 29:23 84:16 193:19 196:19,21 199:19

served 172:14

**server** 170:25

**servers** 172:24

**service** 120:6 122:17 156:13

**services** 97:11 135:14 145:25 146:5 169:24 170:5

servicing 69:16

**set** 19:20,21 51:2 55:10 60:17 156:9 196:8 197:8 202:15,24

setting 61:15

**shape** 155:24

**share** 32:7 44:16 82:2 156:25 170:12 199:20

**shared** 22:9 82:11,18, 23 151:10 154:22

**shareholder** 8:21 170:16 179:22

shareholders 53:2

**shares** 79:25 198:7,9

sharing 28:19

shed 121:20

**sheet** 63:2 66:25 140:20,22 141:8,9 149:22 165:19

shelter 203:23

**ship** 191:4,5

**shoes** 179:21

**short** 27:22 52:7 53:21 54:4,11,19 55:15,20 56:12 70:7 75:19 76:20 79:4,14,25 80:4 84:9 85:17 86:14 88:16 102:17 103:1,16 104:24 105:4 106:21 108:5,15 109:11,25 110:13 123:15 144:24 149:7 156:17 158:21 159:2,4, 9 195:8,18 196:2,10,20 197:2,16,24 198:4,18 199:3,12

Index: reviews..Singh

short-circuit 124:17

short-circuited 127:22

**short-selling** 11:4,7, 10,16

**shortly** 33:9,12

**show** 44:10 51:22 191:16

showed 171:7

showing 28:24

**shut** 159:20 160:12 161:15

**side** 54:25

**signed** 142:20 165:19

significance 144:21

**significant** 64:11 71:15 77:5 136:14 144:10

significantly 143:4 199:21

**similar** 51:4 66:6 91:12 96:1,10 132:24 164:9

**similarly** 113:13 168:1

**simply** 24:21 85:6 104:6 159:22 186:15

**Singh** 20:7,11,16 23:14,18 24:2 32:15,17, 21 33:3,6,13,16 34:2, 11,19 35:5 36:4,6,23 37:9,16 38:9,12,20 39:9,16 40:3,9,23 41:7,



18,23,25 42:23 43:9,22

**Singh's** 20:22 33:20 35:15 37:12 38:3

**single** 97:15 179:10,11

sir 5:7 9:21

**sit** 72:8 160:8

**site** 85:13 125:22

sites 134:15

**situation** 27:7 53:3,11 54:17 55:11,23,25 63:22 71:1 73:20 96:8 154:7 197:20 199:15

situations 10:18 54:14 67:25 74:4 91:18 139:19 182:14 203:20

**size** 55:7 72:4.10 77:3 84:17,18,24

**skip** 170:18

slide 166:20

slightly 80:1

**slow** 84:23

**small** 169:11

**social** 183:23

**sods** 186:4

**soft** 146:2

**software** 92:15 177:9, 10

**sold** 121:6 141:16,18

solely 68:2

solicitation 96:21.23

**solvent** 202:25

sophisticated 203:7

**sort** 33:3 102:18 112:9 117:13 165:3 183:20,25 203:13

**sought** 192:6

**sounds** 176:1

**source** 90:20

**sourced** 134:24

**sources** 84:5 87:12 88:16

**span** 161:11

**speak** 6:23 29:7 46:19 53:4,15 154:14

**speaking** 17:8 56:25 72:6 146:3 184:3

special 10:18

**specific** 38:16 43:21 45:12 52:23 84:3,10 85:14,19 86:15 90:25 105:1,3 113:25 134:14 170:20 180:2 181:18 189:17

specifically 13:24 65:2 87:6,23 112:25 131:21 156:1 160:14 182:7 187:19

**specifics** 17:1 60:20 131:25 173:8

spectrum 139:24

**spent** 135:21

**spoke** 20:3 22:16 198:16

**spoken** 59:4 60:14 184:15

**spoliation** 176:16,18 177:12.13

sponsors 193:4

sponsorship 193:4, 9,12

spreadsheet 30:18

**staff** 183:20

staffed 58:3

**stage** 102:22 141:12 162:25

**stages** 55:9 89:12

**stamped** 14:17 16:6

standardized 93:3

standpoint 73:19

**stands** 175:22

start 27:12 57:8 60:19

123:25

**started** 6:4 10:17 70:6 71:3 73:17.19 75:2 87:18 152:16

**starting** 57:18 89:11 91:3 167:11 184:24

**starts** 13:20

**state** 144:2 163:11 166:6

stated 137:4 176:24

statement 47:5.25 48:6 60:25 61:23 110:22 111:23 117:5,8, 9 118:2 119:3 130:3 161:20 162:10

statements 64:15 73:11 130:1 141:24 149:3,23 162:10

**stating** 112:11 118:4 161:24

**status** 160:3

**step** 84:2 89:7 174:2,5

**stepping** 179:20

steps 172:23 173:5,8

**Stikeman** 134:17

**stock** 11:4,7,14,16,18 111:5,13,18 196:12 197:21

**stocks** 11:10

stood 86:10

**stop** 32:25 37:22 68:3 104:4

**stopped** 180:20

**stored** 127:9

**strategic** 24:11 46:5 194:10

**strategy** 54:22 70:15

**street** 52:20

**stress** 66:25

**strict** 61:9 67:18

**strictest** 137:6 138:3.

strictly 72:5

**strings** 132:4

structure 179:15

Index: Singh's..suggestion

structured 13:7

**stuff** 125:16

subcategories

65:23

**subject** 47:10 48:10, 17 95:3 152:18 182:6

**subjects** 155:13

**submit** 179:1

**submitted** 47:6 97:1

subordinate 168:22

subordinated 10:14 117:21

subsequent 17:24 73:10 109:10 125:22

subsequently 14:2 26:1 28:12 29:6 131:1 157:23

subset 142:20,21

subsidiaries 95:2 115:6

substantiation

117:3 substantive 135:24

163:12,25

substantively 93:5

subsumed 89:1

**SUCCESS** 193:25 194:8

successive 67:8

sufficient 113:1 142:24

**suggest** 122:6 142:18 143:9 159:19 161:20

suggested 122:3

suggesting 11:18 81:23 110:11 112:8 114:3,6 124:14 125:12 126:3 130:19 144:18 192:24 193:2,7,13,17, 18 194:9

suggestion 38:11 130:22 155:22



**suggests** 41:13 177:7

summaries 189:6

summarized 31:1 101:10

**summer** 172:22

Superior 96:17

supplementary 167:3

**supports** 148:22

suppose 59:16 69:21 82:16 87:7,13 88:24

surfaced 142:14

**surmise** 150:10

**surprise** 15:2 107:4 185:19

**sustain** 147:21

swearing 6:24 50:11

**swore** 6:2,9

**sworn** 5:3,13,16,19 39:14 49:7,14 173:9 176:12

syndicate 179:2 199:25

**synopsis** 98:6 146:13

syntaxes 132:2

**System** 134:9,12

### Т

tab 59:24 128:13 158:8 166:20 173:20

table 160:22 196:25

takes 50:23

taking 11:14 32:10 53:21 55:15,19 72:10 76:20 80:4 84:9 85:17 89:2 98:16 107:24 123:2 139:11

talk 13:15 16:14 59:21 70:5 113:6.11.24 114:1. 7 123:11 140:1 156:12 162:13 195:7

talked 16:13 18:4 74:19 95:22 140:3 143:13 152:14 154:16

talking 9:4 23:17 26:4 38:17,18 41:19 60:20 97:18 106:6 123:5 126:23 139:19 159:13, 15 165:8 192:5 198:3

targeting 62:2

tasked 51:25

tax 203:20

tax-advantaged 203:23

taxable 169:16

taxed 169:17 202:7,8 203:16,17

**TD** 152:5

**team** 57:9 64:22 181:20 182:9 192:14, 17.21

technical 160:18

Technology 92:16 96:1 112:24 136:9

telecom 186:10,17 187:15

telling 37:22 48:18 51:14 69:21 184:6,8

temporary 147:4

ten 192:10

tend 167:25 168:4,22

tenses 47:19

**term** 120:6 165:18

**terminal** 132:25

terms 27:16 43:21 54:21 61:15 62:25 66:9 68:25 69:9 79:10 89:25 93:19 119:15 120:6 127:15 132:3,20 146:14 154:17,18 155:16 168:19 169:4 170:16 180:4 193:23 195:22

Territories 163:7

test 181:9

testified 19:22 48:12

testimony 39:14

thereabouts 73:22

thermal 95:7

thesis 199:7

thicker 191:3

thing 76:12 187:2 190:19,21

things 27:24 44:11 52:15 53:13 57:2 58:6 76:24 89:17 104:16 131:17 170:20 180:16 181:4 188:16 190:8

thought 17:15 18:11 21:14 23:16 27:17 86:7

111:3.15 122:13 127:1 129:1,3 194:20

201:9

thoughts 189:17

thousand 171:10

thousands 171:10

three-and-a-halfweek 171:8

**time** 5:11 6:12 10:1 18:5 19:19.25 20:6 22:22 23:3 24:14 27:12 29:25 30:2,7,12,23 32:17 36:10 37:20 40:20 41:8,19 42:20 43:22 44:9 45:4 46:22 47:20 48:20 51:25 55:9, 24 57:6,10 58:2,7 67:6 70:20 71:15 72:23 73:18,24 74:2 75:19 76:23,25 79:6,7,14 80:7 87:9 88:11 99:2 100:19 101:4 102:16 104:1 109:19 111:3,13,20 116:12,21 117:22 122:16 128:7 135:3,25 143:1 170:7 171:5,11 172:25 176:6 178:7,15, 20 179:1.12 180:9

time-sensitive 55:12 72:11

182:20 183:21 186:21

187:24 195:21 196:1

197:14

Index: suggests..transaction timeline 35:22 201:8

> times 55:6 101:16.19 195:23

timing 197:19

today 6:5 47:17 49:6 194:7

**told** 32:24 33:16 39:23 52:20 103:2 149:18 199:24

**Tom** 16:9 19:21 22:16 187:5.6

tool 132:21

top 149:13 158:9

topics 134:14

**topped** 79:10

total 79:22

touch 57:25 95:24 155:21

track 104:5

tracking 73:19 104:7

trade 27:8 145:22 146:3 183:24 198:11

traded 10:7

trader 27:1 106:15

trades 9:25 197:21 198:11

trading 9:11 10:5,11 30:4,6 77:6 79:12,18 105:7,13 195:25 198:12

traditional 139:19

traffic 40:15 42:11 59:11 75:5 184:9,14,15

**trail** 87:13

tranches 29:21

transacted 30:7

transacting 198:14

transaction 24:11 27:10 28:12 34:8 48:9 49:1 57:16 58:10 63:1 66:20 72:5 77:10 132:8 140:16 145:5 179:15,20 183:8 193:24,25 198:20 **transactions** 47:9,22 48:17,21,24 132:10 134:21 145:25

**transcript** 21:12,15 25:12 36:3,5,24 39:15 197:1

**transcripts** 7:11 74:11

transmitted 154:24

**Transocean** 52:4,8, 21 53:20 54:1 80:3 191:12

Transocean's 56:10 transparent 142:22 transpired 24:4 49:1 triggered 73:14,15

troublesome 52:17

true 90:18

truncated 165:1

**trust** 63:14

trusts 202:14

**Tungston** 135:15 136:10,23 148:4 149:16,20 159:14

turn 59:23 158:7

**turned** 52:18,19 93:2 200:24

**type** 72:9 146:3

typed 88:21

types 8:24

typical 61:23 74:4

**typically** 53:12 55:5 57:14 62:4 65:5,10,13, 19 66:7,9 67:21 78:6 132:1 134:3 138:9 139:25 170:13 197:8 198:12

typing 132:17

U

**U.S.** 133:19 134:9,23 135:2,12 169:1

**U/a** 20:25 26:17 31:19 35:7 40:17 75:22 76:14 78:25 79:19 81:19 83:10 89:4 104:19 105:11 107:5 126:16 153:17 156:3 159:10 185:18 189:1 195:3

**U/t** 19:14 30:9 45:3 80:22 152:25 155:11 157:21 185:1 187:16

**UBC** 8:4

**UBS** 201:4

**Uhm-hmm** 13:18 25:10 45:17 72:24 77:16 160:20

ultimate 71:22 201:18 ultimately 83:8 107:1 122:1 136:16 140:11 142:8 156:17 185:17 198:23

umbrella 201:23 unaudited 142:1

**unconventional** 90:7,9,22 137:2

underlined 47:1 underlining 47:2

underlying 42:14 122:13 198:15 199:10

underpinned 95:6

underpinning 91:11 122:14

understand 5:7 7:13 8:3 9:22 10:16 11:3,6, 25 15:19 25:7 30:12,22 33:11 43:5 49:11 53:17 58:15 70:8 73:14 76:6, 17 84:3 85:23 88:2,5 89:2 90:3,5 91:3 102:16 107:23 111:21 116:4 121:4 134:13 138:16,21 140:6,15 141:7 172:5 173:2

understanding 18:3 19:2 57:23 58:16 60:10 86:8 91:23 98:18,21 102:14 116:8 121:16 122:2 136:18 140:14 143:17,20 146:22 148:7 173:6

understood 17:22 22:4 81:6 107:16 114:15 118:12 119:12 126:25 128:6 139:16 182:16 188:19

undertaking 194:19 undervalued 111:16 unit 93:4

**universe** 62:2 76:1 134:11 164:21 169:1,2

unlike 197:14 201:10 unlimited 11:9,15 unpalatable 201:24 unpredictable 61:24

unrelated 51:14

unsecured 9:23 10:14 29:22 30:8 121:19 139:15 147:25 168:23

unsecureds 145:12 unsuccessful 121:7 updated 81:12 125:22 updating 103:5

**USB** 170:22 171:13,22 172:1,2,6,7

**user** 174:15,16,24 175:2

users 174:14

٧

vaguely 56:9

**valuation** 111:3 112:21 197:11 201:6

**valuations** 9:11 197:22

**values** 64:9 87:25 94:1,11 97:10 113:21 119:9 145:18

**varying** 109:19

**vast** 12:23 138:6 169:10

**vehicle** 131:7 203:8 **vehicles** 12:18 202:14

204:3 **vendor** 179:16 180:4

venture 163:5

200:2,3,12

verbal 34:23 75:1

**Veritas** 152:12,20,24 153:4,16 154:4 155:9, 17 156:8 157:20,24

version 100:23

versions 100:20 101:25

versus 203:16

**view** 45:2 93:1 111:9 137:25 138:20 139:7,10 145:6 179:14

viewed 124:13 127:14

**Vimpelcom** 179:21 200:21 201:17,21,23

virtue 122:22

vis-à-vis 144:11

**volatility** 62:6 67:11 203:10

**volume** 124:2 128:12 166:21 195:25 196:4,17

**volumes** 123:23 **voting** 179:21 200:22

W

**walk** 16:21

walked 16:20,23

wall 186:12 187:3,10

**Wang** 80:10,11,20,25 98:12,13 100:17 135:17 155:17

wanted 17:10 60:24 112:7 167:8 183:24 191:19 194:17 200:25 201:1

warranties 201:7

Index: wash..Zhu

**wash** 137:15

**ways** 182:3 197:10

**web** 93:10,17

website 126:7 133:17

**websites** 92:5 125:11 131:16 133:23 134:1,16 135:2

- -

week 106:10

weekly 196:6

weeks 135:25 171:19

Wells 61:12 138:8

**West** 5:8 7:14 8:18,22 9:25 10:17 12:1,5 13:3, 10 14:3 21:6,21 22:9 24:7 25:4 26:10 27:1,25 28:13 29:2 30:7 31:25 35:14 40:10,20,25 42:20,24 44:5,11,14,21, 23 45:14 46:6,21 47:8, 20,21 48:12,16,19 49:10,15,17,22,24 50:15,22 51:2,8,19 52:1 58:24 59:15 62:22 70:6 71:8,20 74:17,22 75:17 76:19 79:13 82:7,18 102:25 106:20 107:17 136:20 153:22 155:8 158:2,3 170:24 171:1,6 172:22,24 174:18 178:25 179:4 180:15, 18,21 181:19 183:1,4 184:1,21 185:10,17 186:11,16 187:24 188:6,12 190:16,25 192:6,9,17,19,22 195:18,20 196:20 197:3 200:1

western 67:9

whatsoever 28:21

**Wheat** 62:18 63:11 66:23

Wind 57:1,3 58:10 178:9,14,19,25 179:1, 22 180:9 181:19,21 182:1,9,10 183:8 186:13,18 187:4,15 188:8 192:7,13,25 193:14,15 194:11 199:24 200:6 201:19,22 **window** 77:11

**Winton** 124:2 146:24 194:18 195:1

word 134:1

**worded** 23:22

**words** 12:8 33:21 54:9 62:11 93:2

**work** 15:8,11 24:7 27:16 28:12,14 29:7 30:16 31:6,8,16 32:5, 22,25 33:15,17,24 34:3, 8 35:12 37:17 38:1 40:5,24 41:9,13,14,16, 20 42:2,5 43:13 44:6,22 49:9,16,18,20,21,23 50:7,14 51:25 52:19 57:14 64:16 81:13 95:13 98:24 101:4 104:4 119:1,17 154:12, 15,19 171:7 172:25 191:11,19 194:19,23,25

**worked** 25:17 29:21 32:2 44:7 91:22 99:21 100:16 132:8 138:7

working 32:18 37:23 58:24 59:8 61:11 62:20 63:15,25 64:3,16 65:23 67:18 69:16 80:12 152:17 180:21

works 13:2,11 197:2

worse 196:4 203:21

worth 149:22

write-offs 130:15

writes 60:4

writing 15:8,16,20 21:6 35:18 154:25

written 23:7 88:21 152:2

wrong 110:17 127:4

wrongly 199:7

wrote 22:23

Υ

year 10:22 50:11 109:1

**year-end** 106:2 109:17 141:25

**years** 8:2,16 25:24 27:7 67:8 148:25 153:9 160:24 161:12 164:12

**yield** 108:18 112:25 119:9 121:8

**Yu** 174:17

**Yu-jia** 58:14

Ζ

**Zhu** 58:14

