

In the Matter Of:
The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v.
Brandon Moyse et al

KEVIN LO
May 14, 2015

neesons

141 Adelaide Street West | 11th Floor
Toronto, Ontario M5H 3L5
1.888.525.6666 | 416.413.7755

Court File No. CV-14-507120

ONTARIO

SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

B E T W E E N:

THE CATALYST CAPITAL GROUP INC.

Plaintiff

- and -

BRANDON MOYSE and WEST FACE CAPITAL INC.

Defendant

--- This is the Cross-Examination of KEVIN LO, on his
affidavits sworn May 12, 2015, and April 2, 2015, taken
at the offices of Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg LLP,
40th Floor, 155 Wellington Street West, Toronto,
Ontario, on the 14th day of May, 2015.

A P P E A R A N C E S:

Andrew Winton, Esq. for the Plaintiff.

Kristian Borg-Olivier, Esq. for the Defendant
Brandon Moyse

Matthew Milne-Smith, Esq. for the Defendant
West Face Capital
Inc.

REPORTED BY: Terry Wood, RPR, CSR

I N D E X

WITNESS: KEVIN LO

Page

KEVIN LO

Cross-Examination by Mr. Winton 5

Re-Examination by Mr. Borg-Olivier 55

Cross-examination by Mr. Winton (Cont'd) 58

The following list of undertakings, advisements and refusals is meant as a guide only for the assistance of counsel and no other purpose

INDEX OF REFUSALS

The questions/requests refused are noted by R/F and appear on the following pages/lines: None.

INDEX OF UNDERTAKINGS

The questions/requests undertaken are noted by U/T and appear on the following pages/lines: 17/9, 19/12.

INDEX OF UNDER ADVISEMENTS

The questions/requests taken under advisement are noted by U/A and appear on the following pages/lines: 19/19.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INDEX OF EXHIBITS

NUMBER/DESCRIPTION

PAGE NO.

None .

1 --- Upon commencing at 10:27 a.m.

2 KEVIN LO, SWORN;

3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WINTON:

4 1 Q. Good morning, Mr. Lo.

5 A. Good morning.

6 2 Q. Mr. Lo, in this proceeding, you
7 have sworn two affidavits, correct?

8 A. That's correct.

9 3 Q. The first affidavit was sworn on
10 April 2nd, 2015, correct?

11 A. Correct.

12 4 Q. And the second affidavit was sworn
13 on May 12th, 2015?

14 A. That is correct.

15 5 Q. And you understand that when you
16 swear an affidavit that you are swearing to tell the
17 truth in your affidavits?

18 A. I do.

19 6 Q. You also understand that, both in
20 your affidavits and during this cross-examination, it's
21 important to be telling the truth?

22 A. Yes, I do.

23 7 Q. And you understand that the
24 evidence you gave in your affidavits and that you are
25 giving today is for use in a court proceeding?

1 A. Yes.

2 8 Q. And it's in your Exhibit B to your
3 affidavit, which you can turn up, you understand from
4 signing the acknowledgment of expert's duty form that,
5 among other things, it's your duty to provide opinion
6 evidence that is fair, that's objective, and
7 nonpartisan, correct?

8 A. I do.

9 9 Q. You understand that's important in
10 your evidence, both in affidavit or today, in both
11 forms, that it's important to be forthcoming in the
12 evidence?

13 A. Yes.

14 10 Q. Now, reviewing your CV at Exhibit A
15 to your affidavit, I notice, in your consulting
16 experience, you list several different examples of the
17 type of work you have performed, correct?

18 A. Yes.

19 11 Q. Now, what I was looking for and
20 what I didn't find is have you testified as an expert
21 on issues concerning forensic investigations in the
22 past?

23 A. Yes, I have.

24 12 Q. Okay. Is that listed in your
25 consulting experience?

1 A. It may or may not. I don't
2 remember what version it is.

3 13 Q. Okay.

4 A. It may not, yeah. Actually, no,
5 there's a second-last bullet point there -- no, no,
6 actually, sorry. It may not be included, so in this --
7 yeah, but I have testified in -- I have testified as an
8 expert --

9 14 Q. Okay.

10 A. -- before, yes.

11 15 Q. Okay.

12 A. In different occasions.

13 16 Q. Okay. But just not -- there
14 doesn't seem to be --

15 A. No, not in there, yes.

16 17 Q. Okay. Now, looking through the
17 consulting experience, if we can start with the first
18 bullet point. It's page 143 of the record. It's the
19 first page of your CV. It states you led the
20 electronic data acquisition procedures on a number of
21 civil search orders, referring to Anton Piller orders,
22 correct?

23 A. That's correct.

24 18 Q. And the data acquisition procedures
25 involves I guess imaging hard drives?

1 A. That would be part of it, yes.

2 19 Q. Okay. What else would it involve?

3 A. Well, it's a little bit wider scope
4 than that. So it's the -- essentially, it's the
5 identification of the forensic evidence and then the
6 preservation of the forensic evidence and then the
7 collection of the forensic evidence.

8 20 Q. Right. Okay. So those are the
9 steps that are similar to, in this proceeding -- you
10 may or may not understand this from -- depending how
11 much you have reviewed the record, but that's what was
12 performed back in July of 2014 when Mr. Moyse's hard
13 drive was imaged, correct?

14 A. That's my understanding, but I did
15 not conduct that procedure.

16 21 Q. Right.

17 A. Yes.

18 22 Q. Agreed. Okay. But, similarly, the
19 acquisition of the evidence is through imaging of a
20 hard drive, and it's a similar task as what you
21 describe there?

22 A. Typically, yes.

23 23 Q. Right. Now, turning over to the
24 next page and the next bullet point, "Oversees Data
25 Preservation and Collection". Is that a similar

1 exercise as what you are describing in the first
2 bullet?

3 A. Very similar, but this is more in
4 the context of electronic discovery.

5 24 Q. Yes.

6 A. Yeah. But the procedure is very
7 similar.

8 25 Q. And so we are talking about, again,
9 imaging hard drives and preserving evidence?

10 A. That will be part of the exercise,
11 yes.

12 26 Q. Yes. Anything else as part of the
13 exercise?

14 A. Yeah. Meet and confer session,
15 discussion with -- come up with a litigation plan,
16 doing analysis of the data, that type of things.

17 27 Q. And by "analysis of the data", you
18 mean for ediscovery purposes?

19 A. For ediscovery purposes, yes.

20 28 Q. Right. And so, similarly, then,
21 the next bullet point, where you describe "Managed a
22 number of document-scanning projects", then, again,
23 there, that is more of an ediscovery-related task?

24 A. That's correct, yes.

25 29 Q. And that is not something that's

1 similar to what you were doing for this particular
2 engagement?

3 A. Not that I know of, no.

4 30 Q. Right. The next bullet point:

5 "Participated in an engagement where
6 electronic surveillance (computer
7 activity logging) and video surveillance
8 were conducted."

9 Again, that's not tasks that are related
10 to this engagement?

11 A. No.

12 31 Q. Next bullet point:

13 "Handling of noncomputer data, such as
14 video and audio, for investigative
15 purposes."

16 That's not a task that's related to this
17 engagement?

18 A. No.

19 32 Q. The next bullet point:

20 "Acted as a third-party inspector
21 under Court order to preserve and
22 extract electronic data."

23 Is that similar to the first point in
24 terms of -- like an Anton Piller order-type of
25 proceeding to image a computer hard drive?

1 A. Yeah, but it's more than that, as I
2 said. Preservation of the electronic data is only part
3 of the exercise. It's not necessarily the only thing I
4 do.

5 33 Q. Right.

6 A. Yeah.

7 34 Q. So what else would you do that
8 would be captured under that bullet point?

9 A. Can you repeat that bullet point
10 there.

11 35 Q. It's the acted as a third-party
12 inspector.

13 A. Oh, so I would be -- so I would
14 be -- act as neutral party where I will be taking --
15 conducting whatever instructed by me through a common
16 agreed protocol.

17 36 Q. Okay. And so under that protocol,
18 you may be asked to run certain searches of the data
19 that was preserved?

20 A. Searches, analysis, extraction.

21 37 Q. Right. And not to diminish it, but
22 the role is to execute searches that others assign to
23 you?

24 A. That would be asked of me, yes.

25 38 Q. Yes. And those searches are asked

1 of you, and then you conduct the searches and then
2 report back on the results?

3 A. That would be correct, yes.

4 39 Q. The next bullet point describes a
5 task. You:

6 "Advised a client (in-house counsel)
7 on conducting investigation of
8 employees' inappropriate behaviours."

9 A. Yes.

10 40 Q. I take it that's unrelated to the
11 task at hand in this engagement?

12 A. No. There are some similarities.

13 41 Q. How so?

14 A. Well, it's -- it's a case where we
15 have to analyze the forensic evidence to find out what
16 happened to certain piece of data that is relevant to
17 this -- to any particular case.

18 42 Q. So you were analyzing a hard drive
19 in that case?

20 A. Well, it could be many hard drives,
21 could be also data from network servers, it could be
22 e-mail from e-mail servers, it could be a variety of
23 sources of electronic data.

24 43 Q. Okay. Well, without asking you to
25 give too many specifics such that you are breaching

1 whatever obligations of confidence you have, I am
2 referring to the task described in this specific bullet
3 point.

4 A. Uhm-hmm.

5 44 Q. So you said "could be, "could be,
6 "could be". I want to know what forensic tasks you
7 performed in relation to that bullet point.

8 A. So we would investigate any, let's
9 say, mobile devices, so such as a smart phones or
10 tablets or mobile phones.

11 45 Q. Yes.

12 A. We would investigate -- do --
13 perform analysis on computers --

14 46 Q. Yes.

15 A. -- that were assigned to certain
16 individuals. We would be tasked to do analysis of
17 network data, so, for example, from file servers,
18 e-mail servers, and so on. And then we would come up
19 with a report that would come up with any of our
20 findings.

21 47 Q. Okay. So in this case, you were
22 looking at the usage, the computer usage, that a person
23 under investigation made of their devices?

24 A. That would be one of -- that could
25 be one of the tasks involved, yes.

1 48 Q. Okay. Did it involve a forensic
2 review of imaged hard drives?

3 A. Sometimes, yes.

4 49 Q. Well, I'm asking about this
5 specific point, sir.

6 A. Uhm-hmm.

7 50 Q. I'm not asking about what you do
8 sometimes; I'm asking about this one that you are
9 describing here in your CV. Did that involve a
10 forensic review of a hard drive?

11 A. Yes.

12 51 Q. Okay. Now, I noticed in your CV
13 there's no reference to working on fraud
14 investigations. Have you been specifically tasked with
15 working on investigations where fraud is a component of
16 the investigation?

17 A. Yes, I have, yes, I have.

18 52 Q. But that doesn't appear to be
19 listed on your CV.

20 A. Yes, it was -- yeah. I can --
21 yeah. The CV may not be the most up-to-date document.

22 53 Q. Understood. Okay. Turning back to
23 your affidavit sworn April 2nd, 2015, you were
24 engaged by Paliare Roland, correct?

25 A. Yes.

1 54 Q. Do you recall when you were first
2 retained by Paliare Roland?

3 A. I do not know right now. I have to
4 go back to our engagement letter or engagement e-mail,
5 yes.

6 MR. WINTON: Okay. Well, maybe we can
7 go off the record and see if we can do that now rather
8 than by way of undertaking.

9 -- RECESS AT 10:40 --

10 -- RESUMING AT 10:41 --

11 BY MR. WINTON:

12 55 Q. And I understand, from paragraph 6
13 of your affidavit, that your firm was provided with
14 forensic images of -- it states relevant devices
15 belonging to Mr. Moyse for the purposes of your
16 analysis, correct?

17 A. That's correct, yes.

18 56 Q. And so you were provided with
19 images of both Mr. Moyse's personal computer? Yes?

20 A. Yes.

21 57 Q. And also his mobile devices, his
22 iPad and his smart phone?

23 A. Yes.

24 58 Q. But I think just to -- let's close
25 off an avenue of questioning. For the purposes of the

1 affidavits you have sworn, you did not analyze the
2 images of the mobile devices, correct?

3 A. That's correct, yes.

4 59 Q. Okay. Now, from whom did you
5 receive the copy of the forensic image of Mr. Moyse's
6 personal computer?

7 A. From counsel of Paliare Roland.

8 60 Q. So it was Paliare Roland. Okay.
9 And in what form was it provided to you?

10 A. Physical format. It's a computer
11 hard drive.

12 61 Q. Okay. Was it provided in an
13 evidence envelope?

14 A. It was in some container. It
15 may -- it was in some form of a pocket or plastic bag,
16 like an anti-static bag, I believe, yes.

17 62 Q. Okay. And was it accompanied by a
18 chain of evidence form?

19 A. We sign off -- we have evidence
20 form to say when we took custody of the device.

21 63 Q. Right. You have a form for when
22 you took custody of the device --

23 A. Yes.

24 64 Q. -- but was there was a form handed
25 to you or is there a related form for -- that showed

1 where the device came from prior to you receiving
2 custody of it?

3 A. I have to get back -- I will have
4 to look into my records, yes.

5 MR. WINTON: Okay. Counsel, if there's
6 a -- I'd like to have production of Mr. Lo's chain of
7 evidence form but also any other chain of evidence
8 forms that exist in relation to this image.

9 U/T MR. BORG-OLIVIER: Okay.

10 BY MR. WINTON:

11 65 Q. Do you know when the image was
12 created?

13 A. I do not.

14 66 Q. Are you aware of the circumstances
15 of what led to the creation of the image?

16 A. Yes, I do.

17 67 Q. So what's your knowledge of those
18 circumstances?

19 A. My knowledge is that there is an
20 independent solicitor supervisor.

21 68 Q. Yes.

22 A. And then he -- it's my belief that
23 the ISS had retained a forensic firm to conducts the
24 forensic imaging and collection of the -- of the
25 evidence.

1 69 Q. Right. And for that purpose, an
2 image was created and provided to the IT expert
3 retained by the ISS, correct?

4 A. That's my understanding, yes.

5 70 Q. That's your understanding?

6 A. Yes.

7 71 Q. Right. But that's not the actual
8 hard drive you analyzed, correct? The hard drive that
9 was provided to the ISS' IT expert?

10 A. I do not know the protocols between
11 the ISS and the -- and the forensic expert retained by
12 ISS.

13 72 Q. Right. I guess what I'm asking is
14 you were -- the physical hard drive you examined is, to
15 your understanding, not the same physical hard drive
16 that had been provided to the ISS expert?

17 A. I do not have knowledge to answer
18 that question.

19 73 Q. Okay.

20 A. Yes.

21 MR. WINTON: Counsel, can you assist on
22 that?

23 MR. BORG-OLIVIER: I believe the answer
24 is it's not, but I would have to get back to you on
25 specifically when the image was made.

1 MR. WINTON: Okay.

2 MR. BORG-OLIVIER: My understanding is
3 that a separate image was made at the time for
4 Mr. Moyse's counsel, but, as you know, we weren't
5 counsel at the time, so I can get back to you with that
6 information.

7 MR. WINTON: Right. I guess what I'm
8 trying to understand is how does it come to pass that a
9 second image is retained by counsel and used for
10 counsel's purposes without -- when it doesn't appear to
11 have been pursuant to the Court order.

12 U/T MR. BORG-OLIVIER: Okay. I will make my
13 best efforts to determine that and get back to you.

14 BY MR. WINTON:

15 74 Q. Thank you. And I will ask -- this
16 is more directed to counsel than to you, Mr. Lo -- if a
17 copy of the image can be made available to Mr. Musters
18 for his analysis.

19 U/A MR. BORG-OLIVIER: I will take that
20 under advisement

21 BY MR. WINTON:

22 75 Q. Now, Mr. Lo, did you understand at
23 the time you were performing the work that is the
24 subject matter of your two affidavits that you had
25 access to evidence that Mr. Musters did not have access

1 to?

2 A. Through Mr. Musters' affidavit, I
3 think he did make mention of that, but, frankly, it
4 wasn't my -- it wasn't my -- it wasn't a big concern of
5 mine, because that wasn't my -- yeah.

6 76 Q. So you didn't turn your mind to the
7 question of whether or not it was possible for
8 Mr. Musters to access the same computer image that you
9 were analyzing when you prepared your affidavit?

10 A. That wasn't the scope of my task.

11 77 Q. No. It's not really about the
12 scope but just a question of your understanding that
13 you had access -- let me back up for a second.

14 You understood you have access to an
15 image of Mr. Moyse's computer hard drive?

16 A. That's right.

17 78 Q. You understood that an image had
18 also been provided to an independent IT expert who was
19 not associated with Catalyst?

20 A. That's my understanding.

21 79 Q. Right. And you may not have turned
22 your mind to it, but you understand now as well that
23 Catalyst and its expert do not have access to that
24 image. Correct?

25 A. I -- I just learned it now, yes.

1 80 Q. Okay. As part of the investigation
2 or work you performed that led to the creation of
3 these -- swearing of these affidavits, did you have any
4 communications with Brandon Moyse?

5 A. No.

6 81 Q. You only communicated with counsel?

7 A. That's correct.

8 82 Q. Now, in your experience as an IT
9 expert, you're familiar with what information is
10 retained on computers and what information is not
11 retained, correct?

12 A. Yes.

13 83 Q. And, for example, an Internet web
14 browser retains, in the normal course, a log of all the
15 websites that a user accesses through the browser?

16 A. That wasn't the scope of this
17 report.

18 84 Q. Yes.

19 A. However, if you go away from this
20 report, generally, yes, there is record -- there are
21 records, yes --

22 85 Q. Right. It's known as the web --

23 A. -- of the web browser.

24 86 Q. -- usually, people call it their
25 web history?

1 A. That -- yes, that's the generic
2 term, yes.

3 87 Q. You are familiar with that term?

4 A. Yes, I am.

5 88 Q. And you are aware that the history
6 log in a web browser would record, for instance, a
7 user's searching activity?

8 A. Typically.

9 89 Q. Right. Typically, when they visit,
10 for instance, the Google site?

11 A. Once again, away -- totally away
12 from the scope of this report, but in a general
13 computer -- in the general daily usage by regular user,
14 that would be the -- that may be the case, yes.

15 90 Q. Right. And the search terms that
16 the user uses within Google would be recorded in the
17 web history? You understand that?

18 A. That may be true, yes.

19 91 Q. Well, in what circumstances would
20 it not be true?

21 A. Well, there are a variety of
22 things, right? For example, web browser may have
23 settings that disable logging, maybe.

24 92 Q. Yes.

25 A. Maybe. So, once again, I -- this

1 is outside the scope of this report, but ...

2 93 Q. I'm asking you general questions
3 about forensic IT research.

4 A. Okay. Yes.

5 94 Q. If the browser disables the
6 logging, that's applicable to all the web browsing
7 activity that's being logged?

8 A. I believe so, yes.

9 95 Q. So so long as the history is being
10 recorded, it's your understanding that that record
11 would also include a record of Google searches being
12 conducted?

13 A. It should.

14 96 Q. And you understand that if a user
15 deletes their web history, then they are deleting the
16 record of those searches?

17 A. Can you repeat that question.

18 97 Q. If a user deletes their web
19 history, they are deleting the record of their
20 searching activity?

21 A. Yes.

22 98 Q. And you understand that if a user
23 is accessing Dropbox, the online storage service,
24 through their web browser, then the web history would
25 record that activity, correct?

1 A. Yes.

2 99 Q. And the web history would record
3 not only the fact that they accessed Dropbox, it would
4 also record what Dropbox folders they were accessing?

5 A. That would be -- commonly, that
6 would be the case.

7 100 Q. Yes. And it would record the files
8 that the user viewed in Dropbox if they are using their
9 web browser to view those files?

10 A. That may or may not be the case.
11 I'm not a hundred percent sure.

12 101 Q. You are not sure about that?

13 A. Yes.

14 102 Q. Okay. Would you agree with me
15 that, by deleting the web history, the user would also
16 be deleting the record of their activity of accessing
17 Dropbox?

18 A. Generally, yes.

19 103 Q. How would it not also delete that
20 activity?

21 A. Once again, I haven't done -- in
22 this particular case, I didn't do any analysis on
23 Dropbox, so I'm just making -- I'm answering all these
24 questioned based on my general knowledge.

25 104 Q. Exactly. I'm only asking for your

1 general knowledge, not based on your review of
2 Mr. Moyse's hard drive, but, generally speaking, the
3 deletion of the web history also deletes the record of
4 activity of using Dropbox through a web browser?
5 That's correct?

6 A. Yes.

7 105 Q. If a user is accessing gmail or
8 Hotmail through their web browser, the web history log
9 would record that activity, correct?

10 A. Yes, it should, yes.

11 106 Q. And, to your knowledge, when it
12 records the user accessing gmail or Hotmail, it also
13 records what e-mail messages the user views?

14 A. That may not be always the case.

15 107 Q. When would it not be the case?

16 A. I don't have any specific, but from
17 my experience --

18 108 Q. Yes.

19 A. -- sometimes it's pretty
20 inconsistent what people can -- what Internet history
21 can show in terms of web-based e-mail can access.

22 109 Q. But in some cases, it can show the
23 e-mail messages that are being viewed in the web
24 browser?

25 A. It can and cannot. Once again,

1 it's not consistent.

2 110 Q. But it can show the --

3 A. As a possibility, yes.

4 111 Q. Thank you. You agree with me that,
5 by deleting the web history, the user's also deleting
6 the record of their activity; that whatever has been
7 recorded in the web history for gmail or Hotmail, that
8 activity has been deleted when the web history is
9 deleted?

10 A. That's correct.

11 112 Q. Now, if you can turn to the second
12 affidavit sworn by Martin Musters, and that is the
13 April 30th affidavit in the supplementary motion
14 record. It's at tab 2 of the record. Your counsel is
15 going to show it to you.

16 And you reviewed this affidavit prior to
17 swearing your second affidavit, correct?

18 A. Yes, correct, yes.

19 113 Q. And at paragraph 3 through to
20 paragraph 5, Mr. Musters' evidence is that cleaning up
21 the registry on a computer does not help a user delete
22 their web history. Do you want to take a moment and
23 review those paragraphs?

24 A. No, I see that.

25 114 Q. You are familiar with that evidence

1 from Mr. Musters?

2 A. Yes, I am, yes, I am.

3 115 Q. Do you agree with that statement
4 from Mr. Musters, that general premise, that cleaning
5 up the registry would not help a user delete their web
6 history?

7 A. That's correct.

8 116 Q. Now, we're going to continue to
9 speak generally for a second, but it relates to your
10 experience as a forensic IT investigator, and I just
11 want to make sure we -- everyone understands how the
12 technology works.

13 In the normal course, when a user
14 deletes a folder using the ordinary Windows delete
15 function, you understand that does not actually delete
16 the file from the hard drive, correct?

17 A. Yes.

18 117 Q. What happens is it may prevent the
19 ordinary user from being able to access that file if it
20 has been -- if you empty the recycling bin?

21 A. Yes, generally.

22 118 Q. Generally?

23 A. Yes.

24 119 Q. But the data itself is not actually
25 deleted?

1 A. That -- in a general sense, yes.

2 120 Q. Right. Instead -- and I'm going to
3 try to describe this in layman's terms, not too
4 technical terms -- what happens is by deleting it using
5 the ordinary function, it's telling the computer that
6 the space on the hard drive previously occupied by that
7 file is now available to be overwritten, correct?

8 A. That is correct.

9 121 Q. But until that space on the hard
10 drive is actually overwritten, it's potentially
11 possible to recover that data through a forensic
12 analysis of the hard drive?

13 A. That is correct.

14 122 Q. But if the data is, in fact,
15 overwritten, then it's highly unlikely that it can be
16 recovered?

17 A. Yes. But with -- it all depends on
18 the overwriting. So sometime -- if you take up all the
19 space, yes, that was available.

20 123 Q. Right. If you take up all the
21 space that was used by the data --

22 A. Yes.

23 124 Q. -- the data would be unrecoverable?

24 A. That's right. So a complete
25 overwriting, yes.

1 125 Q. Complete overwriting. And when
2 users are particularly concerned about ensuring their
3 data is unrecoverable, they may overwrite more than
4 once?

5 A. That would be -- yes, it can be
6 done, yes.

7 126 Q. Right. The software that is used
8 to permanently, let's say, delete data often overwrites
9 those sectors of the hard drive two, three, multiple
10 times, correct?

11 A. Depends on the software used,
12 depends what you choose. Some of them do have that
13 feature, yes.

14 127 Q. Yes. Now, through the course of
15 this retainer, you became familiar with the software
16 program known as Secure Delete, correct?

17 A. That is correct.

18 128 Q. And you came to understand that
19 Secure Delete is a tool that a user can use to
20 permanently delete data from a hard drive?

21 A. According to the product
22 description, yes.

23 129 Q. You have no reason to doubt the
24 accuracy of the product description?

25 A. No.

1 130 Q. And in layman's terms or one might
2 refer to running a Secure Delete-type program as wiping
3 or scrubbing the data? Are you familiar with those
4 terms?

5 A. Yes, I am.

6 131 Q. Do you use those terms around the
7 office sometimes? Do you call it a scrubber?

8 A. It's a genetic term. It's a
9 day-to-day conversation term, yes.

10 132 Q. Right. And a day-to-day
11 conversation term, one might use the term "scrubber" to
12 describe a program such as Secure Delete?

13 A. Yes.

14 133 Q. So if I use that term in the course
15 of this examination, you know what I'm referring to?

16 A. Yes, I do.

17 134 Q. Thank you. And in the case of
18 Secure Delete, you understand that the program
19 overwrites data that's being deleted with a random
20 sequence of characters?

21 A. Yes.

22 135 Q. The point of using a random
23 sequence of characters is then it is impossible to tell
24 from forensic review that the Secure Delete program had
25 been run to delete those sectors of the hard drive?

1 A. Not necessarily true.

2 136 Q. Okay. Why not?

3 A. Because some software, they will
4 leave a distinct -- the randomness becomes a distinct
5 signature where it could be a red flag in a forensic
6 examination that would tell me that maybe a -- a delete
7 software, deletion software, were utilized.

8 137 Q. But in that case, do you agree with
9 me, then, it's not a truly random sequence of
10 characters because it's almost a repeated random
11 sequence of characters?

12 A. No. It's -- once again, it all
13 depends. Sometimes, the randomness itself can be an
14 indication that it's -- in itself is a pattern. The
15 randomness itself. That would indicate that a
16 software -- a deletion software was utilized.

17 138 Q. Okay. But if the random pattern is
18 not discernible in that way, then one could never tell
19 that the program had been used?

20 A. Yes. That's a possibility.

21 139 Q. Are you familiar with the random
22 sequence of data that's used by the Secure Delete
23 software?

24 A. I do not know the -- what kind of
25 random generator it uses, no.

1 140 Q. So you don't know whether Secure
2 Delete is one of these programs that leaves what you
3 described and what I will describe from your evidence
4 is a signature random sequence versus a truly random
5 sequence that would be undiscernible?

6 A. I did not conduct tests on that --
7 in that regard, so I cannot comment on it.

8 MR. WINTON: Okay. Let's go off the
9 record for a second.

10 -- OFF THE RECORD --

11 BY MR. WINTON:

12 141 Q. And I assume -- correct me if I'm
13 wrong, Mr. Lo -- that in the course of this retainer
14 that led to the creation of your affidavits, you were
15 not asked to examine the image of Mr. Moyse's personal
16 computer to attempt to detect a discernible random
17 pattern of the data?

18 A. No -- hmm, no. That -- more
19 specifically, we were asked -- as outlined in this
20 affidavit, we were asked to determine whether or not
21 the Secure Delete software was utilized. That's one of
22 the things.

23 142 Q. Right. And I understand that. But
24 I guess in the course of that retainer, what you did
25 not do is analyze the data on the hard drive to look

1 for telltale random sequences of data?

2 A. No, that's -- no. As part of the
3 task to determine if Secure Delete was utilized, we
4 looked for red flags. So such as the -- one of them
5 would be the patterns that you just mentioned --

6 143 Q. Yes.

7 A. -- to see if there's any evidence
8 to show that a scrubber, like, the term that we agreed
9 to establish, was utilized.

10 144 Q. Where is that stated in your
11 affidavit?

12 A. Well, the -- let me see. Let's go
13 back to my affidavit.

14 145 Q. Yes. Sure.

15 A. It would be paragraph 11.
16 Actually, in the general section of -- that is -- would
17 be the indicator is saying that that was all that was
18 asked of me to do.

19 146 Q. And I want to distinguish, sir,
20 between what was asked of you and what you did. So I
21 understand you were asked to provide your own analysis
22 and to provide an opinion on the conclusions of
23 Mr. Musters' affidavit.

24 A. That's correct.

25 147 Q. And you were asked to provide an

1 opinion on the evidence from the ISS report in relation
2 to the Secure Delete folder; that's correct?

3 A. That is correct, yes.

4 148 Q. But what your affidavit does not
5 state is that you reviewed the hard drive and looked
6 for telltale signs of random sequences of data?

7 A. No. The Court -- as I stated
8 earlier, one of my tasks was to determine if the Secure
9 Delete application was utilized, and part of that
10 exercise to determine if the program was utilized is
11 also to look for telltale signs, red flag, that -- that
12 a -- that the software was utilized, and then that
13 would be random sequence or certain patterns of
14 overwriting occurred.

15 149 Q. Sir, my question to you is that
16 information is not put forth in your affidavit?

17 A. Yes, that's correct, yes.

18 150 Q. Right. Your affidavit makes no
19 reference to telltale signs of random sequences of
20 data?

21 A. That's because there's nothing to
22 report. So it doesn't mean that we didn't look at it.

23 151 Q. Your affidavit does indicate that
24 you looked at the Secure Delete log on Mr. Moyse's
25 computer?

1 A. That's one of the thing that we
2 have done, yes.

3 152 Q. Right. And there is nothing to
4 report; there is no findings from the Secure Delete log
5 that led you to believe he had run the Secure Delete
6 program, correct?

7 A. That's one of -- yes.

8 153 Q. Right. There is no mention in your
9 affidavit that you looked for random sequences of data?

10 A. That's correct.

11 154 Q. And in any event, you'll agree with
12 me that if the Secure Delete program does not leave
13 telltale random sequences of data, then the fact that
14 you didn't see any is not indicative of the fact that
15 it was or was not run?

16 A. Can you repeat that, please.

17 155 Q. Sure. You'll agree with me that
18 the fact that you did not find any of these telltale
19 random sequences of data may not be indicative of
20 whether or not the program was run?

21 A. That on its own, yes.

22 156 Q. Because you don't know whether
23 Secure Delete leaves a telltale sign --

24 A. That's correct.

25 157 Q. -- or whether it leaves a truly

1 random sequence?

2 A. That's correct.

3 158 Q. Now, Mr. Lo, if the purpose of your
4 retainer was to conduct this independent analysis of
5 the conclusions of Mr. Musters and the ISS expert, and
6 you were swearing an affidavit that set out all the
7 evidence you relied upon to support your opinion that
8 you believe Mr. Musters -- Mr. Moyse had not run the
9 Secure Delete program to delete files, why did you
10 leave out the mention of the fact that you looked for
11 telltale random sequences of data?

12 A. As I said before, forensic analysis
13 is an exercise where we would look for a number of
14 things. So at this -- in this particular case, we did
15 not see any telltale sign that would raise my alarm
16 that I should look deeper into it. So it was a -- it
17 wasn't -- we just don't think it's needed to be
18 reported.

19 159 Q. Okay. How many hours were spent
20 reviewing the hard drive looking for telltale random
21 sequences of data?

22 A. Once again, it's not a specific
23 task where we would be doing it. Whenever we do a
24 forensic analysis on a computer hard drive image, we
25 would be doing many things. We could be doing many

1 different things at once. So if you ask me to tell you
2 exactly how many minutes I spent just looking for
3 random patterns, I cannot tell you. Because from our
4 experience, we could tell -- we could see things that
5 it may be out of line, out of -- out of norm, and those
6 would be red flags, and I can't tell you how many
7 minutes I spent to look for those.

8 160 Q. Well --

9 A. We -- based on our experience.

10 161 Q. Okay. What's the capacity of the
11 hard drive you analyzed?

12 A. I do not have that report right now
13 on me.

14 162 Q. Okay.

15 A. But I can get that for you in -- if
16 I'm given a chance to.

17 MR. WINTON: Let's go off the record for
18 a second.

19 -- OFF THE RECORD --

20 BY MR. WINTON:

21 163 Q. So just while we were off the
22 record, we determined that, for the purposes of my
23 question -- the question I'm interested in, Mr. Lo, is
24 how much data was written onto the hard drive that was
25 being analyzed? I understand that what we are going to

1 do is, while I'm continuing with your examination,
2 someone will call your office and try to get that
3 information to me --

4 A. Yes.

5 164 Q. -- and I will have some questions
6 to follow up on once we get that information, okay?

7 A. Okay.

8 165 Q. So let's just park that issue.

9 A. Okay.

10 166 Q. Pending that answer. Thank you.

11 Now, turning to paragraph 13 of your
12 affidavit, you state that you ran Secure Delete on a
13 test computer, and that's a defined term. That just
14 refers to a Microsoft Windows computer. Do you see
15 that?

16 A. Yes, I do.

17 167 Q. And is that a computer that's owned
18 and controlled by your firm?

19 A. It is.

20 168 Q. It's not a computer that had loaded
21 up Mr. Moyse's --

22 A. No, not in this case.

23 169 Q. -- hard drive? And in
24 paragraph 13, you state that, when you launched Secure
25 Delete, a folder named "Secure Delete" was created and

1 you gave a file path. The file path includes a
2 backslash, users, backslash, Brandon Moyse, backslash,
3 appdata, and then we will just -- and continues from
4 there, and ends with a backslash, Secure Delete.
5 Right?

6 A. That's correct, yes.

7 170 Q. So how does Brandon Moyse become
8 part of the file path on a computer that's owned and
9 operated by your firm?

10 A. So allow me to clarify that
11 paragraph.

12 171 Q. Sure.

13 A. So the task we have performed is
14 twofold. So, one, we would -- from a forensic image,
15 we make a copy of a forensic image so it is an exact
16 copy of the forensic image. With that image, we can --
17 we have the ability to launch it in -- as a -- what we
18 call a virtual environment. So -- and under this
19 virtual environment, we can run tests as if we are
20 running on Moyse's computer; however, we do not make
21 any changes to the forensic evidence. That's
22 assimilation.

23 The second part of it is we also conduct
24 this test on a clean, freshly installed Microsoft
25 Office environment.

1 So that's why this -- I apologize, this
2 paragraph seems a little bit confusing, but I want to
3 clarify on the record that's what we did. That's the
4 step we took.

5 172 Q. Okay. But my understanding would
6 be that, in a clean Microsoft environment that has
7 nothing to do with Mr. Moyse's forensic image --

8 A. Yes.

9 173 Q. -- the file path shouldn't have
10 Mr. Moyse's name at user, correct?

11 A. That is correct.

12 174 Q. So is there --

13 A. But, once again -- once again, that
14 is the result of us mounting -- it's a forensically
15 sound method of mounting a copy of the Moyse's forensic
16 image. From that image, we mount it as a virtual -- a
17 virtual machine environment, which we can simulate
18 actions as if we were right in front of the actual
19 computer of Mr. Moyse.

20 175 Q. I understand that. But I'm -- what
21 I took from paragraphs 12 and 13 was that, separate and
22 apart from any mounting of Mr. Moyse's image, you had
23 installed Secure Delete on a completely unrelated
24 computer?

25 A. That's right. It's two different

1 things, yes.

2 176 Q. Right. And on that other unrelated
3 computer, a folder was created, correct?

4 A. Can you repeat that question.

5 177 Q. On that other computer.

6 A. "Other", meaning the one with the
7 freshly clean -- yes.

8 178 Q. The fresh computer.

9 A. Yes, yes.

10 179 Q. Let's call it the fresh computer.

11 A. Yes. Okay.

12 180 Q. Okay. On the fresh computer on
13 which Secure Delete was installed, you launched the
14 Secure Delete program?

15 A. Uhm-hmm.

16 181 Q. Can you say "yes" for the record.

17 A. Yes.

18 182 Q. And you're telling me that the file
19 path on the fresh computer included Brandon Moyse as a
20 user?

21 A. It would not, it would not.

22 183 Q. Right. So the file path reproduced
23 in paragraph 13 is not the file path that was created
24 by the test computer, correct?

25 A. That is correct, yes.

1 184 Q. Okay. And in paragraph 14, you
2 state that you attempted to determine whether you could
3 reach any conclusion as to whether or not Mr. Moyse had
4 used Secure Delete to delete files from his computer.
5 That was your task, correct? Paragraph 14?

6 A. Yes. I'm looking at it right now.

7 185 Q. Yes.

8 A. Yes.

9 186 Q. And, in essence, that is what the
10 purpose of your retainer was was to make this
11 determination as to whether you could reach any
12 conclusions as to whether or not Mr. Moyse had used
13 Secure Delete to delete files from his computer?

14 A. That's correct.

15 187 Q. And let's jump forward to
16 paragraph 20. After conducting the analyses that are
17 described between paragraphs 14 and 19, you reached a
18 conclusion at paragraph 20(b) that the Secure Delete
19 program on Mr. Moyse's computer was not used to delete
20 files or folders from that computer, correct?

21 A. That is correct, yes.

22 188 Q. That is your conclusion at the time
23 you swore the affidavit?

24 A. That is correct, yeah.

25 189 Q. Does that remain your conclusion

1 today?

2 A. Yes, it does.

3 190 Q. In your affidavit, the conclusion
4 is based on the fact that the Secure Delete log on
5 Mr. Moyse's computer did not summarize any deletion
6 activity, correct?

7 A. Actually, we cannot locate a log
8 that would indicate any deletion.

9 191 Q. Well, you attached a Secure Delete
10 log as Exhibit D to your affidavit, so why don't we
11 turn to that. You might want to put a finger at
12 Exhibit D to your affidavit, and then -- okay. And
13 then also look at paragraph 18.

14 A. 18, yes.

15 192 Q. Right. And so I guess you are
16 right. You refer to the Secure Delete log. That's the
17 information that would exist in the registry on his
18 computer if there had been --

19 A. Deletion.

20 193 Q. -- deletion?

21 A. Yes.

22 194 Q. And what you rely on, I guess, is
23 the fact that there is nothing in the log, right? That
24 was one fact you relied on?

25 A. There's no log.

1 195 Q. There was no log?

2 A. No log, yes.

3 196 Q. Right. And you reproduce here the
4 screen shot at -- here, at Exhibit D to your affidavit,
5 it's the screen shot of the system summary for Secure
6 Delete that was on Mr. Moyse's computer, correct?

7 A. That's correct, yes.

8 197 Q. Which shows that no wiping had been
9 performed?

10 A. That's correct.

11 198 Q. And it was based on the absence of
12 a Secure Delete log and the information in the system
13 summary that led you to reach the conclusion set out in
14 paragraph 20(b) of your affidavit?

15 A. That's correct.

16 199 Q. And in your affidavit, those are
17 the only facts that you rely upon to support that
18 conclusion?

19 MR. BORG-OLIVIER: There's the balance
20 of the affidavit.

21 BY MR. WINTON:

22 200 Q. Right. But I -- the balance of the
23 affidavit sets out what he did, but I just want to make
24 sure I understand the basis --

25 A. Can you repeat that statement,

1 then.

2 201 Q. -- the basis for your conclusion,
3 the only basis for the conclusion, was the absence of
4 the Secure Delete log and the information in the system
5 summary?

6 A. Yes.

7 202 Q. Now, you recently reviewed
8 Mr. Musters' April 30th, 2015, affidavit?

9 A. April 13th.

10 203 Q. That's the one from the
11 supplementary record there, and that's at tab 2,
12 towards the very back of the supplementary record.

13 A. Thank you. Yes.

14 204 Q. You recall reviewing this affidavit
15 prior to swearing your second affidavit, correct?

16 A. Yes.

17 205 Q. And starting at paragraph 8 of
18 Mr. Musters' April 30th affidavit, continuing to
19 paragraph 19, Mr. Musters sets out a sequence of steps
20 that a user can take to delete the Secure Delete log
21 from one's registry, right?

22 A. That's -- yes.

23 206 Q. And in doing so, essentially reset
24 the system summary for Secure Delete, correct?

25 A. Yes.

1 207 Q. And you agree with me that a user
2 who takes those steps -- you don't disagree with the
3 steps that Mr. Musters says he took and the data -- it
4 had that cause and effect on the Secure Delete?

5 A. I believe so. I did not replicate
6 his exercise, but I believe -- I have no -- yeah, I
7 have no reason to doubt what he said.

8 208 Q. Okay. Let me just -- so you didn't
9 attempt to replicate what Mr. Musters did? You did not
10 attempt to do that?

11 A. No.

12 209 Q. But you have no reason to doubt the
13 accuracy of what he says he did?

14 A. If for -- yes.

15 MR. WINTON: Let's go off the record for
16 one second.

17 -- OFF THE RECORD --

18 BY MR. WINTON:

19 210 Q. Now, let's talk about the registry
20 for a second, because, as I understand the evidence
21 from your second affidavit, you were suggesting in this
22 second affidavit sworn May 12th, 2015, that you
23 concluded Mr. Moyse had not used the Registry Editor to
24 delete data from the registry on his computer, correct?
25 That's what you concluded here?

1 A. We found no evidence, yes.

2 211 Q. That's right. So you found no
3 evidence that he had used the Registry Editor?

4 A. That's right.

5 212 Q. And to support this conclusion that
6 there was no evidence, you refer to the fact that the
7 metadata for the Registry Editor showed the system
8 default dates, correct?

9 A. Yes.

10 213 Q. And after swearing this affidavit,
11 you had an opportunity to review the third affidavit
12 from Mr. Musters?

13 A. That's correct, yes.

14 214 Q. The affidavit of Mr. Musters sworn
15 yesterday, May 13th, explains how the metadata for the
16 Registry Editor, by default, is not updated in Windows
17 operating systems, correct?

18 A. That's correct, yes.

19 215 Q. You know that to be the case
20 yourself?

21 A. Now I do.

22 216 Q. You didn't know that before you
23 swore your affidavit?

24 A. It did not occur to me at that
25 time.

1 217 Q. It did not occur to you at the
2 time?

3 A. That's correct.

4 218 Q. Was it a fact -- now that it's been
5 brought to your attention, is that a fact that you may
6 have known prior to swearing your affidavit and may
7 have just forgotten?

8 A. Exactly. I may have known and it's
9 just at that particular point in time it didn't occur
10 to me.

11 219 Q. Okay.

12 A. Yes. We failed to run a
13 comparative analysis, which we -- if we were have given
14 the time to run a -- conduct a comparative analysis, we
15 would have used a better example of why we believe
16 Mr. Moyse did not use the Registry Editor program.

17 220 Q. Okay. In your affidavit, you rely
18 on the metadata and the absence of change in the
19 metadata, correct?

20 A. Can you repeat that.

21 221 Q. In your affidavit, this second
22 affidavit of yours --

23 A. Yes.

24 222 Q. -- you are referring to the absence
25 of the metadata? The lack of change to the metadata?

1 A. That's correct.

2 223 Q. But do you agree with the
3 information in Mr. Musters' affidavit that that
4 information is not probative, positive or negative, as
5 to whether the Registry Editor was, in fact, used?

6 A. I agree.

7 224 Q. So now if we go back to your first
8 affidavit.

9 A. Yes.

10 225 Q. Do you agree with me that the
11 absence of a Secure Delete log and the absence of any
12 record of activity in the system summary is not
13 conclusive as to whether or not a user ran Secure
14 Delete on Mr. Moyse's computer to delete files or
15 folders?

16 A. It's not conclusive to show -- can
17 you repeat that statement, please.

18 226 Q. Sure. It's a bit of a double
19 negative --

20 A. Yes.

21 227 Q. -- but the point is it's not
22 conclusive to support the conclusion you reach at
23 20(b), which is that the computer was not used to
24 delete files or folders.

25 A. No. I still stand by 20(b). I

1 still stand by that.

2 228 Q. Okay. Well, Mr. Lo, it's possible
3 that the Secure Delete log had been deleted?

4 A. But we have no evidence to show
5 that.

6 229 Q. And the reason we have no evidence
7 is because the registry doesn't record evidence of
8 deletions, manual deletions, from the registry,
9 correct?

10 A. But that yet we did not find any
11 evidence that Registry Editor was run.

12 230 Q. Right. Because Registry Editor
13 doesn't record evidence that it was run, correct?

14 A. No. There are other records on the
15 computer which would indicate Registry Editor was run.

16 231 Q. None of those are in the record
17 before us, are they?

18 A. Not on -- not recorded in
19 affidavit, but after Mr. Musters' last affidavit, we
20 took -- we took another look. We look at other
21 forensic evidence on the computer that would indicate
22 operation of the Registry Editor, and we found none.

23 232 Q. Okay. Well, we don't have the
24 benefit of any evidence of those, and we have no way to
25 test that. So you are telling me that sometime in the

1 past 24 hours you ran additional tests on Mr. Moyse's
2 image?

3 A. That is true, yes, yes.

4 233 Q. All right. And, again, this is an
5 image that Mr. Musters does not have access to?

6 A. I don't know what Mr. Musters have
7 and have not, but I --

8 MR. WINTON: Okay. Counsel, do we agree
9 Mr. Musters doesn't have access to it?

10 MR. BORG-OLIVIER: We do agree with
11 that.

12 BY MR. WINTON:

13 234 Q. Okay. So you accept that now?

14 A. Okay. I accept that now, yes.

15 235 Q. Right. Okay. And so based on
16 these other tests that aren't described in your
17 affidavit that you ran, you are now saying again that
18 the Registry Editor wasn't used?

19 A. We still found no evidence that the
20 Registry Editor was run.

21 236 Q. Okay. You found no evidence that
22 the Registry Editor was used?

23 A. Yes.

24 237 Q. And that's the highest that you can
25 put it at: That you have no evidence that the Registry

1 Editor was used?

2 A. That's correct.

3 238 Q. Okay. You can't state here today
4 conclusively the Registry Editor was not used?

5 A. I have found no evidence that the
6 Registry Editor was --

7 239 Q. Right. And so that's why I'm
8 putting it a different way. You cannot state today
9 conclusively that it was not used?

10 A. I prefer the statement that I found
11 no evidence that it was run.

12 MR. WINTON: That's fine. Let's go off
13 the record.

14 -- OFF THE RECORD --

15 MR. WINTON: So just to clean up a
16 question from earlier on in the cross-examination,
17 Counsel, I understand from what you told me while we
18 were off the record that your firm retained Mr. Lo's
19 firm on or about February 20th, 2015, correct?

20 MR. BORG-OLIVIER: That's correct. And
21 that's the best we can figure out while sitting here at
22 this point. If I find anything that turns out that's
23 incorrect or if I can further refine that, I will let
24 you know, of course.

25 MR. WINTON: That's fine. The on or

1 about February 20th is fine for my means. Thank you.

2 So if we can go back off.

3 -- RECESS AT 11:28 --

4 -- RESUMING AT 11:41 --

5 BY MR. WINTON:

6 240 Q. So while we were off, we got a
7 response to the question I had asked earlier regarding
8 the capacity of the hard drive and how much data was
9 using up that capacity, and just to clarify, I
10 understand, Mr. Lo, that the hard drive itself has a
11 capacity of approximately 750 gigabytes?

12 A. Uhm-hmm.

13 241 Q. Yes?

14 A. Yes.

15 242 Q. And I understand that, from the
16 analysis conducted by your firm, you determined that
17 approximately 150 gigabytes of that capacity was used
18 up with or taken up with data on the hard drive?

19 A. That's correct, uhm-hmm, yes.

20 243 Q. And so in reviewing 150 gigabytes
21 of data to look for random sequences of data writing,
22 you would agree with me that would take a considerable
23 amount of time?

24 A. Yes and no. The reason why I say
25 yes and no is if you were to look at every single byte

1 for randomness, yes, that would take a long, long time;
2 however, we are, at this point, once again, going back
3 to the point that we look for generically known as red
4 flag, if -- because out of that, we could -- through
5 our forensic software, we could quickly scan through
6 the bits and bytes and determine if we see certain
7 random patterns or just patterns that would be -- that
8 would call for our attention, and we did not see any.

9 244 Q. Okay. But you don't recall exactly
10 how much time was spent doing that analysis?

11 A. No, I do not.

12 MR. WINTON: Okay. Well, I don't think
13 there are any undertakings outstanding.

14 MR. BORG-OLIVIER: I think there are.

15 MR. WINTON: Are there?

16 MR. BORG-OLIVIER: Yes. We have
17 undertaken I think to produce the chain of evidence.

18 MR. WINTON: Right.

19 MR. BORG-OLIVIER: And to advise on how
20 we ended up with a separate image of the hard drive.

21 MR. WINTON: Right.

22 MR. BORG-OLIVIER: And we've taken under
23 advisement the question of whether we would provide a
24 copy of the image to Mr. Musters for analysis.

25 MR. WINTON: Okay. Subject to the

1 answers to those questions, this cross-examination is
2 concluded.

3 MR. BORG-OLIVIER: I've just got a few
4 in re-exam.

5 MR. WINTON: I just want to make it
6 clear, before you -- I want to have a chance to
7 possibly object, given the prolonged absence during the
8 break.

9 MR. BORG-OLIVIER: That's fine. Yes.

10 MR. WINTON: I understand he's going to
11 answer anyways, but let me at least see if I register
12 an objection.

13 MR. BORG-OLIVIER: Yes. Let's go off
14 the record for a second.

15 -- OFF THE RECORD --

16 RE-EXAMINATION BY MR. BORG-OLIVIER:

17 245 Q. Mr. Lo, you recall Mr. Winton was
18 asking you questions about your most recent affidavit
19 and the one from Mr. Musters that came in yesterday?

20 A. That's correct.

21 246 Q. And Mr. Winton asked you certain
22 questions pertaining to the metadata, which may or may
23 not show use of the Registry Editor program?

24 A. That's right.

25 247 Q. And you agreed with Mr. Winton that

1 Mr. Musters was correct in noting that the metadata in
2 the Windows environment wouldn't reflect the use of the
3 Registry Editor?

4 A. Yes.

5 248 Q. And you recall that you told
6 Mr. Lo (sic) that there was -- that there were other
7 steps that could be taken to determine whether the
8 Registry Editor program had been run?

9 A. That's correct.

10 249 Q. Okay. And I don't believe
11 Mr. Winton asked you, so I would like to ask you what
12 sort of other steps were you referring to there.

13 MR. WINTON: That's okay.

14 THE WITNESS: Okay. So, once again, on
15 a Windows computer, "Windows computer" meaning a
16 computer that runs a Windows operating system, there
17 are many evidence or references that would point to the
18 launch or use of any applications or access to certain
19 files, and one of those would be called link files.
20 Link files are basically like shortcut files that
21 would -- it's kind of like a recording that points to
22 applications that were used or documents that were
23 accessed, that type of things.

24 So in this particular case, we took a
25 second look in response to Mr. Musters' latest

1 affidavit and to see if we could identify any evidence
2 showing the usage of Registry Editor on the link files
3 record, and we did not find any.

4 BY MR. BORG-OLIVIER:

5 250 Q. And what would you expect to see if
6 the Registry Editor had been run in the link files? Is
7 it a link files program? How do we refer to it?

8 A. No. Link files is a feature of the
9 operating system. All it is is provide kind of like
10 efficient shortcuts for user to know some of the paths,
11 documents, that have been accessed, as I said before,
12 or application that was used.

13 251 Q. And what would you expect to see
14 there if the Registry Editor program had been run?

15 A. I would -- I would -- I would
16 expect some references to the launch of the Registry
17 Editor executable recorded in the link files.

18 252 Q. And how far back in time would
19 those link files be recorded?

20 A. From my memory, we believe we have
21 seen applications as far back as 2012 that was recorded
22 in the link file analysis -- in the link files records.

23 253 Q. So what conclusions, if any, do you
24 draw from that?

25 A. Based on our analysis of the link

1 file records, we do not see any evidence that the
2 Registry Editor was used.

3 254 Q. Okay. And you'll recall that the
4 software suite that was referred to that includes,
5 among other things, the Secure Delete program was known
6 as the Advanced System Optimizer. Do you recall that?

7 A. Yes, I do. In fact, I think I
8 recorded that on my first affidavit, yes.

9 255 Q. Okay. And do you see any evidence
10 among the link files that that program was used?

11 A. Yes, I do.

12 MR. BORG-OLIVIER: Those are my
13 questions in re-exam, Mr. Winton.

14 MR. WINTON: Okay. I do have some
15 questions arising out of that.

16 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WINTON: (Cont'd)

17 256 Q. You conducted your second look
18 yesterday?

19 A. After we received Ms. -- yes, so
20 that would be yesterday, I believe, yes. Yes, that's
21 correct.

22 257 Q. You didn't conduct this analysis,
23 you say, regarding the link files prior to swearing
24 your May 12th affidavit, correct?

25 A. No.

1 258 Q. Okay. You understood at the time
2 you were swearing an affidavit in response to
3 Mr. Musters' affidavit that you were providing evidence
4 as to whether or not the information in Mr. Musters'
5 affidavit was accurate, correct?

6 A. Which --

7 259 Q. The second one.

8 A. The second one. Yes, yes, that's
9 right, yes.

10 MR. WINTON: No further questions.

11 --- Whereupon the cross-examination concluded at 11:49
12 a.m.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, TERRY WOOD, RPR, CSR, Certified
Shorthand Reporter, certify;

That the foregoing proceedings were
taken before me at the time and place therein set
forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by
me;

That the testimony of the witness and
all objections made at the time of the examination were
recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter
transcribed;

That the foregoing is a true and correct
transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.

Dated this 21st day of May, 2015.

Terry Wood

NEESONS

PER: TERRY WOOD, RPR, CSR

CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER

1	124 28:23	155 35:17	188 42:22
	125 29:1	156 35:22	189 42:25
1 5:4	126 29:7	157 35:25	19 8:2 42:17 45:19
10 6:14	127 29:14	158 36:3	190 43:3
100 24:7	128 29:18	159 36:19	191 43:9
101 24:12	129 29:23	16 7:13	192 43:15
102 24:14	12th 5:13 46:22 58:24	160 37:8	193 43:20
103 24:19	13 7:3 38:11,24 40:21 41:23	161 37:10	194 43:22
104 24:25	130 30:1	162 37:14	195 44:1
105 25:7	131 30:6	163 37:21	196 44:3
106 25:11	132 30:10	164 38:5	197 44:8
107 25:15	133 30:14	165 38:8	198 44:11
108 25:18	134 30:17	166 38:10	199 44:16
109 25:22	135 30:22	167 38:17	
10:27 5:1	136 31:2	168 38:20	2
10:40 15:9	137 31:8	169 38:23	2 5:6 26:14 45:11
10:41 15:10	138 31:17	17 7:16	20 8:8 42:16
11 6:19 33:15	139 31:21	170 39:7	20(b) 42:18 44:14 49:23,25
110 26:2	13th 45:9 47:15	171 39:12	200 44:22
111 26:4	14 7:9 42:1,5,17	172 40:5	201 45:2
112 26:11	140 32:1	173 40:9	2012 57:21
113 26:19	141 32:12	174 40:12	2014 8:12
114 26:25	142 32:23	175 40:20	2015 5:10,13 14:23 45:8 46:22 52:19
115 27:3	143 7:18 33:6	176 41:2	202 45:7
116 27:8	144 33:10	177 41:5	203 45:10
117 27:18	145 33:14	178 41:8	204 45:14
118 27:22	146 33:19	179 41:10	205 45:17
119 27:24	147 33:25	18 7:24 43:13,14	206 45:23
11:28 53:3	148 34:4	180 41:12	207 46:1
11:41 53:4	149 34:15	181 41:16	208 46:8
11:49 59:11	15 7:11	182 41:18	209 46:12
12 6:24 40:21	150 34:18 53:17,20	183 41:22	20th 52:19 53:1
120 28:2	151 34:23	184 42:1	21 8:16
121 28:9	152 35:3	185 42:7	210 46:19
122 28:14	153 35:8	186 42:9	211 47:2
123 28:20	154 35:11	187 42:15	

212 47:5	245 55:17		67 17:17
213 47:10	246 55:21	4	68 17:21
214 47:14	247 55:25	4 5:12	69 18:1
215 47:19	248 56:5	40 12:10	
216 47:22	249 56:10	41 12:13	7
217 48:1	25 9:8	42 12:18	7 5:23
218 48:4	250 57:5	43 12:24	70 18:5
219 48:11	251 57:13	44 13:5	71 18:7
22 8:18	252 57:18	45 13:11	72 18:13
220 48:17	253 57:23	46 13:14	73 18:19
221 48:21	254 58:3	47 13:21	74 19:15
222 48:24	255 58:9	48 14:1	75 19:22
223 49:2	256 58:17	49 14:4	750 53:11
224 49:7	257 58:22	5	76 20:6
225 49:10	258 59:1	5 5:15 26:20	77 20:11
226 49:18	259 59:7	50 14:7	78 20:17
227 49:21	26 9:12	51 14:12	79 20:21
228 50:2	27 9:17	52 14:18	8
229 50:6	28 9:20	53 14:22	8 6:2 45:17
23 8:23	29 9:25	54 15:1	80 21:1
230 50:12	2nd 5:10 14:23	55 15:12	81 21:6
231 50:16	3	56 15:18	82 21:8
232 50:23	3 5:9 26:19	57 15:21	83 21:13
233 51:4	30 10:4	58 15:24	84 21:18
234 51:13	30th 26:13 45:8,18	59 16:4	85 21:22
235 51:15	31 10:12	6	86 21:24
236 51:21	32 10:19	6 5:19 15:12	87 22:3
237 51:24	33 11:5	60 16:8	88 22:5
238 52:3	34 11:7	61 16:12	89 22:9
239 52:7	35 11:11	62 16:17	9
24 9:5 51:1	36 11:17	63 16:21	9 6:9
240 53:6	37 11:21	64 16:24	90 22:15
241 53:13	38 11:25	65 17:11	91 22:19
242 53:15	39 12:4	66 17:14	92 22:24
243 53:20			
244 54:9			

<p>93 23:2</p> <p>94 23:5</p> <p>95 23:9</p> <p>96 23:14</p> <p>97 23:18</p> <p>98 23:22</p> <p>99 24:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">A</p> <hr/> <p>a.m. 5:1 59:12</p> <p>ability 39:17</p> <p>absence 44:11 45:3 48:18,24 49:11 55:7</p> <p>accept 51:13,14</p> <p>access 19:25 20:8,13, 14,23 25:21 27:19 51:5, 9 56:18</p> <p>accessed 24:3 56:23 57:11</p> <p>accesses 21:15</p> <p>accessing 23:23 24:4,16 25:7,12</p> <p>accompanied 16:17</p> <p>accuracy 29:24 46:13</p> <p>accurate 59:5</p> <p>acknowledgment 6:4</p> <p>acquisition 7:20,24 8:19</p> <p>act 11:14</p> <p>acted 10:20 11:11</p> <p>actions 40:18</p> <p>activity 10:7 22:7 23:7,20,25 24:16,20 25:4,9 26:6,8 43:6 49:12</p> <p>actual 18:7 40:18</p> <p>additional 51:1</p> <p>Advanced 58:6</p> <p>advise 54:19</p>	<p>Advised 12:6</p> <p>advisement 19:20 54:23</p> <p>affidavit 5:9,12,16 6:3,10,15 14:23 15:13 20:2,9 26:12,13,16,17 32:20 33:11,13,23 34:4, 16,18,23 35:9 36:6 38:12 42:23 43:3,10,12 44:4,14,16,20,23 45:8, 14,15,18 46:21,22 47:10,11,14,23 48:6,17, 21,22 49:3,8 50:19 51:17 55:18 57:1 58:8, 24 59:2,3,5</p> <p>affidavits 5:7,17,20, 24 16:1 19:24 21:3 32:14</p> <p>agree 24:14 26:4 27:3 31:8 35:11,17 46:1 49:2,6,10 51:8,10 53:22</p> <p>agreed 8:18 11:16 33:8 55:25</p> <p>alarm 36:15</p> <p>amount 53:23</p> <p>analyses 42:16</p> <p>analysis 9:16,17 11:20 13:13,16 15:16 19:18 24:22 28:12 33:21 36:4,12,24 48:13, 14 53:16 54:10,24 57:22,25 58:22</p> <p>analyze 12:15 16:1 32:25</p> <p>analyzed 18:8 37:11, 25</p> <p>analyzing 12:18 20:9</p> <p>answering 24:23</p> <p>answers 55:1</p> <p>anti-statistic 16:16</p> <p>Anton 7:21 10:24</p> <p>apologize 40:1</p> <p>appdata 39:3</p> <p>applicable 23:6</p> <p>application 34:9 57:12</p>	<p>applications 56:18, 22 57:21</p> <p>approximately 53:11,17</p> <p>April 5:10 14:23 26:13 45:8,9,18</p> <p>arising 58:15</p> <p>assign 11:22</p> <p>assigned 13:15</p> <p>assimilation 39:22</p> <p>assist 18:21</p> <p>assume 32:12</p> <p>attached 43:9</p> <p>attempt 32:16 46:9,10</p> <p>attempted 42:2</p> <p>attention 48:5 54:8</p> <p>audio 10:14</p> <p>avenue 15:25</p> <p>aware 17:14 22:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <hr/> <p>back 8:12 12:2 14:22 15:4 17:3 18:24 19:5,13 20:13 33:13 45:12 49:7 53:2 54:2 57:18,21</p> <p>backslash 39:2,4</p> <p>bag 16:15,16</p> <p>balance 44:19,22</p> <p>based 24:24 25:1 37:9 43:4 44:11 51:15 57:25</p> <p>basically 56:20</p> <p>basis 44:24 45:2,3</p> <p>behaviours 12:8</p> <p>belief 17:22</p> <p>belonging 15:15</p> <p>benefit 50:24</p> <p>big 20:4</p> <p>bin 27:20</p> <p>bit 8:3 40:2 49:18</p>	<p>bits 54:6</p> <p>BORG-OLIVIER 17:9 18:23 19:2,12,19 44:19 51:10 52:20 54:14,16,19,22 55:3,9, 13,16 57:4 58:12</p> <p>Brandon 21:4 39:2,7 41:19</p> <p>breaching 12:25</p> <p>break 55:8</p> <p>brought 48:5</p> <p>browser 21:14,15,23 22:6,22 23:5,24 24:9 25:4,8,24</p> <p>browsing 23:6</p> <p>bullet 7:5,18 8:24 9:2, 21 10:4,12,19 11:8,9 12:4 13:2,7</p> <p>byte 53:25</p> <p>bytes 54:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <hr/> <p>call 21:24 30:7 38:2 39:18 41:10 54:8</p> <p>called 56:19</p> <p>capacity 37:10 53:8,9, 11,17</p> <p>captured 11:8</p> <p>case 12:14,17,19 13:21 22:14 24:6,10,22 25:14, 15 30:17 31:8 36:14 38:22 47:19 56:24</p> <p>cases 25:22</p> <p>Catalyst 20:19,23</p> <p>chain 16:18 17:6,7 54:17</p> <p>chance 37:16 55:6</p> <p>change 48:18,25</p> <p>characters 30:20,23 31:10,11</p> <p>choose 29:12</p> <p>circumstances 17:14,18 22:19</p>
---	--	---	---

<p>civil 7:21</p> <p>clarify 39:10 40:3 53:9</p> <p>clean 39:24 40:6 41:7 52:15</p> <p>cleaning 26:20 27:4</p> <p>clear 55:6</p> <p>client 12:6</p> <p>close 15:24</p> <p>collection 8:7,25 17:24</p> <p>commencing 5:1</p> <p>comment 32:7</p> <p>common 11:15</p> <p>commonly 24:5</p> <p>communicated 21:6</p> <p>communications 21:4</p> <p>comparative 48:13, 14</p> <p>complete 28:24 29:1</p> <p>completely 40:23</p> <p>component 14:15</p> <p>computer 10:6,25 13:22 15:19 16:6,10 20:8,15 22:13 26:21 28:5 32:16 34:25 36:24 38:13,14,17,20 39:8,20 40:19,24 41:3,5,8,10, 12,19,24 42:4,13,19,20 43:5,18 44:6 46:24 49:14,23 50:15,21 56:15,16</p> <p>computers 13:13 21:10</p> <p>concern 20:4</p> <p>concerned 29:2</p> <p>concluded 46:23,25 55:2 59:11</p> <p>conclusion 42:3,18, 22,25 43:3 44:13,18 45:2,3 47:5 49:22</p> <p>conclusions 33:22 36:5 42:12 57:23</p>	<p>conclusive 49:13,16, 22</p> <p>conclusively 52:4,9</p> <p>conduct 8:15 12:1 32:6 36:4 39:23 48:14 58:22</p> <p>conducted 10:8 23:12 53:16 58:17</p> <p>conducting 11:15 12:7 42:16</p> <p>conducts 17:23</p> <p>confer 9:14</p> <p>confidence 13:1</p> <p>confusing 40:2</p> <p>considerable 53:22</p> <p>consistent 26:1</p> <p>consulting 6:15,25 7:17</p> <p>Cont'd 58:16</p> <p>container 16:14</p> <p>context 9:4</p> <p>continue 27:8</p> <p>continues 39:3</p> <p>continuing 38:1 45:18</p> <p>controlled 38:18</p> <p>conversation 30:9, 11</p> <p>copy 16:5 19:17 39:15, 16 40:15 54:24</p> <p>correct 5:7,8,10,11,14 6:7,17 7:22,23 8:13 9:24 12:3 14:24 15:16, 17 16:2,3 18:3,8 20:24 21:7,11 23:25 25:5,9 26:10,17,18 27:7,16 28:7,8,13 29:10,16,17 32:12 33:24 34:2,3,17 35:6,10,24 36:2 39:6 40:10,11 41:3,24,25 42:5,14,20,21,24 43:6 44:6,7,10,15 45:15,24 46:24 47:8,13,17,18 48:3,19 49:1 50:9,13 52:2,19,20 53:19 55:20</p>	<p>56:1,9 58:21,24 59:5</p> <p>counsel 12:6 16:7 17:5 18:21 19:4,5,9,16 21:6 26:14 51:8 52:17</p> <p>counsel's 19:10</p> <p>court 5:25 10:21 19:11 34:7</p> <p>created 17:12 18:2 38:25 41:3,23</p> <p>creation 17:15 21:2 32:14</p> <p>cross-examination 5:3,20 52:16 55:1 58:16 59:11</p> <p>custody 16:20,22 17:2</p> <p>CV 6:14 7:19 14:9,12, 19,21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>daily 22:13</p> <p>data 7:20,24 8:24 9:16, 17 10:13,22 11:2,18 12:16,21,23 13:17 27:24 28:11,14,21,23 29:3,8,20 30:3,19 31:22 32:17,25 33:1 34:6,20 35:9,13,19 36:11,21 37:24 46:3,24 53:8,18, 21</p> <p>dates 47:8</p> <p>day-to-day 30:9,10</p> <p>deeper 36:16</p> <p>default 47:8,16</p> <p>defined 38:13</p> <p>delete 24:19 26:21 27:5,14,15 29:8,16,19, 20 30:12,18,24,25 31:6, 22 32:2,21 33:3 34:2,9, 24 35:4,5,12,23 36:9 38:12,25 39:4 40:23 41:13,14 42:4,13,18,19 43:4,9,16 44:6,12 45:4, 20,24 46:4,24 49:11,14, 24 50:3 58:5</p>	<p>Delete-type 30:2</p> <p>deleted 26:8,9 27:25 30:19 50:3</p> <p>deletes 23:15,18 25:3 27:14</p> <p>deleting 23:15,19 24:15,16 26:5 28:4</p> <p>deletion 25:3 31:7,16 43:5,8,19,20</p> <p>deletions 50:8</p> <p>depending 8:10</p> <p>depends 28:17 29:11, 12 31:13</p> <p>describe 8:21 9:21 28:3 30:12 32:3</p> <p>describes 12:4</p> <p>describing 9:1 14:9</p> <p>description 29:22,24</p> <p>detect 32:16</p> <p>determination 42:11</p> <p>determine 19:13 32:20 33:3 34:8,10 42:2 54:6 56:7</p> <p>determined 37:22 53:16</p> <p>device 16:20,22 17:1</p> <p>devices 13:9,23 15:14,21 16:2</p> <p>diminish 11:21</p> <p>directed 19:16</p> <p>disable 22:23</p> <p>disables 23:5</p> <p>disagree 46:2</p> <p>discernible 31:18 32:16</p> <p>discovery 9:4</p> <p>discussion 9:15</p> <p>distinct 31:4</p> <p>distinguish 33:19</p> <p>document 14:21</p>
--	---	--	---

document-scanning 9:22 documents 56:22 57:11 double 49:18 doubt 29:23 46:7,12 draw 57:24 drive 8:13,20 10:25 12:18 14:10 16:11 18:8, 14,15 20:15 25:2 27:16 28:6,10,12 29:9,20 30:25 32:25 34:5 36:20, 24 37:11,24 38:23 53:8, 10,18 54:20 drives 7:25 9:9 12:20 14:2 Dropbox 23:23 24:3, 4,8,17,23 25:4 duty 6:4,5	engagement 10:2,5, 10,17 12:11 15:4 ensuring 29:2 envelope 16:13 environment 39:18, 19,25 40:6,17 56:2 essence 42:9 essentially 8:4 45:23 establish 33:9 event 35:11 evidence 5:24 6:6,10, 12 8:5,6,7,19 9:9 12:15 16:13,18,19 17:7,25 19:25 26:20,25 32:3 33:7 34:1 36:7 39:21 46:20 47:1,3,6 50:4,6,7, 11,13,21,24 51:19,21, 25 52:5,11 54:17 56:17 57:1 58:1,9 59:3 exact 39:15 examination 30:15 31:6 38:1 examine 32:15 examined 18:14 examples 6:16 executable 57:17 execute 11:22 exercise 9:1,10,13 11:3 34:10 36:13 46:6 Exhibit 6:2,14 43:10, 12 44:4 exist 17:8 43:17 expect 57:5,13,16 experience 6:16,25 7:17 21:8 25:17 27:10 37:4,9 expert 6:20 7:8 18:2,9, 11,16 20:18,23 21:9 36:5 expert's 6:4 explains 47:15 extract 10:22	extraction 11:20 <hr/> F <hr/> fact 24:3 28:14 35:13, 14,18 36:10 43:4,23,24 47:6 48:4,5 49:5 58:7 facts 44:17 failed 48:12 fair 6:6 familiar 21:9 22:3 26:25 29:15 30:3 31:21 feature 29:13 57:8 February 52:19 53:1 figure 52:21 file 13:17 27:16,19 28:7 39:1,8 40:9 41:18,22,23 57:22 58:1 files 24:7,9 36:9 42:4, 13,20 49:14,24 56:19, 20 57:2,6,7,8,17,19,22 58:10,23 find 6:20 12:15 35:18 50:10 52:22 57:3 findings 13:20 35:4 fine 52:12,25 53:1 55:9 finger 43:11 firm 15:13 17:23 38:18 39:9 52:18,19 53:16 flag 31:5 34:11 54:4 flags 33:4 37:6 folder 27:14 34:2 38:25 41:3 folders 24:4 42:20 49:15,24 follow 38:6 forensic 6:21 8:5,6,7 12:15 13:6 14:1,10 15:14 16:5 17:23,24 18:11 23:3 27:10 28:11 30:24 31:5 36:12,24 39:14,15,16,21 40:7,15 50:21 54:5	forensically 40:14 forgotten 48:7 form 6:4 16:9,15,18,20, 21,24,25 17:7 format 16:10 forms 6:11 17:8 forthcoming 6:11 forward 42:15 found 47:1,2 50:22 51:19,21 52:5,10 frankly 20:3 fraud 14:13,15 fresh 41:8,10,12,19 freshly 39:24 41:7 front 40:18 function 27:15 28:5
<hr/> E <hr/> e-mail 12:22 13:18 15:4 25:13,21,23 earlier 34:8 52:16 53:7 ediscovery 9:18,19 ediscovery-related 9:23 Editor 46:23 47:3,7,16 48:16 49:5 50:11,12,15, 22 51:18,20,22 52:1,4,6 55:23 56:3,8 57:2,6,14, 17 58:2 effect 46:4 efficient 57:10 efforts 19:13 electronic 7:20 9:4 10:6,22 11:2 12:23 employees' 12:8 empty 27:20 ended 54:20 ends 39:4 engaged 14:24			<hr/> G <hr/> gave 5:24 39:1 general 22:12,13 23:2 24:24 25:1 27:4 28:1 33:16 generally 21:20 24:18 25:2 27:9,21,22 generator 31:25 generic 22:1 generically 54:3 genetic 30:8 gigabytes 53:11,17, 20 give 12:25 giving 5:25 gmail 25:7,12 26:7 Good 5:4,5 Google 22:10,16 23:11 guess 7:25 18:13 19:7 32:24 43:15,22

<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <hr/> <p>hand 12:11</p> <p>handed 16:24</p> <p>Handling 10:13</p> <p>happened 12:16</p> <p>hard 7:25 8:12,20 9:9 10:25 12:18,20 14:2,10 16:11 18:8,14,15 20:15 25:2 27:16 28:6,9,12 29:9,20 30:25 32:25 34:5 36:20,24 37:11,24 38:23 53:8,10,18 54:20</p> <p>highest 51:24</p> <p>highly 28:15</p> <p>history 21:25 22:5,17 23:9,15,19,24 24:2,15 25:3,8,20 26:5,7,8,22 27:6</p> <p>hmm 32:18</p> <p>Hotmail 25:8,12 26:7</p> <p>hours 36:19 51:1</p> <p>hundred 24:11</p>	<p>included 7:6 41:19</p> <p>includes 39:1 58:4</p> <p>inconsistent 25:20</p> <p>incorrect 52:23</p> <p>independent 17:20 20:18 36:4</p> <p>indication 31:14</p> <p>indicative 35:14,19</p> <p>indicator 33:17</p> <p>individuals 13:16</p> <p>information 19:6 21:9,10 34:16 38:3,6 43:17 44:12 45:4 49:3,4 59:4</p> <p>inspector 10:20 11:12</p> <p>installed 39:24 40:23 41:13</p> <p>instance 22:6,10</p> <p>instructed 11:15</p> <p>interested 37:23</p> <p>Internet 21:13 25:20</p> <p>investigate 13:8,12</p> <p>investigation 12:7 13:23 14:16 21:1</p> <p>investigations 6:21 14:14,15</p> <p>investigative 10:14</p> <p>investigator 27:10</p> <p>involve 8:2 14:1,9</p> <p>involved 13:25</p> <p>involves 7:25</p> <p>ipad 15:22</p> <p>ISS 17:23 18:3,11,12, 16 34:1 36:5</p> <p>ISS' 18:9</p> <p>issue 38:8</p> <p>issues 6:21</p>	<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <hr/> <p>July 8:12</p> <p>jump 42:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">K</p> <hr/> <p>KEVIN 5:2</p> <p>kind 31:24 56:21 57:9</p> <p>knowledge 17:17,19 18:17 24:24 25:1,11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">L</p> <hr/> <p>lack 48:25</p> <p>latest 56:25</p> <p>launch 39:17 56:18 57:16</p> <p>launched 38:24 41:13</p> <p>layman's 28:3 30:1</p> <p>learned 20:25</p> <p>leave 31:4 35:12 36:10</p> <p>leaves 32:2 35:23,25</p> <p>led 7:19 17:15 21:2 32:14 35:5 44:13</p> <p>letter 15:4</p> <p>link 56:19,20 57:2,6,7, 8,17,19,22,25 58:10,23</p> <p>list 6:16</p> <p>listed 6:24 14:19</p> <p>litigation 9:15</p> <p>Lo 5:2,4,6 19:16,22 32:13 36:3 37:23 50:2 53:10 55:17 56:6</p> <p>Lo's 17:6 52:18</p> <p>loaded 38:20</p> <p>locate 43:7</p> <p>log 21:14 22:6 25:8 34:24 35:4 43:4,7,10, 16,23,25 44:1,2,12 45:4,20 49:11 50:3</p>	<p>logged 23:7</p> <p>logging 10:7 22:23 23:6</p> <p>long 23:9 54:1</p> <p>looked 33:4 34:5,24 35:9 36:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <hr/> <p>machine 40:17</p> <p>made 13:23 18:25 19:3,17</p> <p>make 19:12 20:3 27:11 39:15,20 42:10 44:23 55:5</p> <p>makes 34:18</p> <p>making 24:23</p> <p>Managed 9:21</p> <p>manual 50:8</p> <p>Martin 26:12</p> <p>matter 19:24</p> <p>meaning 41:6 56:15</p> <p>means 53:1</p> <p>Meet 9:14</p> <p>memory 57:20</p> <p>mention 20:3 35:8 36:10</p> <p>mentioned 33:5</p> <p>messages 25:13,23</p> <p>metadata 47:7,15 48:18,19,25 55:22 56:1</p> <p>method 40:15</p> <p>Microsoft 38:14 39:24 40:6</p> <p>mind 20:6,22</p> <p>mine 20:5</p> <p>minutes 37:2,7</p> <p>mobile 13:9,10 15:21 16:2</p> <p>moment 26:22</p>
--	--	--	---

morning 5:4,5 motion 26:13 mount 40:16 mounting 40:14,15, 22 Moyse 15:15 21:4 36:8 39:2,7 40:19 41:19 42:3,12 46:23 48:16 Moyse's 8:12 15:19 16:5 19:4 20:15 25:2 32:15 34:24 38:21 39:20 40:7,10,15,22 42:19 43:5 44:6 49:14 51:1 multiple 29:9 Musters 19:17,25 20:8 26:12 27:1,4 36:5, 8 45:19 46:3,9 47:12,14 51:5,6,9 54:24 55:19 56:1 Musters' 20:2 26:20 33:23 45:8,18 49:3 50:19 56:25 59:3,4 <hr/> N <hr/> named 38:25 necessarily 11:3 31:1 needed 36:17 negative 49:4,19 network 12:21 13:17 neutral 11:14 noncomputer 10:13 nonpartisan 6:7 norm 37:5 normal 21:14 27:13 notice 6:15 noticed 14:12 noting 56:1 number 7:20 9:22 36:13	<hr/> O <hr/> object 55:7 objection 55:12 objective 6:6 obligations 13:1 occasions 7:12 occupied 28:6 occur 47:24 48:1,9 occurred 34:14 office 30:7 38:2 39:25 one's 45:21 online 23:23 operated 39:9 operating 47:17 56:16 57:9 operation 50:22 opinion 6:5 33:22 34:1 36:7 opportunity 47:11 Optimizer 58:6 order 10:21 19:11 order-type 10:24 orders 7:21 ordinary 27:14,19 28:5 outlined 32:19 outstanding 54:13 Oversees 8:24 overwrite 29:3 overwrites 29:8 30:19 overwriting 28:18,25 29:1 34:14 overwritten 28:7,10, 15 owned 38:17 39:8	<hr/> P <hr/> Paliare 14:24 15:2 16:7,8 paragraph 15:12 26:19,20 33:15 38:11, 24 39:11 40:2 41:23 42:1,5,16,18 43:13 44:14 45:17,19 paragraphs 26:23 40:21 42:17 park 38:8 part 8:1 9:10,12 11:2 21:1 33:2 34:9 39:8,23 Participated 10:5 party 11:14 pass 19:8 past 6:22 51:1 path 39:1,8 40:9 41:19, 22,23 paths 57:10 pattern 31:14,17 32:17 patterns 33:5 34:13 37:3 54:7 Pending 38:10 people 21:24 25:20 percent 24:11 perform 13:13 performed 6:17 8:12 13:7 21:2 39:13 44:9 performing 19:23 permanently 29:8,20 person 13:22 personal 15:19 16:6 32:15 pertaining 55:22 phone 15:22 phones 13:9,10 physical 16:10 18:14, 15	piece 12:16 Piller 7:21 10:24 plan 9:15 plastic 16:15 pocket 16:15 point 7:5,18 8:24 9:21 10:4,12,19,23 11:8,9 12:4 13:3,7 14:5 30:22 48:9 49:21 52:22 54:2,3 56:17 points 56:21 positive 49:4 possibility 26:3 31:20 possibly 55:7 potentially 28:10 prefer 52:10 premise 27:4 prepared 20:9 preservation 8:6,25 11:2 preserve 10:21 preserved 11:19 preserving 9:9 pretty 25:19 prevent 27:18 previously 28:6 prior 17:1 26:16 45:15 48:6 58:23 probative 49:4 procedure 8:15 9:6 procedures 7:20,24 proceeding 5:6,25 8:9 10:25 produce 54:17 product 29:21,24 production 17:6 program 29:16 30:2, 12,18,24 31:19 34:10 35:6,12,20 36:9 41:14 42:19 48:16 55:23 56:8 57:7,14 58:5,10
--	---	---	--

<p>programs 32:2</p> <p>projects 9:22</p> <p>prolonged 55:7</p> <p>protocol 11:16,17</p> <p>protocols 18:10</p> <p>provide 6:5 33:21,22, 25 54:23 57:9</p> <p>provided 15:13,18 16:9,12 18:2,9,16 20:18</p> <p>providing 59:3</p> <p>purpose 18:1 36:3 42:10</p> <p>purposes 9:18,19 10:15 15:15,25 19:10 37:22</p> <p>pursuant 19:11</p> <p>put 34:16 43:11 51:25</p> <p>putting 52:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <hr/> <p>question 18:18 20:7, 12 23:17 34:15 37:23 41:4 52:16 53:7 54:23</p> <p>questioned 24:24</p> <p>questioning 15:25</p> <p>questions 23:2 38:5 55:1,18,22 58:13,15 59:10</p> <p>quickly 54:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <hr/> <p>raise 36:15</p> <p>ran 38:12 49:13 51:1, 17</p> <p>random 30:19,22 31:9,10,17,21,25 32:4, 16 33:1 34:6,13,19 35:9,13,19 36:1,11,20 37:3 53:21 54:7</p> <p>randomness 31:4, 13,15 54:1</p>	<p>re-exam 55:4 58:13</p> <p>RE-EXAMINATION 55:16</p> <p>reach 42:3,11 44:13 49:22</p> <p>reached 42:17</p> <p>reason 29:23 46:7,12 50:6 53:24</p> <p>recall 15:1 45:14 54:9 55:17 56:5 58:3,6</p> <p>receive 16:5</p> <p>received 58:19</p> <p>receiving 17:1</p> <p>recent 55:18</p> <p>recently 45:7</p> <p>RECESS 15:9 53:3</p> <p>record 7:18 8:11 15:7 21:20 22:6 23:10,11,16, 19,25 24:2,4,7,16 25:3, 9 26:6,14 32:9,10 37:17,19,22 40:3 41:16 45:11,12 46:15,17 49:12 50:7,13,16 52:13, 14,18 55:14,15 57:3</p> <p>recorded 22:16 23:10 26:7 50:18 57:17,19,21 58:8</p> <p>recording 56:21</p> <p>records 17:4 21:21 25:12,13 50:14 57:22 58:1</p> <p>recover 28:11</p> <p>recovered 28:16</p> <p>recycling 27:20</p> <p>red 31:5 33:4 34:11 37:6 54:3</p> <p>refer 30:2 43:16 47:6 57:7</p> <p>reference 14:13 34:19</p> <p>references 56:17 57:16</p> <p>referred 58:4</p>	<p>referring 7:21 13:2 30:15 48:24 56:12</p> <p>refers 38:14</p> <p>refine 52:23</p> <p>reflect 56:2</p> <p>regard 32:7</p> <p>register 55:11</p> <p>registry 26:21 27:5 43:17 45:21 46:19,23, 24 47:3,7,16 48:16 49:5 50:7,8,11,12,15,22 51:18,20,22,25 52:4,6 55:23 56:3,8 57:2,6,14, 16 58:2</p> <p>regular 22:13</p> <p>related 10:9,16 16:25</p> <p>relates 27:9</p> <p>relation 13:7 17:8 34:1</p> <p>relevant 12:16 15:14</p> <p>relied 36:7 43:24</p> <p>rely 43:22 44:17 48:17</p> <p>remain 42:25</p> <p>remember 7:2</p> <p>repeat 11:9 23:17 35:16 41:4 44:25 48:20 49:17</p> <p>repeated 31:10</p> <p>replicate 46:5,9</p> <p>report 12:2 13:19 21:17,20 22:12 23:1 34:1,22 35:4 37:12</p> <p>reported 36:18</p> <p>reproduce 44:3</p> <p>reproduced 41:22</p> <p>research 23:3</p> <p>reset 45:23</p> <p>response 53:7 56:25 59:2</p> <p>result 40:14</p> <p>results 12:2</p>	<p>RESUMING 15:10 53:4</p> <p>retained 15:2 17:23 18:3,11 19:9 21:10,11 52:18</p> <p>retainer 29:15 32:13, 24 36:4 42:10</p> <p>retains 21:14</p> <p>review 14:2,10 25:1 26:23 30:24 47:11</p> <p>reviewed 8:11 26:16 34:5 45:7</p> <p>reviewing 6:14 36:20 45:14 53:20</p> <p>Roland 14:24 15:2 16:7,8</p> <p>role 11:22</p> <p>run 11:18 30:25 35:5, 15,20 36:8 39:19 48:12, 14 50:11,13,15 51:20 52:11 56:8 57:6,14</p> <p>running 30:2 39:20</p> <p>runs 56:16</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>scan 54:5</p> <p>scope 8:3 20:10,12 21:16 22:12 23:1</p> <p>screen 44:4,5</p> <p>scrubber 30:7,11 33:8</p> <p>scrubbing 30:3</p> <p>search 7:21 22:15</p> <p>searches 11:18,20, 22,25 12:1 23:11,16</p> <p>searching 22:7 23:20</p> <p>second-last 7:5</p> <p>section 33:16</p> <p>sectors 29:9 30:25</p> <p>Secure 29:16,19 30:2, 12,18,24 31:22 32:1,21 33:3 34:2,8,24 35:4,5, 12,23 36:9 38:12,24,25</p>
--	--	---	---

<p>39:4 40:23 41:13,14 42:4,13,18 43:4,9,16 44:5,12 45:4,20,24 46:4 49:11,13 50:3 58:5</p> <p>sense 28:1</p> <p>separate 19:3 40:21 54:20</p> <p>sequence 30:20,23 31:9,11,22 32:4,5 34:13 36:1 45:19</p> <p>sequences 33:1 34:6, 19 35:9,13,19 36:11,21 53:21</p> <p>servers 12:21,22 13:17,18</p> <p>service 23:23</p> <p>session 9:14</p> <p>set 36:6 44:13</p> <p>sets 44:23 45:19</p> <p>settings 22:23</p> <p>shortcut 56:20</p> <p>shortcuts 57:10</p> <p>shot 44:4,5</p> <p>show 25:21,22 26:2,15 33:8 49:16 50:4 55:23</p> <p>showed 16:25 47:7</p> <p>showing 57:2</p> <p>shows 44:8</p> <p>sic 56:6</p> <p>sign 16:19 35:23 36:15</p> <p>signature 31:5 32:4</p> <p>signing 6:4</p> <p>signs 34:6,11,19</p> <p>similar 8:9,20,25 9:3,7 10:1,23</p> <p>similarities 12:12</p> <p>similarly 8:18 9:20</p> <p>simulate 40:17</p> <p>single 53:25</p> <p>sir 14:5 33:19 34:15</p>	<p>site 22:10</p> <p>sitting 52:21</p> <p>smart 13:9 15:22</p> <p>software 29:7,11,15 31:3,7,16,23 32:21 34:12 54:5 58:4</p> <p>solicitor 17:20</p> <p>sort 56:12</p> <p>sound 40:15</p> <p>sources 12:23</p> <p>space 28:6,9,19,21</p> <p>speak 27:9</p> <p>speaking 25:2</p> <p>specific 13:2 14:5 25:16 36:22</p> <p>specifically 14:14 18:25 32:19</p> <p>specifics 12:25</p> <p>spent 36:19 37:2,7 54:10</p> <p>stand 49:25 50:1</p> <p>start 7:17</p> <p>starting 45:17</p> <p>state 34:5 38:12,24 42:2 52:3,8</p> <p>stated 33:10 34:7</p> <p>statement 27:3 44:25 49:17 52:10</p> <p>states 7:19 15:14</p> <p>step 40:4</p> <p>steps 8:9 45:19 46:2,3 56:7,12</p> <p>storage 23:23</p> <p>subject 19:24 54:25</p> <p>suggesting 46:21</p> <p>suite 58:4</p> <p>summarize 43:5</p> <p>summary 44:5,13 45:5,24 49:12</p> <p>supervisor 17:20</p>	<p>supplementary 26:13 45:11,12</p> <p>support 36:7 44:17 47:5 49:22</p> <p>surveillance 10:6,7</p> <p>swear 5:16</p> <p>swearing 5:16 21:3 26:17 36:6 45:15 47:10 48:6 58:23 59:2</p> <p>swore 42:23 47:23</p> <p>sworn 5:2,7,9,12 14:23 16:1 26:12 46:22 47:14</p> <p>system 44:5,12 45:4, 24 47:7 49:12 56:16 57:9 58:6</p> <p>systems 47:17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/> <p>tab 26:14 45:11</p> <p>tablets 13:10</p> <p>takes 46:2</p> <p>taking 11:14</p> <p>talk 46:19</p> <p>talking 9:8</p> <p>task 8:20 9:23 10:16 12:5,11 13:2 20:10 33:3 36:23 39:13 42:5</p> <p>tasked 13:16 14:14</p> <p>tasks 10:9 13:6,25 34:8</p> <p>technical 28:4</p> <p>technology 27:12</p> <p>telling 5:21 28:5 41:18 50:25</p> <p>telltale 33:1 34:6,11, 19 35:13,18,23 36:11, 15,20</p> <p>term 22:2,3 30:8,9,11, 14 33:8 38:13</p> <p>terms 10:24 22:15 25:21 28:3,4 30:1,4,6</p>	<p>test 38:13 39:24 41:24 50:25</p> <p>testified 6:20 7:7</p> <p>tests 32:6 39:19 51:1, 16</p> <p>thing 11:3 35:1</p> <p>things 6:5 9:16 22:22 32:22 36:14,25 37:1,4 41:1 56:23 58:5</p> <p>third-party 10:20 11:11</p> <p>time 19:3,5,23 42:22 47:25 48:2,9,14 53:23 54:1,10 57:18 59:1</p> <p>times 29:10</p> <p>today 5:25 6:10 43:1 52:3,8</p> <p>told 52:17 56:5</p> <p>tool 29:19</p> <p>totally 22:11</p> <p>true 22:18,20 31:1 51:3</p> <p>truth 5:17,21</p> <p>turn 6:3 20:6 26:11 43:11</p> <p>turned 20:21</p> <p>turning 8:23 14:22 38:11</p> <p>turns 52:22</p> <p>twofold 39:14</p> <p>type 6:17 9:16 56:23</p> <p>Typically 8:22 22:8,9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <hr/> <p>U/a 19:19</p> <p>U/t 17:9 19:12</p> <p>uhm-hmm 13:4 14:6 41:15 53:12,19</p> <p>understand 5:15,19, 23 6:3,9 8:10 15:12 19:8,22 20:22 22:17 23:14,22 27:15 29:18 30:18 32:23 33:21</p>
--	---	--	--

<p>37:25 40:20 44:24 46:20 52:17 53:10,15 55:10</p> <p>understanding 8:14 18:4,5,15 19:2 20:12,20 23:10 40:5</p> <p>understands 27:11</p> <p>understood 14:22 20:14,17 59:1</p> <p>undertaken 54:17</p> <p>undertaking 15:8</p> <p>undertakings 54:13</p> <p>undiscernible 32:5</p> <p>unrecoverable 28:23 29:3</p> <p>unrelated 12:10 40:23 41:2</p> <p>up-to-date 14:21</p> <p>updated 47:16</p> <p>usage 13:22 22:13 57:2</p> <p>user 21:15 22:13,16 23:14,18,22 24:8,15 25:7,12,13 26:21 27:5, 13,19 29:19 40:10 41:20 45:20 46:1 49:13 57:10</p> <p>user's 22:7 26:5</p> <p>users 29:2 39:2</p> <p>utilized 31:7,16 32:21 33:3,9 34:9,10,12</p> <hr/> <p>V</p> <hr/> <p>variety 12:22 22:21</p> <p>version 7:2</p> <p>versus 32:4</p> <p>video 10:7,14</p> <p>view 24:9</p> <p>viewed 24:8 25:23</p> <p>views 25:13</p> <p>virtual 39:18,19 40:16, 17</p>	<p>visit 22:9</p> <hr/> <p>W</p> <hr/> <p>web 21:13,22,23,25 22:6,17,22 23:6,15,18, 24 24:2,9,15 25:3,4,8, 23 26:5,7,8,22 27:5</p> <p>web-based 25:21</p> <p>websites 21:15</p> <p>wider 8:3</p> <p>Windows 27:14 38:14 47:16 56:2,15,16</p> <p>Winton 5:3 15:6,11 17:5,10 18:21 19:1,7, 14,21 32:8,11 37:17,20 44:21 46:15,18 51:8,12 52:12,15,25 53:5 54:12, 15,18,21,25 55:5,10,17, 21,25 56:11,13 58:13, 14,16 59:10</p> <p>wiping 30:2 44:8</p> <p>work 6:17 19:23 21:2</p> <p>working 14:13,15</p> <p>works 27:12</p> <p>writing 53:21</p> <p>written 37:24</p> <p>wrong 32:13</p> <hr/> <p>Y</p> <hr/> <p>yesterday 47:15 55:19 58:18,20</p>	
---	--	--