In the Matter Of:

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al

BRANDON MOYSE

May 11, 2015

neesons

141 Adelaide Street West |11<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, Ontario M5H 3L5 1.888.525.6666 | 416.413.7755

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 1
1	Court File No. CV-14-507120
2	
3	ONTARIO
4	SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
5	
6	BETWEEN:
7	
8	
9	THE CATALYST CAPITAL GROUP INC.
10	Plaintiff
11	- and -
12	BRANDON MOYSE and WEST FACE CAPITAL INC.
13	Defendant
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	This is the Cross-Examination of BRANDON MOYSE, on
22	his affidavit sworn April 2, 2015, taken at the offices
23	of Neesons, 141 Adelaide St. W., Suite 1108, Toronto,
24	Ontario, on the 11th day of May, 2015.
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	Rocco DiPucchio, Esq. for the Plaintiff.
1	& Andrew Winton, Esq.
5	
6	Robert A. Centa, Esq., for the Defendant
7	& Kristian Borg-Olivier, Esq. Brandon Moyse.
3	& Denise Cooney, Esq.
9	
) <sup>,</sup>	Matthew Milne-Smith, Esq. for the Defenant
1	West Face Capital Inc.
2	
3	Also present: Jim Riley
1	
5	
5	REPORTED BY: Terry Wood, RPR, CSR
7	
3	
€	
) (	
L	
2	
3	
1	
5	

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 3
1	INDEX
2	
3	WITNESS: BRANDON MOYSE
4	Page
5	BRANDON MOYSE
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. DiPucchio 5
7	Re-Examination by Mr. Centa 122
8	***The following list of undertakings, advisements and
9	refusals is meant as a guide only for the assistance of
10	counsel and no other purpose***
11	
12	INDEX OF REFUSALS
13	The questions/requests refused are noted by R/F and
14	appear on the following pages/lines: 95/16, 105/24.
15	
16	INDEX OF UNDERTAKINGS
17	The questions/requests undertaken are noted by U/T and
18	appear on the following pages/lines: 37/1, 107/20.
19	
2 0	INDEX OF UNDER ADVISEMENTS
21	The questions/requests taken under advisement are noted
2.2	by U/A and appear on the following pages/lines: 71/14,
23	78/13, 100/17, 105/2, 106/8, 106/15, 107/14, 119/14.
24	
25	
	neesons         www.neesonsreporting.com           (416) 413-7755         (888) 525-6666

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Page 4

	MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015	Page 4
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	EXHIBIT NO./DESCRIPTION	Page
2 <b>3</b> ,	1 E-mail chain dated May 6th, 2014, and	24
4	ending May 7th, 2014	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
2.2		
23		
24		
25		
	neesons         www.neesonsr           (416) 413-7755         (8)	eporting.com 88) 525-6666

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 5 --- Upon commencing at 9:59 a.m. 1 2 BRANDON MOYSE, AFFIRMED. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. DIPUCCHIO: 3 4 1 Mr. Moyse, you have sworn three 0. 5 substantive affidavits in this proceeding; is that correct? 6 7 Have sworn -- yes. Α. I have sworn four, I think. 8 9 2 Ο. All right. Let's review them. The 10 dates of them, in any event. You have sworn an 11 affidavit on July 4th, 2014? 12 Correct. Α. 13 3 0. And another one on July 16th, 2014? 14 Α. Correct. 15 4 And then there is a third that's Ο. appended to your materials which appears to be sworn 16 17 October 10th, 2014. Is that fair? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 Is that affidavit identical to the 5 0. 20 one you swore on July 16th, 2014? 21 Α. It appears identical. 22 6 Do you have an explanation as to Ο. 23 why it was sworn on October 10th, 2014? 24 I can't remember. Α. 25 7 Q. And in addition to those three, you

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 6
-	have sworn an affidavit on April 2nd, 2015?
	A. Correct.
	8 Q. And it's in relation to that
	affidavit that you are here today. You understand
	that?
	A. Yes.
	9 Q. You were also cross-examined, you
	might recall, on July 31st, 2014?
	A. I do.
	10 Q. And in your affidavits both in
	your sworn testimony and your affidavits and when you
	were cross-examined, you understood your obligation to
	tell the truth?
	A. I did.
	11 Q. And you understood that the
	evidence you were giving, both in relation to your
	affidavits and in relation to your cross-examination on
	those affidavits, was going to be used for the purposes
	of a court proceeding?
	A. I did.
	12 Q. You understood, I take it, that in
	relation to the affidavits that you swore in 2014 and
ĺ	the cross-examination that occurred in the summer of
	2014, that one of the issues that was in dispute was
	whether you had communicated confidential information

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 7
1	belonging to Catalyst to your new employer, West Face?
2	A. Yes.
3	13 Q. And you certainly understood that
4	it was important, both in your affidavits and in your
5	cross-examination, to be honest and forthcoming in
6	relation to the evidence you were giving under oath?
7	A. Yes.
8	14 Q. Now, as I understand it, in your
9	affidavit sworn in April of this year, you have
10	incorporated all of the evidence from your previous
11	affidavits with one exception into your current
12	affidavit. I will call it your current affidavit. Is
13	that fair? If you look at paragraph 5?
14	A. Yes, that's fair.
15	15 Q. So as I understand it, with the
16	exception of the one correction that you make to your
17	affidavit swore July 4th, 2014, which we'll review in a
18	minute, you stand by everything else that you have said
19	in your affidavits previously sworn?
20	A. Ido.
21	16 Q. Do I take it from that that you
22	also don't have any corrections to your evidence as it
23	relates to the cross-examination on July 31st, 2014?
24	A. I do not.
25	Q. And it's fair to say that, had you

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 8
1	had any corrections to make to your evidence given on
2	July 31st, 2014, you would have done so in this
3	affidavit?
4	A. Yes, but I don't know, because I
5	don't have any.
6	18 Q. All right. And the correction you
7	have made, Mr. Moyse, to your affidavit of July 4th,
8	2014, is that you acknowledge that, when you stated in
9	paragraph 71 of your affidavit of July 4th and
10	that's Exhibit A. Do you see that?
11	A. Yes.
12	19 Q. You acknowledge now that the
13	statement you made in paragraph 71 of your affidavit
14	was not correct?
15	A. I believed at the time it was
16	correct; now it is not.
17	20 Q. You acknowledge now that that was
18	not correct?
19	A. Yes, yes.
20	21 Q. You acknowledge now that what you
21	characterized as a fishing expedition in paragraph 71
2.2	of your affidavit was far from a fishing expedition,
23	right?
24	A. I don't know.
2.5	22 Q. Well, you don't know that?

neesons

_	MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 A. I believed it was a fishing
2	
	expedition.
6	23 Q. Yes. And you acknowledge now that
	it was anything but a fishing expedition?
Ì	A. I don't agree.
1	24 Q. You don't agree that there were
1.	hundreds of documents that existed on your computer
4	that were generated from your work at Catalyst?
)	A. I do agree that.
) <sup>i</sup>	25 Q. Okay. And do you not agree that
-	you were telling the Court in paragraph 71 that there
	were no grounds upon which the Court should order a
5	forensic review of your personal devices because
	Catalyst was unable to provide any actual evidence that
)	you transferred confidential information to your
5	personal equipment?
,	A. Based on the evidence at the time,
2 2	no.
)	26 Q. Right. And do you acknowledge
	now not at the time. Do you acknowledge now that
	you had no basis upon which to make that statement? It
	was incorrect?
	A. It was incorrect.
	27 Q. Right. So what you characterized
	as a fishing expedition that Catalyst was on at the

MOYSE, BRANE	bital Group Inc. v. Bra OON on May 11, 201	5	Page 10
time was	anything b	ut a fishing expedition?	
	Α.	I still believe it was a f	ishing
expediti	on.		
2.8	Q.	So had Catalyst not obtain	ed an
affidavi	t of docume	nts from you, they would no	t have
known th	at those do	cuments existed on your har	d drive.
Would yo	u acknowled	ge that?	
	Α.	Yes.	
29	Q.	Okay. So, therefore, havi	ng
brought	the motion	in the first place was not	a fishing
expediti	on: It gen	erated fish. Correct?	
	Α.	Generated, yes, documents.	
30	Q.	Right. Documents that the	y would
not have	otherwise	known about, according to y	our
evidence	?		
	Α.	Yes	
31	Q.	Now, while you were at Cat	alyst,
you ackn	owledged th	at there were eight people	working
on the t	eam at Cata	lyst as it related to poten	tial and
actual i	nvestments?		
	Α.	Yes. At many times or mos	t times.
32	Q.	And that consisted that	team
consiste	d of three ]	partners, right?	
	А.	Yes.	
33	Q.	And then there were two	

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 11 1 vice-presidents? 2 Α. Correct. 3 34 And three what we will call 0. 4 associates or analysts? 5 Α. Yes. 35 And that's a smaller group than 6 Q. 7 exists at West Face? It is smaller in terms of head 8 Α. 9 count. 10 36 Okay. And in your affidavit, many Ο. 11 times, you have described yourself as an analyst or a 12 junior employee, but we both, I take it, agree that --13 can agree that, in early 2014, you were told by people 14 at Catalyst that you were going to be promoted to the 15 position of associate, right? 16 Yes. Α. 17 37 0. And as we covered in the last 18 cross-examination, you actually were describing 19 yourself as an associate to recruiters, for example, in 20 New York that you were corresponding with in the 2014 21 time frame? 22 Α. Yes. 23 38 Ο. In fact, you told Mr. Dea, as I 24 recall, that you had just been promoted to the position 25 of associate when you were interviewing with Mr. Dea,

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 12
1	right?
2	A. Yes. Based on everything at
3	Catalyst, I believed that was the case.
4	39 Q. Right. And can I ask you to just
5	bring up paragraph 14 of your affidavit in April of
6	this year.
7	A. Yes.
8	40 Q. This is your evidence as it relates
9	to the work you were doing on the Wind file. And if I
10	can ask you to just keep your hand there so we have it.
11	Can I also ask you to turn up your July 14th affidavit,
12	which is Exhibit A.
13	A. July 4th.
14	41 Q. Sorry, July 4th, I apologize.
15	Exhibit A. Turn up paragraph 11 of that affidavit.
16	And this is the first affidavit you swore in relation
17	to this proceeding, right, the one on July 4th?
18	A. Yes.
19	42 Q. And so what you were telling the
2 0	Court in paragraph 11 on July 4th was that you were
21	privy to very little, if any, confidential information
2.2	about the transaction, and you played a minor role,
23	essentially limited to contributing a memo, right?
24	A. Yes.
25	43 Q. And that's all you told the Court
ļ	

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 1
1	at that time?
2	A. Yes.
3	44 Q. All right. So now let's turn back
4	to paragraph 14 of your affidavit in April. And I take
5	it you and I agree, Mr. Moyse, that you were on the
6	Wind team, right, what was characterized as the Wind
7	team at Catalyst?
8	A. Yes.
9	45 Q. All right. Now, in paragraph 14,
.0	you do a little more than simply state that you
.1	contributed a memo, right? You certainly say that you
.2	helped work on the initial draft of an investment
.3	memorandum, right?
. 4	A. Yes.
5	46 Q. But there is also other things that
6	you acknowledge doing, right?
.7	A. I acknowledge attending a meeting.
.8	47 Q. Okay. And in addition to attending
.9	a meeting, you also acknowledge that you were copied on
0	e-mails, right?
1	A. Yes.
2	48 Q. And you also acknowledge now that
3	you also looked at a preliminary model?
4	A. Yes.
5	49 Q. Right? And you indicate in that

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 1
1	preliminary that in looking at that preliminary
2	model, it wasn't complete?
3	A. Yes.
4	50 Q. There is no question there was a
5	preliminary model that you looked at, right?
6	A. Yes.
7	51 Q. And that model included scenario
8	analysis and business drivers?
9	A. I don't remember exactly what it
10	included. I remember it was not complete.
11	52 Q. Well, don't you say it in your
12	affidavit?
13	A. I said it was not complete in terms
14	of scenario analysis and business drivers, so I'm
15	not
16	53 Q. Well, was it did it include
17	A. That's what it should have
18	included.
19	54 Q. Did it include any scenario
20	analysis and business drivers?
21	A. I don't remember exactly.
22	55 Q. It's possible it did?
23	A. It's possible.
24	56 Q. Okay. And you also indicate that
25	you had some discussions in relation to that

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 15
1	preliminary model with Zach Michaud?
2	A. I wouldn't characterize it as a
3	discussion.
4	57 Q. Well, you gave cursory comments to
5	him?
6	A. That's correct.
7	58 Q. All right. And was that in an
8	e-mail?
9	A. Yes.
10	59 Q. All right. So whereas in July of
11	2014 you indicated that your involvement in Wind was
12	essentially limited to contributing a memo, that wasn't
13	entirely the case, was it? You have just described a
14	number of other things you were doing.
15	A. This model would have been part of
16	a contribution to a memo.
17	60 Q. Okay. Listen to my question.
18	Whereas on July 4th you indicated to the Court that
19	your involvement in Wind was essentially limited to
20	contributing a memo, your paragraph 14 indicates that
21	you had more involvement than just that.
22	A. I believe all that involvement was
23	related to the memo.
24	61 Q. Including all of the hundreds of
25	e-mails that we presented to you at the last

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc MOYSE, BRANDON on May 1	11, 2015	5 Page 16
1	examination?		
2	i	Α.	I don't think it's fair to
3	characterize me	bei	ng copied on e-mails as involvement.
4	I don't recall	read	ing those memos.
5	.62	Q.	Well, how do you characterize being
6	copied on e-mai.	ls?	As part of the Wind team?
7	i	Α.	I was part of the Wind team.
8	63	Q.	And you don't characterize that as
9	involvement?		
10	i	Α.	I did not do anything with those
11	e-mails.		
12	64	Q.	Did you read them?
13	Ī	Α.	Most of them, no.
14	65 0	Q.	You just didn't do anything with
1.5	them?		
16	1	Α.	No. I was on vacation for most of
L'7	that time.		
18	66	Q.	And you don't read e-mails while on
L9	vacation?		
2:0:	Ĩ	Α.	Not in this case.
21	67	Q.	Do you read any other e-mails on
2.2	vacation?		
23	Ĩ	Α.	I don't remember on this vacation.
24	Personal e-mail:	s, ce	ertainly.
25	68 0	Q.	But the Wind e-mails, you didn't

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 17 1 read? 2 Α. No. 69 3 0. You never read them? I don't remember reading any of 4 Α. 5 them, so I don't think I did. 6 70 Q. Okay. And did you read e-mails in 7 regards to West Face while you were on vacation? 8 Yes. I don't think I had many Α. 9 e-mails in regard to West Face. 10 71 Q. Those ones, you read? 11 Α. Yes. 12 72 In paragraph 16, you say your work Q. 13 on the Wind file consisted largely of due diligence. 14 Do you see that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 73 Q. And you say your work did not 17 involve any deal-structuring analysis, scenario 18 analysis, or late-stage negotiations? 19 Correct. Α. And do I take it from that that 20 74 Q. 21 your work did involve some early stage negotiations? 22 Α. I was not privy to any 23 negotiations. 24 75 And why do you use the word 0. 25 "late-stage negotiations", then, in your affidavit?

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al
MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Page 18

1	A. I was responding to Riley's
2	allegations about why Catalyst failed to win the deal,
3	so that would have been late stage, but I was not privy
4	to any negotiations.
5	76 Q. Okay. So really what you are
6	saying in paragraph 16 is you were not privy to any
7	negotiations whatsoever?
8	A. Correct.
9	77 Q. All right. And you also go on to
10	say in paragraph 16 that Catalyst had not decided on
11	regulatory risk mitigation. Do you see that?
12	A. Yes.
13	78 Q. And then you go on in paragraph 17
14	to say that you don't recall analyzing regulatory risk
15	during your brief period of time working on the Wind
16	file.
17	A. Yes.
18	79 Q. And what do you mean by "analyzing
19	regulatory risk"?
20	A. Understanding well, first, I
21	can't say because I didn't do it, but it would have
2.2	involved understanding what the regulatory risks are
23	and how or if you can work around it.
24	80 Q. Did you have any communication with
25	anybody at Catalyst with respect to regulatory risk?

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al	
MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015	

Page 19

1	A. Just the general regulatory risk
2	about that was well-publicized about whether or not
3	a new Spectrum entrant or new telecom entrant could
4	sell their Spectrum to an incumbent.
5	81 Q. So you did have some discussions as
6	it related to regulatory risk or communications?
7	A. There were discussions about it. I
8	did not really discuss it with anybody.
9	82 Q. Okay. But you became aware of
10	those discussions how?
11	A. Through the news and also
12	through the news initially, and then that was repeated
13	at Catalyst.
14	83 Q. How so?
15	A. I don't remember the exact
16	instances.
17	84 Q. E-mail?
18	A. I don't remember.
19	85 Q. Okay. Can I show you an e-mail?
20	A. Sure.
21	86 Q. So this is an e-mail chain which
2.2	starts I guess I don't see the date on it. The
23	initial e-mail. But there's an e-mail from Gabriel
24	DeAlba dated May 6th, 2014, at 3:25 p.m. Do you see
25	that?

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 20
1	A. Yes.
2	87 Q. Which forwards a message that he
3	received from Mr. Turgeon, who was at UBS?
4	A. Yes.
5	88 Q. And UBS was the advisor to Wind?
6	A. I believe so, yeah.
7	89 Q. And looking at the e-mail from
8	Mr. Glassman on May 6th, 2014, that appears just above
9	that. Do you see that?
10	A. Yes.
11	90 Q. And Mr. Glassman says, right at the
12	end of his e-mail:
13	"Need a condition of governmental
14	approval."
15	Do you see that?
16	A. I do.
17	91 Q. And then Mr. DeAlba writes back
18	and you're copied on this e-mail, right?
19	A. Yes.
20	92 Q. And you're copied on the original
21	e-mail from Mr. DeAlba?
22	A. I am.
23	93 Q. Is that one of the e-mails that you
24	would have read or you didn't read this e-mail?
25	A. I don't remember.

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al	
MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015	

Page 21

	,
1	94 Q. Okay. And then Mr. DeAlba says, in
2	the second paragraph of his e-mail with respect to
3	governmental approval:
4	"This can be positioned to our
5	advantage with the government to get the
6	required clarity on the ability to sell
7	Spectrum and/or monetize the investment.
8	The following type of argument can be
9	presented to the government: 'We are
10	the Canadian solution. We will focus in
11	building the standalone fourth player,
12	but even from a debt financing/capital
13	market's perspective, no lender will
14	provide funding unless there is clarity
15	on how the collateral and ultimately the
16	business can be sold and when. At the
17	minimum, it adds to the pile'" I
18	think that should say "of" "'reasons
19	why the government needs to give us
20	clarity.'" Do you see that?
21	A. I do.
22	95 Q. And this was an e-mail that was
23	sent prior to your going on vacation; is that correct?
24	A. It was.
25	96 Q. So is it likely you would have read
ļ	

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et a	al
MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015	

Page 22

1	it?
2	A. Likely, yes.
3	97 Q. All right. So you would have been
4	aware that there were some discussions ongoing here
5	with respect to how to position the matter with the
6	federal government in order to obtain the federal
7	government's approval in relation to any transaction
8	that might be done, right?
9	A. I think this is a very generic
10	statement that echoes the general regulatory risk that
11	was publicized in the news.
12	98 Q. I don't care whether it was general
13	or specific. The fact of the matter is the e-mail
14	refers to what the strategy was going to be to seek
15	governmental approval, right?
16	A. Very high level. There's
17	99 Q. I didn't want your characterization
18	of it.
19	A. Yes.
20	100 Q. Does it reveal the strategy?
21	A. If you want to call this a
22	strategy.
23	101 Q. Okay. And then you get a further
24	e-mail from Mr. Glassman that you were copied on,
25	right?

neesons

Page 23

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al
MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Α.

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

102 0. And Mr. Glassman says in that e-mail, essentially, that the government had advised Catalyst on that day that they would not give us in writing the right to sell Spectrum in five years, right? Α. That's what it says. 103 0. So you knew that at that time? At the time. Α. 104 And you knew as well that **0**. Mr. Glassman's response to the government was that that would, therefore, take, quote/unquote, option one off the table, right? I'm not sure what "option one" Α. refers to. All right. Well, does he then go 105 0. on to say that we would only be willing to build a wholesale leasing business? He does say that. Α. 106 Q. So what's option one, would that be a retail business? Α. I don't remember. 107 You can't read into that as part of 0. the Wind team what that meant? Α. I -- no, I can't.

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 24
1	108 Q. You don't know?
2	A. I don't know.
3	109 Q. Okay. And you certainly understood
4	that what Mr. Glassman was saying here was that, if the
5	government didn't give Catalyst the right to sell
6	Spectrum within a period of time, that the only thing
7	that they would be willing to do with any Wind
8	acquisition was build a wholesale leasing business,
9	right?
10	A. That's what it says. I don't
11	really know what that means.
12	110 Q. Well, that's what it says, and
13	that's what you understood at the time, surely?
14	A. I understood the words; I don't
15	understand what that means.
16	111 Q. You had no understanding as part of
17	the Wind team as to what that meant?
18	A. No.
19	112 Q. As someone doing due diligence on
20	this transaction on behalf of Catalyst, you had no idea
21	what that meant?
2.2	A. No.
23	MR. DiPUCCHIO: And can we mark this as
24	an exhibit.
25	EXHIBIT NO. 1: E-mail chain dated

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 25
1	May 6th, 2014, and ending May 7th, 2014
2	BY MR. DIPUCCHIO:
	113 Q. So in paragraph 18 of your
	affidavit, where you say:
	"The only regulatory risk related to
	Wind of which I was aware was whether or
	not the federal government would allow a
	new wireless entrant to sell its
ļ	Spectrum and/or be purchased by an
	incumbent, I learned about this
	regulatory issue through the extensive
	media coverage it received in both the
	general and business news."
	That's not true, is it?
	A. I don't agree.
	114 Q. Okay. Well, did you not learn
	about that issue through internal discussions at
	Catalyst that you were copied on, as we have just seen?
	A. No.
	115 Q. Okay. So you learned about that
	issue through the news, and then it was the subject of
	some discussion within Catalyst? Is that fair?
	A. I think I learned about it through
	the news in relation to a it was not related to
	Wind.

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 26
1	116 Q. It was related to another carrier?
2	A. Yes.
3	117 Q. Okay. But in relation to Wind, is
4	it not fair to say, looking at the e-mail we just
5	looked at, that there were internal discussions at
6	Catalyst that you were copied on that would have made
7	you aware of this issue?
8	A. I was already aware of the issue.
9	118 Q. Okay. When you tell the Court in
10	paragraph 18 that you learned about the regulatory
11	issue through the extensive media coverage, are you not
12	conveying the impression to the Court that that wasn't
13	the subject of any internal discussions that you were
14	involved with?
15	A. It was, but I didn't learn about it
16	in those discussions.
17	119 Q. Okay. But you don't tell the Court
18	that it was the subject of internal discussions that
19	you were a party to?
20	A. Doesn't say that in the affidavit.
21	120 Q. Right. You don't say that, right?
22	A. I do not.
2'3	121 Q. When you talk in paragraph 19 of
24	this project that you worked on, insofar as it related
25	to meetings with Industry Canada, first of all, you

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 27
1	were at those meetings with Industry Canada, right?
2	A. No, I was not.
3	122 Q. Okay. So can you just tell me
4	what what your work involved?
5	A. I do not remember clearly
6	123 Q. All right.
7	A or specifically what it
8	involved, because I was asked to destroy copies of the
9	presentation as soon as I had printed them.
10	124 Q. Okay. And what you say, though, is
11	that it touched on the Canadian telecom landscape,
12	right?
13	A. Yes, I remember that.
14	125 Q. And you have reviewed Mr. Riley's
15	affidavits?
16	A. I have.
17	126 Q. And you know Mr. Riley has sworn in
18	his affidavit that these notes related to Wind?
19	A. My memory, my recollection of that,
2 0	is different.
21	127 Q. All right. So you do have a
22	recollection?
2.3	A. I remember it touched on a separate
24	project in the Canadian telecom landscape.
25	128 Q. So we are to take your

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 28
1	recollection, not Mr. Riley's, in this regard?
2	A. I'm confident of what I remember.
3	129 Q. So you are confident Mr. Riley is
4	wrong?
5	MR. CENTA: Objection. Don't ask him to
6	comment on the veracity of another witness' evidence.
7	You can ask him what he knows and what he believes.
8	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
9	130 Q. Okay. But you disagree with
10	Mr. Riley in this particular instance?
11	A. I do disagree.
12	131 Q. All right. And you can't assist us
13	with what that PowerPoint presentation related to?
14	Specifically?
15	A. I remember it related to
16	Mobilicity. I do not remember the specifics of what
17	was in it.
18	132 Q. Did it relate to regulatory
19	matters?
20	A. I don't remember.
21	133 Q. Could it have related to regulatory
22	matters?
23	A. Probably, if it was to Industry
24	Canada.
2.5	134 Q. Did it relate to regulatory

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 29
1	concessions? Do you have any recollection of that?
2	A. Not at all.
3	135 Q. Not at all, it didn't?
4	A. No, sorry, not at all, I do not
5	recall.
6	136 Q. Recall.
7	A. Yes.
8	137 Q. When you say in paragraph 20 of
9	your affidavit I'm just curious about the last
10	sentence paragraph 20, where you talk about a
11	conversation that you had with Mr. DeAlba, and you say
12	that it's as a result of that conversation with
13	Mr. DeAlba just before you left Catalyst that you
14	became aware that West Face might be involved in the
15	Wind transaction or in a Wind transaction?
16	A. Based on his statements, yes.
17	138 Q. And you weren't aware of that
18	before that?
19	A. I was not.
20	139 Q. Okay. And then you state:
21	"I have no way of verifying the
22	accuracy of Mr. DeAlba's statement."
23	What do you mean by that?
24	A. I meant that, at the time, I didn't
25	have any way of knowing whether or not that was true.

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 30
1	140 Q. Okay. So your affidavit says "I
2	have no way of verifying". Should I read that to be I
3	had no way of verifying?
4	A. I don't know if he knew West Face
5	was involved in Wind at the time. I don't know what he
6	knew.
7	141 Q. That's not what you say. You say:
8	"I have no way of verifying the
9	accuracy of Mr. DeAlba's statements."
1,0	So what are you saying? Are you
11	intending to say that you have no way of verifying
12	whether West Face was involved in a Wind transaction?
13	A. I am aware now that West Face was
14	involved in them is or was involved in a Wind
15	transaction.
16	Q. When did you become aware of that?
17	A. I became aware with retrospect when
18	Mr. DeAlba told me. The first time I actually became
19	aware was when I received the memo from Supriya Kapoor
2'0	detailing that a wall had been set up.
21	143 Q. Okay. So prior to so I get your
22	evidence on this prior to being presented with a
23	memo that indicated that a wall was being set up in
24	relation to Wind over at West Face, you had no
25	knowledge that West Face was potentially involved in a

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 31 1 Wind transaction? 2 Α. That's correct. 144 But I take it, obviously, after you 3 0. 4 received that memo which set up a confidentiality wall, 5 you must have known that they were involved in some discussions as they related to Wind? 6 7 Yeah, in some way, but I couldn't Α. have known detail. 8 9 145 Ô. All right. Now, you acknowledge, 10 obviously -- and you already have acknowledged in your 11 previous evidence -- that you sent to Mr. Dea four 12 investment memos that you had authored while at Catalyst to West Face? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 146 Ο. And I think there's no dispute even 16 in your evidence this time around that those memos were 17 marked confidential, right? 18 Α. Correct. 19 147 0. And I take it that you've reviewed 20 in preparation for your evidence today Mr. Griffin's 21 testimony? 22 Α. I have. 23 148 Ο. And you've seen --24 MR. CENTA: Sorry, Mr. Griffin's 25 affidavit.

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al Page 32 Page 32
1	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Affidavit, yes.
2	THE WITNESS: Sorry, I have not reviewed
3	his testimony.
4	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
5	149 Q. Fair enough. I meant his
6	affidavit.
7	And you will have seen in his affidavit
8	the discussion about work you did while at West Face in
9	relation to Arcan?
10	A. Yes, I did see that.
11	150 Q. All right. And I'm not going to
12	take you to Mr. Griffin's affidavit. Let's see if we
13	can agree.
14	You agree that, while you were working
15	for West Face, in the first few days that you started
16	there, that you were sent an analysis of Arcan by
17	Mr. Griffin?
18	A. Yes.
19	151 Q. And sometime subsequent to that,
2 0	you performed a financial analysis of Arcan?
21	A. I don't remember.
22	152 Q. You have no recollection of that?
23	A. I don't remember if it was
24	subsequent to that or before that.
25	153 Q. Well, my understanding was and

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 33
1	perhaps I'm wrong that Mr. Griffin sent you that
2	memo the very first day you started at West Face.
3	A. At night, at 10:40 at night.
4	154 Q. So the very first thing you may
5	have done while you sat down at your desk at West Face
6	was pump out a financial analysis of Arcan?
7	A. No. I think you need some context
8	here. Arcan announced the transaction in the evening
9	after market close.
10	155 Q. Correct.
11	A. So that was not the first thing I
12	did there.
13	156 Q. I don't I'm not understanding.
14	I think we are talking at cross-purposes. You
15	indicated to me you can't be sure when you did your
16	financial analysis of Arcan.
17	A. I am sure it was not before Arcan
18	announced the transaction that the to which the
19	analysis relates.
20	157 Q. Okay. So could it have been
21	coincidental with Mr. Griffin sending you his analysis?
22	A. No.
23	158 Q. So was it subsequent to Mr. Griffin
24	sending you an analysis?
25	A. No. Mr. Griffin was leaving that

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 34
1	day in the evening. Arcan had announced a transaction.
2	He mentioned generally that Arcan had announced a
3	transaction.
4	159 Q. Yes.
5	A. I started to read up on it.
6	160 Q. Yes.
7	A. And started to do some initial work
8	in case I was asked to do any work on it.
9	161 Q. Okay. And when did you prepare
10	your financial analysis?
11	A. I don't remember exactly, but
12	sometime after the transaction was announced, which was
13	in the evening of that Monday.
14	162 Q. But did you only become aware of
15	the Arcan transaction through Mr. Griffin's e-mail?
16	A. No, I became aware before that when
17	Arcan announced the transaction.
18	163 Q. Okay. So can you assist me with
19	whether your financial analysis was done before or
2.0	after Mr. Griffin sent his e-mail to you?
21	A. I recall at least starting it
2.2	before. That's my
23	164 Q. Before Mr. Griffin?
24	A. Yes.
25	165 Q. And then Mr. Griffin sends you an

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 35
1	e-mail. Correct?
2	A. Yes.
3	166 Q. And then you complete your
4	financial analysis?
5	A. I wouldn't call it complete.
6	167 Q. Well, what would you call it?
7	A. I continued to work on it before
8	and after he sent the e-mail.
9	168 Q. Where is it?
10	A. I don't know.
11	169 Q. Have you ever disclosed it?
12	A. I don't have it I don't have
13	access to the work I performed at West Face. I do not
14	possess that analysis.
15	170 Q. Okay. In fact, when I asked you to
16	produce copies of the work you had done at West Face,
17	you refused to do that. Do you recall that?
18	A. I don't remember if I refused or if
19	West Face's counsel refused.
2.0	Q. Well, do you remember if your
21	counsel refused?
22	A. I don't.
23	172 Q. And there is no question that I
24	assume we don't disagree that one of the memos that
25	you sent to Mr. Dea on March 27th related to Arcan?

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 36
1	A. Yes.
2	MR. CENTA: Can we go off the record for
3	just a second.
4	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Yes.
5	OFF THE RECORD
6	MR. MILNE-SMITH: Counsel, two points.
7	First is that, of course, we offered to produce through
8	the ISS everything that Brandon produced at West Face,
9	and we have never been no one followed up on that
10	request from us. The second point is that I brought
11	with me there the Arcan the two documents that
12	Mr. Moyse created in relation to Arcan, and I'm happy
13	to give you a copy.
14	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Well, those are
15	documents I asked for through your witness, right?
16	MR. MILNE-SMITH: Yes. It was a part of
17	our answer to undertaking.
18	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Right. So that's part
19	of your answer to undertaking. Okay. Fine. I will
2'0	look at those later. Thank you very much, Counsel.
21	But my question related to the position
22	he took in relation to the last motion, and the
23	position he took through his counsel, as I understood
24	it you can clarify was that you refused to
25	provide copies of the work done.

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 37
1	U/T MR. CENTA: We will clarify that and get
2	back to you.
3	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay.
4	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
5	173 Q. And, Mr. Moyse, you acknowledge in
6	your affidavit sworn in April at paragraph 26 if you
7	want to have it in front of you that Mr. Singh, who
8	was West Face's general counsel at the time, advised
9	you that West Face was concerned about the Catalyst
10	memos that you had shared with Mr. Dea, right?
11	A. Yes.
12	174 Q. And do you remember when that
13	occurred?
14	A. I don't remember exactly.
15	175 Q. Okay. Was that before you started?
16	A. Yes.
17	176 Q. All right. So before you started
18	at West Face on June 23rd I believe it was, correct?
19	A. Correct.
20	177 Q. Before you started work at West
21	Face on June 23rd, you had a specific discussion with
22	Mr. Singh in which he advised you that West Face was
23	concerned that you had shared the Catalyst memos with
24	Mr. Dea, right?
25	A. Correct.

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al
MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Page 38

		ay 11, 20	Page 38
1	178	Q.	And then sometime immediately after
2	you begin wor	king a	at West Face, you start to do an
3	analysis in r	elati	on to one of the very companies that
4	you had done	an ana	alysis for while at Catalyst? Fair?
5		Α.	It involved the same company, yeah.
6	The context w	as coi	mpletely different.
7	179	Q.	Right. I'm talking about the fact
8	that it invol	ved tl	ne same company, right?
9		Α.	Yes.
10	180	Q.	Okay. And what did you do with
11	this analysis	?	
12		Α.	Which analysis?
13	181	Q.	The Arcan analysis that you
14	performed whi	le at	West Face?
15		Α.	I don't recall doing anything with
16	it.		
17	182	Q.	Okay. And did anybody see it?
18		A.	I don't know.
19	183	Q.	All right. Is it possible somebody
2:0:	at West Face	saw it	t?
21		Α.	I don't know.
22	184	Q.	So you see from Mr. Griffin's
23	affidavit tha	t he 1	relates that Mr. Singh subsequently
24	had another c	onvers	sation with you specifically in
25	relation to t	he Ard	can memo, right?

	The Catalyst Capital Group Ir MOYSE, BRANDON on May	nc. v. Bra 11, 2015	andon Moyse et al Page 39
1		Α.	Yes.
2	185	Q.	When did that conversation occur?
3		Α.	From my memory, the next day.
4	186	Q.	So that would have been on
5		Α.	June 24.
6	187	Q.	June 24th?
7		A.	From memory.
8	188	Q.	All right. And do you have any
9	understanding a	s to	how Mr. Singh would have known that
10	you had previou	sly (	done work for Catalyst in relation
11	to Arcan at tha	t ti	me?
12		Α.	I I don't know how he would have
13	known that. He	may	have seen the memo, but I don't
14	know what he kn	lew.	
15	189	Q.	Which memo do you think he saw?
16		Α.	The Catalyst memos I provided to
17	Mr. Dea.		
18	190	Q.	All right. So did he specifically
19	mention that yo	u ha	d done work for Catalyst in relation
2 0:	to Arcan in thi	s co	nversation that you had with him on
21	the 24th?		
22		Α.	He asked me if Arcan he asked me
23	what I was work	ing	on. I had mentioned Arcan. He
24	asked me if tha	t wa	s one of the companies from the
25	Catalyst memos.	I	said yes.

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et alPage 40MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015Page 40
1	191 Q. And what did Mr. Singh say to you
2	after you told him that that was one of the companies
3	that you had done work for while at Catalyst?
4	A. He told me to stop working on it.
5	192 Q. So Mr. Singh was concerned enough
6	that he immediately told you to stop work on that?
7	A. He told me to stop work on it.
8	193 Q. Was he concerned?
9	A. I don't know what his mental state
10	was.
11	194 Q. Did he say anything beyond stop
12	working on that?
13	A. No.
14	195 Q. So how long was this discussion
15	with Mr. Singh?
16	A. Not more than a few minutes.
17	196 Q. Was it in the hallway?
18	A. It was in an office. I don't know
19	whose, but it was off to the side.
20	197 Q. All right. And do you know what
21	else Mr. Singh may have done after speaking with you?
2.2	A. He may have gone to Tony Griffin
23	and told him that I can't work on it.
24	198 Q. How did you became aware of that?
25	A. I was never asked to do any more

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 41
1	work on it by Tony, so I don't know for sure.
2	199 Q. So you are just assuming that he
3	may have gone to Mr. Griffin?
4	A. I'm assuming that because
5	Mr. Griffin never asked me anything about Arcan after
6	that day.
7	200 Q. Mr. Griffin never had any other
8	conversation with you?
9	A. He did not.
10	201 Q. Okay. So you are not you were
11	not told by Mr. Singh that he had spoken to
12	Mr. Griffin?
13	A. No.
14	202 Q. So there was nothing further you
15	heard in relation to this matter after Mr. Singh told
16	you to stop working on any any memo that you were
17	preparing in relation to Arcan?
18	A. Not at all.
19	203 Q. And that I take it, given that
20	that occurred not 24 hours after you started to work at
21	West Face, that that would have been something that you
22	took note of at the time?
23	A. Yes.
24	204 Q. Right. General counsel, after
25	having sat you down before you started working at West

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 42
1	Face, now sits you down again and says don't do work on
2	this specific matter, right?
3	A. Yes.
4	205 Q. Must have been significant, in your
5	mind?
6	A. I remember the meeting, yes.
7	206 Q. Well, if you remember the meeting,
8	why didn't you say anything about it in your previous
9	affidavits?
10	MR. CENTA: Objection. The affidavit is
11	prepared in response to the matters that were put in
12	issue on the prior motion.
13	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay.
14	MR. CENTA: And they have been responded
15	to on your cross-examination here arising from
16	Mr. Griffin's affidavit that he filed in response to
17	this motion.
18	BY MR. DIPUCCHIO:
19	207 Q. Okay. Would you agree with me,
2 0	Mr. Moyse, that you have never made mention of the work
21	you did on Arcan on behalf of West Face, ever?
22	A. I was not asked to do this work at
23	West Face, but I have not mentioned it.
24	208 Q. Ever?
25	A. Ever.

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 43
1	209 Q. Including in your affidavit in
2	April of this year?
3	A. I did not mention it.
4	210 Q. Right. And the only reason, we now
5	know, that you did work on a company that you had
6	analyzed while at Catalyst is because it was disclosed
7	by Mr. Griffin in his most recent affidavit?
8	MR. CENTA: Objection.
9	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay.
10	MR. CENTA: West Face has offered to
11	provide you with full documentary production through
12	the ISS. Through that process, you would have obtained
13	any relevant documents, including all of Mr. Moyse's
14	documents. There was no attempt to hide anything.
15	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
16	211 Q. Okay. Well, not sure about that,
17	Counsel, but would you agree with me, Mr. Moyse, that
18	you never made that offer? You never offered to
19	disclose any of this?
20	MR. CENTA: Objection. Those documents
21	are not under his possession and control as an
22	employee, and West Face was dealing with that.
23	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
24	Q. We are not talking about documents,
25	Counsel. I'm talking about you never disclosed or

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 44
1	offered to disclose the fact that you had done work for
2	West Face on Arcan?
3	A. I did not.
4	213 Q. In fact, Mr. Moyse, when I
5	cross-examined you on July 31st of 2014 and I asked
6	you specifically what you worked at while you were at
7	West Face this is an exhibit to your affidavit,
8	Exhibit L.
9	A. Yes.
10	Q. Do you agree with me can we
11	agree on this: That when I cross-examined you, I gave
12	you the opportunity to tell me what you did while at
13	West Face, right?
14	A. I was not working on Arcan for West
15	Face.
16	215 Q. Okay. Just listen to me. When I
17	cross-examined you, I specifically gave you the
18	opportunity to tell me what you worked at while you
19	were at West Face.
2'0	MR. CENTA: Objection. Counsel, there
21	is an exchange between counsel where it's agreed that
22	the names of the companies are not going to be
23	described. Right? At question 796, as I see
24	Mr. Mitchell says:
25	"We're getting into territory maybe

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 45
1	it would be preferable if you could
2	identify or ask Mr. Moyse whether he
3	worked on any specific engagements of
4	concern."
5	And the company names are not used
6	throughout the rest of the balance of the questions and
7	answers.
8	MR. DiPUCCHIO: No, I understand, but
9	before that, Counsel. You have indicated what comes
10	at 796.
11	At 794, I asked you specifically what
12	did you work on while were you at West Face, right?
13	A. Yes.
14	216 Q. And your answer to that was "not
15	much", right?
16	A. That's right.
17	Q. You didn't tell me about Arcan.
18	A. I was not working on Arcan. I was
19	researching the transaction question in case I was
2:0	asked to work on it.
21	Q. So you don't consider that work?
2.2	A. I consider that part of the
23	doing a lot of research on my own.
24	Q. And were you paid while you were at
25	West Face?

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Page 46

1	A. Yes.
2	220 Q. All right. But you don't consider
3	that work you did for West Face? Is that the
4	distinction you are drawing?
5	A. I was preparing in case I was asked
6	to work on it.
7	221 Q. Just let me ask it to you again so
8	we have it for the record.
9	Is the distinction you are drawing for
10	the Court that while you were at West Face the work you
11	did on Arcan was not work for West Face? Is that what
12	you are saying?
13	A. I was paid during my time at West
14	Face.
15	222 Q. That's not what I asked you. I
16	said is the distinction you are drawing for the Court
17	now that the work you did on Arcan while you were
18	employed at West Face was not work for West Face? Is
19	that what you want to tell the Court? Yes or no,
20	Mr. Moyse?
21	A. No.
22	Q. Okay. So it is work for West Face?
23	A. It was in preparation if I needed
24	to do work for West Face on it.
25	224 Q. Okay. And, notwithstanding that,

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 47 1 when I asked you what work you did while you were at 2 West Face, you didn't tell me about Arcan; you said 3 "not much". 4 Α. Because it wasn't very much. It was a few hours of time. 5 225 6 0. But you didn't tell me about Arcan. 7 The significant issue that had arisen the very first 8 day that you worked at West Face. You didn't tell me 9 about it. Right? 10 Α. No. 11 226 Did you become aware that West Face Ô. 12 took a position in Arcan? 13 I don't remember. Α. I became aware 14 as a result of Mr. Griffin's transaction -- or 15 Mr. Griffin's affidavit. 16 227 That's the first time you became 0. 17 aware that West Face took a position in Arcan? 18 Α. I don't remember. 19 228 Ο. Mr. Moyse, come on. Are you 20 telling me that you don't know the positions that West 21 Face took while you were employed there? 22 No. Α. 23 229 So you were never privy to any kind 0. 24 of disclosure while at West Face as to investments they 25 made while you were employed there?

neesons

A. No. Some, but not all. I	couldn't
have known if they had made one.	
230 Q. Some, but not all?	
A. Yes.	
231 Q. Not this one?	
A. I don't remember.	
232 Q. We can close up Exhibit L,	
Mr. Moyse.	
When I cross-examined you back i	n July,
do you remember acknowledging to me, Mr. Moyse,	that
you had deleted files from your computer in Mar	ch,
April, and May?	
A. Yes.	
233 Q. And do you remember you	
acknowledged to me in the course of that examin	ation
that the only way to determine what you may hav	e
deleted was to have someone examine your comput	er?
A. Yes.	
234 Q. And you knew, I take it, wh	en I was
cross-examining you on July 31st, the purpose f	or
which Catalyst was seeking an ISS?	
A. I thought I had an understa	nding of
it, yeah.	
235 Q. Right. And when I was	
cross-examining you, I take it you and I can ag	ree that
	<ul> <li>230 Q. Some, but not all?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>231 Q. Not this one?</li> <li>A. I don't remember.</li> <li>232 Q. We can close up Exhibit L,</li> <li>Mr. Moyse.</li> <li>When I cross-examined you back in</li> <li>do you remember acknowledging to me, Mr. Moyse,</li> <li>you had deleted files from your computer in Mark</li> <li>April, and May?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>233 Q. And do you remember you</li> <li>acknowledged to me in the course of that examine</li> <li>that the only way to determine what you may have</li> <li>deleted was to have someone examine your computer</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>234 Q. And you knew, I take it, whic cross-examining you on July 31st, the purpose for</li> <li>which Catalyst was seeking an ISS?</li> <li>A. I thought I had an understage</li> <li>it, yeah.</li> </ul>

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et alPage 49MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015Page 49		
1	you didn't tell me that you had deleted certain things		
2	from your computer in June?		
3	A. I don't know what I deleted in		
4	June. Did I delete?		
5	236 Q. Well, don't you understand that you		
6	have said in your affidavit that you deleted certain		
7	things from your computer in June?		
8	A. Yes.		
9	237 Q. All right. So I take it that we		
1.0	can agree that, while you told me in your		
11	cross-examination that you had deleted certain things		
12	from your computer in April March, April, and May,		
13	you never told me that you deleted anything from your		
14	computer in June?		
15	A. Sorry, where do I say I deleted		
16	things in June?		
17	238 Q. You don't. You mean in your		
18	affidavit now?		
19	A. I thought you just told me I said		
20	that.		
21	Q. Well, don't you understand that you		
2.2	have sworn an affidavit that says you deleted your		
2:3	at minimum, your browsing history?		
24	A. Yes, I agree with that, yes, yes.		
25	Q. Okay. So you deleted certain		

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al       MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015       Page 50
1	things from your computer in June?
2	A. Yes.
°3°	MR. CENTA: Just
4	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Does it say July? I
5	apologize.
6	MR. CENTA: Yes. I think that may be
7	the confusion.
8	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
9	241 Q. Okay. I apologize. That's my
10	mistake. I apologize, Mr. Moyse. In July?
11	A. Yes.
12	242 Q. In mid-July or whenever it was,
13	right?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Okay. So all my questions still
16	stand. Do you acknowledge that you never told me in
17	the cross-examination that you have deleted things from
18	your computer in July?
19	A. Nothing I deleted from my computer
20	I believe was relevant.
21	244 Q. I understand the argument you are
22	making, but can you and I acknowledge that nothing you
23	told me indicated that you had deleted anything from
24	your computer in July?
25	A. Correct.

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 51		
1	245 Q. Right? Because the position you		
2	were taking back then was, even the things you admitted		
3	to me that you deleted in March, April, and May, you		
4	were taking the position those weren't relevant either.		
5	Do you remember?		
6	A. I don't remember if that's the		
7	position I took.		
8	Q. Okay. But you say you didn't tell		
9	me anything about what you had deleted in July because		
10	your position was it wasn't relevant?		
11	A. Correct.		
12	Q. And you were told that an		
13	undertaking had been given on your behalf to preserve		
14	relevant documents, right?		
15	A. Yes.		
16	Q. You understood that your counsel		
17	had given that undertaking?		
18	A. Yes.		
19	Q. And in your affidavit, you make a		
2.0	big deal about the fact that that related to relevant		
21	documents, right?		
22	A. Yes.		
23	250 Q. And how did you have a concept of		
24	what was relevant?		
25	A. I read the order closely, and it		

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 52 1 was very clear to me what would be relevant. 2 251 Q. Okay. How? How did you determine 3 that? 4 Α. I know what -- what's personal and 5 what's not. 252 All right. So you made a 6 0. 7 determination legally what was relevant in the 8 proceeding? 9 I made a determination because it Α. 10 was very clear to me. 11 253 Okay. But you are not listening to Ο. 12 You made a determination as to what was legally me. 13 relevant in this proceeding? 14 Α. Yes. 254 15 Q. Okay. Did you understand from the 16 allegations that had been made up to that point in time 17 that your use of Dropbox was something that was 18 relevant? 19 Α. Yes. 20 255 Ο. All right. And that's, what, 21 something that you do through -- online -- is it online 22 access? 23 Α. There's an app. There's an 24 online -- there's a way to access it online. I don't 25 remember how I access my Dropbox.

	The Catalyst Capital Group In MOYSE, BRANDON on May	11, 2015	Page 5
1	256	Q.	Do you go through the Internet?
2		A	Maybe. There's also apps for it.
3	257	Q.	Maybe? Have you not used Dropbox
4	for many, many	years	?
5		Α.	There's an app, so I don't know if
6	I used the app	or if	I used the Internet.
7	258	Q.	All right. But have you used the
8	Internet to acc	ess D	ropbox from time to time?
9		Α.	From time to time.
LO	259	Q.	Right. Would that appear in your
.1	browsing histor	y?	
2		Α.	I don't know.
L3	260	Q.	Is it possible it would have?
L4		Α.	Possible.
L5	261	Q.	Right. And when you did your
6	Internet search	es th	at you described in regards to what
L 7	it would take t	o del	ete your browsing history, right?
.8		Α.	Yes.
9	262	Q.	Those searches that you performed
20	in order to get	that	information would have been
21	retained in you	r bro	wsing history, right?
22		Α.	I don't know for sure.
3	263	Q.	Well, if you Google search
4	something, righ	t? W	hich is likely what you did,
5	correct?		

neesons

	Α.	
	А.	It might be, yeah.
264	Q.	Well, do you remember?
	Α.	I don't know.
2,65	Q.	All right. You don't know?
	А.	I don't know what searches what
individual sea	rches	would have been retained.
266	Q.	Well, tell me the searches you did.
	А.	I don't remember the exact
searches.		
267	Q.	How did you get this information?
	Α.	I started searching on Google for
ways to delete	your	Internet browsing history.
268	Q.	Oh, so it was Google?
	А.	Yeah, but I don't know if those
searches would	have	been retained. I don't know how
the retention w	works	•.
269	Q.	I'm not asking about retention
right now; I'm	aski	ng you what searches you did. Tell
me, what did ye	ou typ	pe in Google?
	А.	I don't remember exactly. Whatever
related to del	eting	your Internet browser history.
270	Q.	All right. So one of the searches
you would have	type	d is how to delete your browsing
history?		
	А.	Correct.
	<pre>individual sea 266 searches. 267 ways to delete 268 searches would the retention v 269 right now; I'm me, what did y related to dele 270 you would have</pre>	A. individual searches 266 Q. A. searches. 267 Q. A. ways to delete your 268 Q. A. searches would have the retention works 269 Q. right now; I'm askin me, what did you type A. related to deleting 270 Q. you would have type history?

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 55
1	271 Q. Right? So if you had typed in "How
2	to delete files", that would have been, presumably, a
3	Google search as well?
4	A. I didn't type that.
5	272 Q. Right. But would that have been a
6	Google search? You would have likely used Google for
7	that?
8	A. If I had searched for that.
9	273 Q. Right. And you say you didn't type
10	that?
11	A. Right.
12	Q. But we will never know that,
13	because you deleted your browsing history, right?
14	MR. CENTA: Objection. That contains in
15	it the very thing he wouldn't agree with, because he
16	doesn't know if typing things into Google search engine
17	would have been saved in the browsing history.
18	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
19	275 Q. Okay. So what about what would
20	have happened once you type things into Google? So,
21	for example, you type in "How to delete a browsing
2.2	history", right?
23	A. Yes.
24	276 Q. Does that take you to a website?
2.5	A. It takes me to a page of hits,

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 56
1	search hits.
2	277 Q. And then what do you do with search
3	hits? Does the search hits give you any information?
4	A. Sometimes there's information.
5	278 Q. Right in the search hits?
6	A. Sometimes there's a brief summary,
7	yeah.
8	279 Q. Okay. Did you access websites?
9	A. Probably did, but I do not remember
10	what I accessed.
11	280 Q. Okay. Well, how did you figure out
12	how to do things?
13	A. I search for it and I read I
14	would have read what was available to me.
15	281 Q. Likely on websites?
16	A. Likely.
17	282 Q. Okay. And the history of the
18	websites that you visited that day or those days, do
19	you have any understanding of whether those would have
2:0:	been retained through your browsing history?
21	A. They probably would have been.
22	283 Q. Right. And all of those have been
23	deleted by you?
24	A. I don't know.
25	284 Q. You don't know?

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group I MOYSE, BRANDON on May	nc. v. Bra v 11, 2015	andon Moyse et al Page 57 Page 57
1		А.	I don't know. I don't know if they
2	have been dele	ted.	
3	285	Q.	Do you think they still exist?
4		A.	I don't know.
5	286	Q.	Did you use software to try to
6	delete them?		
7		Α.	I tried to delete them, yes.
8	287	Q.	Okay. So you made an attempt to
9	delete those?		
10		Α.	Yes.
11	288	Q.	And if your attempt was successful,
12	as we think it	was,	we now don't have any history?
13		Α.	No.
14	289	Q.	Right. So what you may have been
15	doing over the	cour	se of and what was it, one day,
16	multiple days?	How	many times did you do these
17	searches?		
18		Α.	I don't remember.
19	290	Q.	Okay. So whatever you may have
20	been doing ove	r the	course of however many days you
21	were doing it a	now t]	hat you can't recall, we will never
22	know. Right?		
23		Α.	I don't know. I don't know if you
24	can find my bro	owser	history.
2.5	291	Q.	Okay. But let's assume for the

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 58
1	moment that, whatever you did, you were successful at
2	doing.
3	A. Okay.
4	292 Q. All right? Which was your goal,
5	right?
6	A. It was my goal to delete my
7	Internet browsing history.
8	293 Q. Your goal was to be successful in
9	deleting your browsing history, right?
10	A. Yes.
11	294 Q. So you say. So if you were
12	successful, then you will agree with me we have no way
13	now of verifying what it was you were doing over the
14	course of the day or multiple days that you were doing
15	this research, right?
16	A. Right.
17	295 Q. And also, if I'm correct that your
18	Dropbox, your history of accessing Dropbox, was
19	retained in your browsing history, you would also have
20	been successful in deleting that, right?
21	A. I don't know what the browser
22	history shows when you access Dropbox.
23	296 Q. Okay. And we will never know that
24	now, will we?
25	A. I access my Dropbox through a

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et alPage 59MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015Page 59
1	variety of other means.
2	297 Q. Okay. But we will never know that
3	now, will we?
4	A. I thought no, we will not know
5	what I accessed through my browser.
6	298 Q. Because what you deleted when you
7	deleted your browsing history wasn't selective, was it?
8	A. No.
9	299 Q. You deleted your entire browsing
10	history. Or you attempted to delete your entire
11	browsing history, right?
12	A. Yes.
13	300 Q. And you made the determination on
14	your own, Mr. Moyse, that your browsing history was
15	irrelevant to this proceeding?
16	A. It was clear to me that my personal
17	Internet browsing history was not relevant.
18	301 Q. Well, it may have been clear to
19	you, but you made that determination yourself, right?
20	A. Yes.
21	302 Q. You certainly didn't tell your
2.2	counsel you were going to do it?
23	A. I did not.
24	303 Q. Right. And you didn't tell us,
25	that's for sure.

neesons

· ·. .

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al
MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Page 60

1	A. No.
2	304 Q. Just let me review the events of
3	April sorry, July 16th with you, because that was
4	the date upon which the order was made by Justice
5	Firestone, right?
6	A. Right.
7	305 Q. And certainly you recall being
8	there that morning, right, Mr. Moyse?
9	A. I was there.
10	306 Q. Okay. And you were there with your
11	counsel, right?
12	A. Yes.
13	307 Q. And do you remember that court
14	started at 10 a.m. that day?
15	A. Sounds right.
16	308 Q. And there were some submissions
17	initially, and then Justice Firestone took a break to
18	read some materials. Do you remember that?
19	A. I do.
20	309 Q. Okay. And do you remember that,
21	after Mr. Justice Firestone took a break to review some
2.2	materials, he returned. Do you remember that?
23	A. I do.
24	310 Q. And then there was another break
25	while there were dates being discussed for the

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 61 1 Do you recall all of that? interlocutory motion. 2 Α. There were a lot of breaks. 311 3 0. Okay. And around 11:30 or so, do 4 you remember the parties meeting in the hallway to discuss the terms of the interim -- the terms upon 5 which the interim motion could be resolved on consent? 6 7 Do you remember that? 8 Α. I don't remember the time, but --312 You remember discussions in the 9 Ö. 10 hallway? 11 Yes. Α. 12 313 Q. And all of those discussions 13 ultimately led to the interim order that was made by Justice Firestone, right? 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 314 And that all took place, I take it 0. 17 you will agree with me, between 10 a.m. and 1 p.m. on 18 July 16th? 19 Α. Sure. 20 315 0. And before the motion commenced, or 21 before ten o'clock on July 16th, you knew what the 22 motion was all about, right? 23 Α. Yes. 24 316 So you knew that Catalyst was **Q** . 25 looking to have the Court order that a forensic image

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 62
1	be made of your personal devices?
2	A. Yes.
3	317 Q. Including your computer?
4	A. Yes.
5	318 Q. And you knew as a result of that
6	that one of the potential outcomes of the motion would
7	be that a forensic image would be taken of your
8	devices, right?
9	A. Yes.
10	319 Q. And you also knew that the
11	relief part of the relief that Catalyst was seeking
12	on its motion was for the appointment of an ISS?
13	A. Yes.
14	320 Q. And what you understood from that,
15	I take it, that was that that meant that someone
16	independent could possibly review this forensic image
17	that was being taken from your computer?
18	A. I knew that somebody would review
19	it. I wasn't sure of the process or protocol.
20	321 Q. Okay. You never had any
21	understanding of what an ISS was?
2.2	A. No.
23	322 Q. That's not something you discussed
24	with your counsel?
25	A. No.

neesons

.

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Page 63

	MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 63
1	323 Q. Okay. And you say in your
2	affidavit in April of this year I'll take you to the
3	portion of it that you were aware this is
4	paragraph 37 you were aware for a number of days
5	before the court appearance on July 16th that it was
6	possible that your personal computer would have to be
7	turned over to be reviewed for documents relevant to
8	this matter, right?
9	A. Yes.
10	324 Q. So that was something you knew well
11	before we appeared in court on July 16th, right?
12	A. Yes.
13	325 Q. And I take it the fact that you
14	were aware of this a number of days prior to the Court
15	appearance led to the concern you had which you
16	subsequently describe: That personal information might
17	be captured in this process?
18	A. Yes.
19	326 Q. And, sir, would you agree with me
20	that, notwithstanding this concern that was in the back
21	of your mind for a number of days prior to July 16th
22	leading up to our appearance before Justice Firestone,
23	you never articulated that concern to anybody?
24	A. I did not.
25	327 Q. Nor did you articulate to anybody

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 64 1 in the days leading up to July 16th or, indeed, on the 2 morning of July 16th while we were all there in court 3 before Justice Firestone, that you had purchased 4 software two times that would deal with this concern 5 that you allegedly had? I did not, because didn't think 6 Α. 7 that my personal Internet browsing history was in any 8 way relevant. 9 328 Ô. Okav. But you were concerned about 10 it, right? 11 Α. Yes. 12 329 Q. All right. Despite the fact that you say you were concerned about it to the point where 13 14 you actually purchased two pieces of software to deal 15 with it, you never articulated that concern to anybody? 16 Α. I did not. 17 330 0. You didn't even articulate it to 18 your own counsel, right? 19 Α. I did not. 20 331 Q. You didn't articulate it to us 21 while we were having discussions with respect to the 22 order, right? 23 Α. I did not. 24 332 And you certainly didn't articulate 0. 25 it to the Court?

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 65
1	A. I did not.
2	333 Q. And one of the pieces of software
3	that you purchased, the Advanced System Optimizer, you
4	purchased the very morning that we appeared in court,
5	right?
×6,	A. Yes.
7	334 Q. And you say that that piece of
8	software you were purchasing because you wanted to
9	improve the performance of your computer?
10	A. Yes.
11	335 Q. And it was entirely coincidental
12	that you purchased that piece of software the very
13	morning we appeared in court where we were discussing a
14	potential order to have your computer forensically
15	imaged. Is that what you're telling the Court?
16	A. I don't know if you want to call it
17	a coincidence.
18	336 Q. What do you mean you don't know
19	whether I want to call it coincidence? Is it a
20	coincidence?
21	A. In the sense that two separate
2.2	things happened, yes.
2.3	Q. Was it the only reason you
24	purchased that software, to optimize your system?
25	A. Yes.

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Page 66

1	338 Q. And that was just purely
2	coincidental that the day you are appearing in court on
3	this very matter where you were concerned that a
4	forensic image might be taken of your computer that the
5	only thing you were thinking about that morning was
6	that you had to buy software to optimize the
7	performance of your computer?
8	A. I don't know if that's the only
9	thing I was thinking that morning.
10	Q. But it was so important to you to
11	optimize the performance of your computer on the
12	morning that you were appearing in court that you had
13	to actually go out and buy software for that purpose?
14	A. It was easy to buy the software.
15	340 Q. Is that is that something you do
16	on the morning that you appear in court?
17	A. I don't know. I don't know. I
18	don't regularly appear in court.
19	Q. Well, that's my point. I would
2.0	have taken as a fact that someone who doesn't regularly
21	appear in court would have been more concerned about
22	what was happening in court that day than about
23	purchasing tools to optimize his computer performance.
24	A. I don't know.
25	Q. So that's all coincidental is what

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 67
1	you are saying to the Court in this?
2	MR. CENTA: Counsel, he has given you
3	his answer on that.
4	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
5	343 Q. Okay. What was it that you were
6	concerned about, your computer was running slowly?
7	A. It was.
8	344 Q. All right. Well, what were you
9	concerned about from a performance perspective?
10	A. It was running slowly.
11	345 Q. All right. And that's why you
12	purchased this software?
13	A. Yes.
14	346 Q. Okay. And when it was since you
15	were so concerned about the performance of your
16	computer on the morning of July 16th, so concerned that
17	you purchased this software the day you're appearing in
18	court, why didn't you optimize your performance of your
19	system?
20	A. Sorry, I don't know what you mean.
21	Q. Why didn't you subsequently
22	optimize the performance of your system on July 16th?
23	A. I bought the program.
2.4	Q. Okay. But it was so important for
2.5	you to buy this program the very morning you're

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015	Page 68
1	1 appearing in court. Why didn't you optimiz	ze the
2	2 performance of your system on July 16th?	
3	3 A. I didn't have time.	
4	4 349 Q. You didn't have time?	
5	5 A. Before I went to court.	<u>i</u>
6	6 350 Q. No, but at any point or	July 16th?
7	7 A. I don't know.	
8	8 351 Q. Did you ever optimize y	our system?
9	9 A. Yes.	
10	0 352 Q. When?	
11	1 A. I don't remember for su	ire.
12	2 353 Q. Well, when? Was it bef	ore you
13	3 turned your computer over to your counsel?	
14	A. I don't remember.	
15	5 354 Q. You have no memory of t	hat?
16	6 A. I know that I used the	tool several
17	7 times, so I can't remember when, specifical	ly.
18	8 355 Q. You can't assist the Co	ourt with
19	9 whether that happened during that five-day	window?
20	0 A. I don't remember.	
21	1 356 Q. And this order well,	first of
22	2 all, at paragraph 40, you say:	
23	3 "I was also concerned that	the
24	4 irrelevant information on th	ne images
25	5 would somehow become part of	the public

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 69
1	record through this litigation. At that
2	point, it was not clear to me what would
3	happen to the images."
4	Right?
5	A. Yes.
6	357 Q. Do you see that?
7	A. Yes.
8	358 Q. You're saying that it wasn't clear
9	to you what would happen to the images notwithstanding
10	that you understood that the process that was being
11	proposed was an ISS process?
12	A. I sorry, can you repeat the
13	question.
14	Q. Yes. You are saying in your
15	affidavit that it was not clear to you what would
16	happen to the images, which would include irrelevant
17	personal information, right?
18	A. Yes.
19	360 Q. Notwithstanding that you knew at
20	the time that what was being proposed was an ISS?
21	A. I knew the word "ISS". I didn't
22	know what any of that would entail.
23	361 Q. And you are saying you never had
24	any conversations with your counsel that would have
2 5	assisted you in your understanding?

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 70
1	A. I tried to, but they didn't
2	weren't able to provide me with any answers.
3	362 Q. Okay.
4	MR. CENTA: Counsel, please go off the
5	record for a second.
6	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Yes.
7	OFF THE RECORD
8	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
9	363 Q. So you understood, Mr. Moyse, I
10	take it, that the simple taking of the forensic image
11	didn't mean that Catalyst had access to the forensic
12	image?
13	A. I wasn't sure how or who would take
14	the image.
15	364 Q. Okay. Well, you read Mr
16	A. Sorry.
17	365 Q. Go ahead.
18	A. Somebody had taken the image; I
19	wasn't sure what would happen to it afterwards.
20	366 Q. All right. And I think you just
21	told me that you tried to seek information from your
2.2	counsel relevant to the question of the ISS, right, or
23	the process that would be followed, if you want to put
24	it more broadly?
25	A. Yes, yes.

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 71
1	Q. And you didn't get that
2	information?
3	A. They were not sure how the process
4	would unfold.
5	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay. So because I
6	think, in fairness, the affidavit has put your client's
7	state of mind in issue, Counsel, during this period of
8	time, I am going to ask for the communications between
9	Mr. Moyse and his counsel looking for a time frame
10	that we can limit this to, but certainly before the
11	date that he actually brought his computer in for the
12	purpose of forensic imaging relevant to this question
13	of the relief that was being sought on the motion.
14	U/A MR. CENTA: I don't think my client has
15	put his state of mind in issue in a way that he's
16	relying on the legal advice that he received. We will
17	take it under advisement.
18	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay. I will wait for
19	your position on it.
20	MR. CENTA: Yes.
21	MR. DiPUCCHIO: My position on it is
22	that obviously he has said in his affidavit that he was
23	concerned about certain things or wasn't aware of
24	certain things, so to the point to the extent he
25	says that in his affidavit, I'm saying he put his state

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 72 1 of mind in issue. 2 BY MR. DiPUCCHIO: 368 3 And, Mr. Moyse, you've read, as you Ο. 4 say, Mr. Justice Firestone's order very carefully, 5 right? 6 Α. I did. 7 369 0. So you understood that that order 8 required you to turn over your personal devices to your 9 counsel? 10 Α. Yes. 11 370 And you understood, I take it, from **Ø**. 12 the very terms of that order that your counsel was to 13 hold the forensic image in trust? 14 Α. Yes. 15 371 Sorry, I'm just looking here. Q. I don't know if it's in trust. 16 Α. 17 372 Just going to look at it Q. Sorry. 18 here. Yes. Do you see paragraph 7 of the order, 19 page 109 of the record? 20 Α. Yes. 21 373 Q. Right? 22 Α. I do. 23 374 So they were to hold that Q. Okay. forensic image in trust, right? 24 25 Α. Yes.

	The Catalyst Capital Group MOYSE, BRANDON on Ma	ay 11, 201	andon Moyse et al Page 73
1	375	Q.	Your own counsel?
2		Α.	Yes.
3	376	Q.	So you understood, I take it, that
4	that forensic	image	e, by the terms of Mr. Justice
5	Firestone's o	rder,	was not going to be provided to
6	Catalyst at t	hat t	ime?
7		Α.	Not at that time.
8	377	Q.	Right. It was to be held in trust
9	by your couns	el, r	ight?
10		Α.	Yes.
11	378	Q.	And whatever happened to it would
12	be the subjec	t of s	some future order, potentially,
13	right?		
14		Α.	Yes.
15	379	Q.,.	And whatever concerns you may have
16	had with resp	ect to	o personal information could have
17	been dealt wi	th in	some future order?
18		А.	I don't know.
19	380	Q.	You don't know that?
20		Α.	I I don't know.
21	381	Q.	Okay. And you never bothered to
2.2	ask your coun	sel tł	nat question?
23		Α.	I did not.
24	382	Q.	And what you did instead,
25	Mr. Moyse, wa	s you	made a unilateral decision not to

neesons

,**z**.:

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 74 1 turn over your devices to your counsel? 2 Α. I recall turning my devices over to 3 my counsel. 4 383 When did you turn the devices over Q. 5 to your counsel? I don't remember the exact date. 6 Α. 7 It was several days later. 8 384 0. Okay. Did your counsel ever 9 actually have your device in their possession without 10 your being there? 11 Α. Yes. 12 385 When did that happen? Ο. 13 Α. When I turned it over. 14 386 What happened once you turned it 0. 15 over? 16 Α. Turned it over, I left it with 17 them. 18 387 Yes. Q. 19 I believe they were having an image Α. 20 And then I came back. taken. 21 388 Ο. Okay. And was that all the same 22 day? 23 Α. I think so. 24 389 So you went to their office. 0. T 25 want to understand how this happened. You went to

	MOYSE, BRANDON or	n May 11, 20	15 Page 7
1	their office	e, right	t?
2		Α.	Yes.
3	390	Q.	And that was five days after the
4	order was ma	ade?	
5		Α.	I yes, yes.
6	391	Q.	Okay. So you waited five days?
7		Α.	I don't remember the circumstances
8	of the five	days.	
9	392	Q.	But you waited five days?
0		Α.	They took the image five days
1	later.		
2	393	Q.	Okay. Did you ever turn the device
3	over to your	counse	el prior to that visit to your
4	counsel's of	fice?	
5		Α.	I don't remember. I don't think
6	so.		
7	394	Q.	All right. And when you went to
8	their office	e, what	happened? You visit their office at
9	what time?		
0		Α.	It was in the morning.
1	395	Q.	Okay. And there's an expert there?
2		Α.	Yes.
3	396	Q.	Okay. And then you give the device
4	to the expen	t?	
5		Α.	I just left it there.

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital G MOYSE, BRANDON of	n May 11, 201	andon Moyse et al Page 76
1	397	Q •	And the expert did whatever the
2	expert was	doing wi	ith it?
3		Α.	I don't know what he did, but he
4	did.		
5	398	Q.	How long did that take?
6		Α.	Several hours, from what I
7	remember.		
8	399	Q.	And in the meantime, you did what?
9		Α.	I don't remember. I wasn't there.
10	400	Q	Okay. Then you come back?
11		Α.	I came back.
12	401	Q	And took your computer?
13		Α.	Yes.
14	402	Q.	So other than that period of time
15	during whic	h your c	device was being imaged
16		Α.	I'm sorry, when we say "computer",
17	I mean my p	hone and	l my iPad.
18	403	Q.	Your personal devices?
19		Ά.	Yes.
20	404	Q.	So other than that period of time
21	where your	devices	were being imaged by the expert,
22	right, you	never ac	ctually turned your device over to
23	your counse	1?	
24		Α.	I turned it over that morning.
25	405	Q.	Right. Only during the period of
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

neesons

, **'** 

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 77
1	time that it was being imaged, right?
2	A. Yes.
3	406 Q. Okay. And prior to having the
4	image taken on the morning of July whatever it
5	was 21st, I guess, you never told your counsel or
6	the expert who was taking the forensic image of your
7	device that you had altered your personal device? Just
.8	answer the question. Did you ever tell your counsel
9	A. I did not tell them I did not
10	tell them that I deleted my personal Internet browsing
11	history.
12	407 Q. And if it was an innocent act,
13	Mr. Moyse, why wouldn't you have told them?
14	A. Because it didn't occur to me
15	that I did not believe at all that that was relevant
16	in any way to this litigation.
17	408 Q. Right. So why wouldn't you have
18	had an open discussion with your counsel and the expert
19	to say, just so you know, I've altered this device by
20	taking off materials that weren't relevant, in my
21	opinion?
22	MR. CENTA: Objection. You have asked
23	the question about what he said. I don't think you can
24	ask him why he didn't tell his lawyer something.
25	MR. DiPUCCHIO: I can't ask him why he

	MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 78
1	would have taken a certain action or not taken
2	MR. CENTA: You are asking for a
3	privileged answer.
4	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Oh, okay, sorry. You
5	are claiming privilege over this part of it?
6	MR. CENTA: Yes.
7	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay. Well, again, I'm
8	going to extend my questions to this area, as you
9	know
10	MR. CENTA: I understand.
11	MR. DiPUCCHIO: and I understand you
12	are taking it under advisement.
13	U/A MR. CENTA: Yes.
14	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
15	409 Q. And, Mr. Moyse, when you swore an
16	affidavit of documents, do you recall swearing an
17	affidavit of documents that listed out the documents
18	that were on your personal device?
19	A. Yes.
20	410 Q. That affidavit was sworn the day
21	after you took your personal devices to your counsel
22	for the purposes of the taking of the forensic image,
23	right?
24	A. Yes.
25	411 Q. And nobody, I take it, had a list

neesons

ų,

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v: Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 79 1 of what existed on your computer prior to July 22nd? 2 Α. No. 3 412 Now, you say in your affidavit that 0. what you deleted was your browsing history only, and 4 5 specifically, you say that the concern at that time was 6 that there was some embarrassing information in that 7 browsing history; is that fair? 8 Α. Personally embarrassing, yes. 9 413 And what is the embarrassing Ö. It wasn't clear to me what it was. 10 information? 11 It would have been certain of the Α. 12 activities I use my browser for, such as adult 13 entertainment websites. 14 414 Q. Is that the embarrassing part of 15 it? 16 It's personally embarrassing, yes. Α. 17 415 Q. Is the gambling website the part --18 embarrassing as well? 19 No, not so embarrassing. Α. 20 416 Q. Well, because it was mentioned in 21 your affidavit. 2.2 Α. It was mentioned as one of the 23 uses, but I say that the adult entertainment websites 24 were personally embarrassing. 25 417 Okay. And is that what motivated 0.

	The Catalyst Capital Group MOYSE, BRANDON on May	y 11, 201	Page 80
1	you to delete	your 1	browsing history, the adult
2	entertainment	websi	tes?
3		А.	Yes.
4	418	Q.	Which ones were you visiting?
5		А.	I don't know.
6	419	Q.	You don't remember?
7		Α.	Certain websites.
8	420	Q.	Which ones?
9		Α.	Do you want a listing?
10	421	Q.	Yes. Give me one, two, three.
11		Α.	Red Tube.
12	422	Q.	Red Tube?
13		Α.	Sure.
14	423	Q.	Anything else? You're thinking
15	long and hard	about	this.
16		Α.	Well, it is personally embarrassing
17	still.		
18	424	Q.	Right. But you can't tell me off
19	the top of your head?		
2'0		Α.	XTube would be another.
21	425	Q.	Okay. Anything else?
2.2		Α.	There are several. I can't
23	remember exact	ly what	at I visit. There's not a
24	426	Q.	All right.
25		Α.	list.

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 81
1	427 Q. And it's this reference to Red Tube
2	and XTube in your browsing history that was so
3	embarrassing to you that you felt you had to delete
4	those prior to giving your device over to your counsel?
5	A. I'm telling you, I can't remember
6	exactly what I visited.
7	428 Q. Okay. Is there anything that was
8	embarrassing?
9	A. No.
10	429 Q. Only your visit to those websites
11	and whatever other websites?
12	A. Yes.
13	430 Q. Was any of it illegal?
14	A. No.
15	431 Q. And you say in your affidavit that,
16	prior to your actually deleting what you say you
17	deleted, you had done some researching. We have
18	discussed some of that, right?
19	A. Yes.
2:0:	432 Q. And do you remember the date on
21	which you actually did the deletions? Was it just
22	before you delivered your computer?
23	A. I don't remember for sure. I'd
24	have to double-check, but I don't remember for sure.
2.5	433 Q. And the web searches you say you

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 82
1	did, you actually you must have done some, what,
2	fairly comprehensive research on how to delete your web
3	browsing history?
4	A. I don't remember how comprehensive
5	it was.
6	434 Q. All right. And whatever web
7	research you did pointed you to deleting your registry?
8	A. It pointed me towards using a
9	registry cleaner.
10	435 Q. Okay. So tell me what it is you
11	learned through your research.
12	A. I learned that it was my belief
13	that simply clearing your Internet browsing history
14	through the browsing tool is not sufficient, and one
15	should clear the history and then run a registry
16	cleaner.
17	436 Q. So you could have, I take it, used
18	the tool that was built within your browser to clear
19	your browsing history? That was an option available?
20	A. But I don't know if that was
21	sufficient for permanent deletion.
22	437 Q. No, I'm saying to you you were
23	aware that there was a tool within your browser itself
24	that allowed you to delete browsing history, right?
25	A. Yes.

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al
MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Page 83

1	438 Q. Okay. And what you were trying to
2	do was find out whether use of that tool would have
3	been sufficient to hide your browsing history from the
4	expert that was going to be taking the forensic image?
5	A. Correct.
6	439 Q. Okay. So as a result of the
7	concern about whether that could withstand a forensic
8	examination, you then went ahead and did some further
9	Internet research?
10	A. Sorry, whether what could withstand
11	a forensic investigation?
12	440 Q. Simply using the built-in tool?
13	A. I was searching for a way to make
14	sure that my Internet browsing history was deleted.
15	441 Q. Right. And I guess I'm just trying
16	to understand how this all happened.
17	A. Okay.
18	442 Q. You knew at the time that you could
19	delete your browsing history through a built-in tool in
20	your browser?
21	A. Yes.
22	443 Q. But I take it you had a concern at
23	the time that simply using that tool would not have
24	been sufficient to hide that from whomever was taking
25	the forensic image of your drive?

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 84
1	A. I was curious whether or not it
2	would be sufficient.
3	444 Q. Okay. So you were curious whether
4	that would be sufficient?
5	A. Yes.
6	445 Q. Did you actually delete your
7	browsing history before you did the research?
8	A. Sorry, what do you mean before I
9	446 Q. Did you delete your browser history
10	and then determine, well, I wonder if that is going to
11	be enough; I'd better figure that out?
12	A. I didn't determine whether or not
13	it would be enough.
14	447 Q. Okay.
15	A. I don't know how one goes about
16	recovering browser history.
17	448 Q. All right. But bear with me while
18	I try to work through this, okay?
19	A. Okay.
2 0	449 Q. Did you delete your browser history
21	and then figure out is that enough or did you go ahead
22	and do this research before you took any steps
23	whatsoever?
24	A. No, I did I went ahead and did
2.5	the research.

	The Catalyst Cap MOYSE, BRAND	bital Group Inc. v. Br DON on May 11, 201	andon Moyse et al 5	Page 85
1	450	Q.	Before you took any steps?	
2		Α.	Yes.	
3	451	Q.	Okay. So before you did the	
4	research	, your brow	ser history was still there,	intact?
5		Α.	I don't know.	
6	452	Q.	You didn't delete it? You d	idn't
7	take any	steps to d	lelete it?	-
8		А.	I didn't delete it as a mean	s to
9	testing	this, no.		
10	453	Q.	Okay. So then you do this	
11	research	. Tell me	what you find in the research	•,
12		А.	I can't remember exactly, but	t
13	whatever	it was poi	nted me in the direction of u	sing
14	the regi	stry cleane	er,	
15	454	Q.	Okay. Then there must have b	oeen
16	further	research yo	ou did with respect to which re	egistry
17	cleaner	you should	buy.	
18		А.	Yes.	
19	455	Q.	All right. And do you rememb	ber
20	that at	all?		
21		Α.	No.	
2.2	456	Q.	Okay. And how did you come	to
23	decide o	n the regis	try cleaner that you did buy?	
2 4		Α.	I don't remember.	
25	457	Q.	Okay. And we know through the	ne

neesons

•

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 86 1 report of the ISS that you ultimately purchased a 2 registry cleaner? 3 Α. Yes. 4 458 And that happened on July 12th? 0. 5 Ά. Yes. 459 6 Q. And notwithstanding that you had 7 purchased a registry cleaner on July 12th, you didn't 8 go ahead and use it on July 12th, did you? 9 I don't remember. If they are Α. 10 saying I didn't, then I didn't, but I don't remember. 11 460 You don't remember? Ο. 12 Α. No. 13 461 You don't remember having used the Q. 14 registry cleaner prior to appearing in court on 15 the 16th, do you? 16 Α. I don't remember, no. 17 462 Q. And after you bought this registry 18 cleaner -- I think we have already covered this, but 19 you certainly didn't inform anybody that you had bought 20 a registry cleaner? 21 Α. No. 22 463 Ο. Is it possible that you didn't use 23 the registry cleaner on July 12th because you were 24 awaiting the outcome of the motion on the 16th? 25 I don't know. Α.

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et	al
MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015	

1	464 Q. Z	nd then the morning and we have
2		and chieft chief motifiting and we have
2	covered this as well.	But then on the morning of
3	July 16th, you downlo	ad Advanced System Optimizer?
4	A. 3	es.
5	465 Q. P	nd that's the software that, I
6	take it, you understa	nd includes the secure delete
7	function?	
8	A. []	understand that now.
9	466 Q. W	ell, you understood it at some
10	point after you bough	t the Advanced System Optimizer,
11	right? Not just now,	you understood it at some point
12	after you bought the	software?
13	A. Y	eah, but I don't I didn't
14	remember until I was	told I had used it or clicked
15	on it, rather.	
16	467 Q.F	ight. Because you say in your
17	affidavit that you sp	ent some time reviewing the tools
18	that were available v	nder Advanced System Optimizer,
19	right?	
2 0	A. 1	don't know how much time. It was
21	probably just clickin	g on tabs. It was not much time.
22	468 Q. C	kay. But do you remember when
23	that happened?	
24	A. 1	don't.
25	469 Q. D	o you remember when you would have

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 88 1 taken an interest in Advanced System Optimizer? The 2 functionality of it? 3 I do not remember, but I am told it Α. 4 is on July 20th is when I opened the program. 5 470 That, you have been told as 0. Okay. a result of the affidavits that have been filed by the 6 7 experts in this proceeding? 8 Α. Yes. 9 471 Ö. Okay. But you don't have a 10 recollection of that? 11 Α. Not exactly the time or date, but 12 I -- I know I opened it. 13 472 So was this another Ο. Okav. 14 coincidence, that you just happened to be noodling 15 around the various functions of Advanced System 16 Optimizer the day before you were scheduled to go to 17 your lawyer's office to turn over your computer? 18 Α. I don't know. It's a coincidence. 19 473 It is a coincidence, is what you're ο. 20 saving. It wasn't related at all to the fact that you 21 were visiting your lawyer the next day. That's your 22 evidence? 23 No, it was not. Α. 24 474 It was not related? Q. 25 Α. No.

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al
MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Page 89

	MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 89
1	475 Q. And when you were noodling around
2	the functionality of Advanced System Optimizer, because
3	we know on the morning of July 16th you were concerned
4	enough about the performance of your system that you
5	purchased the software, you say, and when you finally
6	started to noodle around in the program itself to
7	determine its functionality on July 20th, you still
8	didn't optimize your system on July 20th?
9	A. I don't I don't remember.
10	476 Q. You don't remember? Whether you
11	optimized your system on July 20?
12	A. Like I said, I used the tool
13	several times. I don't remember the exact times and
14	dates I would have used the tool.
15	477 Q. Okay. You could have used the tool
16	after you got the computer back?
17	A. Yes, and I have.
18	478 Q. And you have?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. But you don't recall whether you
21	optimized your system during the period between
2.2	July 16th and July 20th?
23	A. I don't recall.
24	480 Q. Okay. And is there some reason why
25	you would be fiddling around to determine the

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 90 1 functionality of the program on July 20th and then 2 determine that you are not going to use the program? Α. I don't -- I don't know. 3 4 481 0. You don't know? 5 Α. No. 482 And you know now, Mr. Moyse, that 6 Q. 7 there is a secure delete folder that exists on the 8 forensic image that was created of your drive, right? 9 Α. Yes. 10 483 Ö. And in your affidavit, you state 11 that you have no explanation for why that folder 12 exists, right? 13 Α. T do not. 14 Counsel, I see it's 11:25. MR. CENTA: 15 MR. DiPUCCHIO: Do you want to take a 16 break? 17 MR. CENTA: Can you keep an eye out for 18 a good time? 19 MR. DiPUCCHIO: Yes, that's fine. 20 -- RECESS AT 11:25 --21 -- RESUMING AT 11:38 --22 BY MR. DiPUCCHIO: 23 484 Mr. Moyse, just a few more Q. 24 questions on this issue of the deletion of what you say 25 was the browsing history on your computer.

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et alPage 91MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015Page 91
1	Do you recall, Mr. Moyse, that prior to
2	this time frame we're talking now the July 16th to
3	July 21st time frame do you recall there being
4	some concern about the fact that you had wiped your
5	BlackBerry?
6	A. That was a concern Catalyst had
7	raised.
8	485 Q. Right. And that was a concern
9	Catalyst had raised when it became obvious to them that
10	you had deleted your BlackBerry prior to turning it
11	back in to Catalyst, right?
12	A. Yes.
13	486 Q. And that was the subject of
14	evidence both in Mr. Riley's affidavit and in your
15	affidavits prior to July 16th. Do you remember that?
16	A. Yes.
17	487 Q. So you were aware as of July 16th
18	that deleting material from your devices was creating
19	some concern on the part of Catalyst to the point where
2.0	it had been raised in the motion?
21	A. I thought Catalyst's concern was
2.2	because it was a Catalyst device.
23	488 Q. Okay. But you were aware that
24	having deleted material from one of your devices had
25	concern in this very proceeding prior to July 16th?

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 92
1	A. Yes.
2	489 Q. And let me just recap, if I could,
3	some of the evidence that you have given thus far.
4	So, Mr. Moyse, you acknowledge and have
5	acknowledged I think that sending the March 27th
6	e-mail with the investment memos to Mr. Dea was a
7	mistake on your part?
8	A. I do.
9	490 Q. And you also acknowledge I think in
10	your affidavit of April 15th is it April 15th?
11	April 2nd, I apologize of this year, that you
12	deleted that e-mail once you sent it. You acknowledged
13	it earlier, but you acknowledge it again in your
14	affidavit in April, right?
15	A. Yes.
16	491 Q. And you acknowledge that your
1.7	having deleted that e-mail was a mistake? You have
18	some issue with acknowledging it's a mistake or are you
19	just simply trying to confirm that you said that in
2 0	your affidavit?
21	A. Can you just point me to it?
22	492 Q. Sure. I can point you to it.
23	MR. CENTA: It's the last sentence in
24	paragraph 30, I think, Counsel.
25	

neesons

9 talked about your having wiped your BlackBerry device, 10 right, that was issued by Catalyst to you? 11 A. Yes. 12 496 Q. Do you acknowledge that that 13 created concerns? 14 A. I do. 15 497 Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it 16 would have been wise for you not to have done that? 17 A. I don't know what would have 18 happened. 19 498 Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that 20 it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have 21 done that?		The Catalyst Capital Group In MOYSE, BRANDON on May 2	nc. v. Brandon Moyse et al 11, 2015 Page 93
3       is. Page 10.         4       A. Yes.         5       494       Q. Okay. So now having read it, do         6       you acknowledge that it was a mistake?         7       A. Yes.         8       495       Q. Okay. And you and I have also jus         9       talked about your having wiped your BlackBerry device,         10       right, that was issued by Catalyst to you?         11       A. Yes.         12       496       Q. Do you acknowledge that that         13       created concerns?         14       A. I do.         15       497       Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it         would have been wise for you not to have done that?       A. I don't know what would have         18       happened.       9         19       498       Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that         20       A. There may have been another way to         21       A. There may have been another way to         23       deal with it.	1		BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
4       A. Yes.         5       494       Q. Okay. So now having read it, do         6       you acknowledge that it was a mistake?         7       A. Yes.         8       495       Q. Okay. And you and I have also jus         9       talked about your having wiped your BlackBerry device,         10       right, that was issued by Catalyst to you?         11       A. Yes.         12       496       Q. Do you acknowledge that that         13       created concerns?         14       A. I do.         15       497       Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it         16       would have been wise for you not to have done that?         17       A. I don't know what would have         18       happened.         19       498       Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that         20       It perhaps would have been wise for you not to have         21       A. There may have been another way to         22       A. There may have been another way to         23       deal with it.	2	493	Q. You are probably right. Yes, it
5       494       Q. Okay. So now having read it, do         6       you acknowledge that it was a mistake?         7       A. Yes.         8       495       Q. Okay. And you and I have also just         9       talked about your having wiped your BlackBerry device,         10       right, that was issued by Catalyst to you?         11       A. Yes.         12       496       Q. Do you acknowledge that that         13       created concerns?         14       A. I do.         15       497       Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it         would have been wise for you not to have done that?       A. I don't know what would have         18       happened.       I         19       498       Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that         20       A. There may have been another way to         21       A. There may have been another way to         23       deal with it.	3	is. Page 10.	
6       you acknowledge that it was a mistake?         7       A. Yes.         8       495       Q. Okay. And you and I have also just         9       talked about your having wiped your BlackBerry device,         10       right, that was issued by Catalyst to you?         11       A. Yes.         12       496       Q. Do you acknowledge that that         13       created concerns?         14       A. I do.         15       497       Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it         16       would have been wise for you not to have done that?         17       A. I don't know what would have         18       happened.         19       498       Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that         20       A. There may have been another way to         21       A. There may have been another way to         23       deal with it.	4		A. Yes.
7       A. Yes.         8       495       Q. Okay. And you and I have also just         9       talked about your having wiped your BlackBerry device,         10       right, that was issued by Catalyst to you?         11       A. Yes.         12       496       Q. Do you acknowledge that that         13       created concerns?         14       A. I do.         15       497       Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it         16       would have been wise for you not to have done that?         17       A. I do.'t         18       happened.         19       498       Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that         20       it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have         21       A. There may have been another way to         23       deal with it.	5	494	Q. Okay. So now having read it, do
8       495       Q. Okay. And you and I have also just talked about your having wiped your BlackBerry device, right, that was issued by Catalyst to you?         10       right, that was issued by Catalyst to you?         11       A. Yes.         12       496       Q. Do you acknowledge that that         13       created concerns?         14       A. I do.         15       497       Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it would have been wise for you not to have done that?         16       would have been wise for you not to have done that?         17       A. I don't know what would have         18       happened.         19       498       Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that         20       I perhaps would have been wise for you not to have         21       A. There may have been another way to done that?         23       deal with it.	6	you acknowledge	that it was a mistake?
9 talked about your having wiped your BlackBerry device, 10 right, that was issued by Catalyst to you? 11 A. Yes. 12 496 Q. Do you acknowledge that that 13 created concerns? 14 A. I do. 15 497 Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it 16 would have been wise for you not to have done that? 17 A. I don't know what would have 18 happened. 19 498 Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that 20 it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have 21 done that? 22 A. There may have been another way to 23 deal with it.	7		A. Yes.
10 right, that was issued by Catalyst to you? 11 A. Yes. 12 496 Q. Do you acknowledge that that 13 created concerns? 14 A. I do. 15 497 Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it 16 would have been wise for you not to have done that? 17 A. I don't know what would have 18 happened. 19 498 Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that 20 it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have 21 done that? 22 A. There may have been another way to 23 deal with it.	8	495	Q. Okay. And you and I have also just
11       A. Yes.         12       496       Q. Do you acknowledge that that         13       created concerns?         14       A. I do,         15       497       Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it         16       would have been wise for you not to have done that?         17       A. I don't know what would have         18       happened.         19       498       Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that         20       it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have         21       done that?         22       A. There may have been another way to         23       deal with it.	9	talked about yo	ur having wiped your BlackBerry device,
12       496       Q. Do you acknowledge that that         13       created concerns?         14       A. I do.         15       497       Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it         16       would have been wise for you not to have done that?         17       A. I don't know what would have         18       happened.         19       498       Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that         20       it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have         21       done that?         22       A. There may have been another way to         23       deal with it.	10	right, that was	issued by Catalyst to you?
13       created concerns?         14       A. I do.         15       497       Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it         16       would have been wise for you not to have done that?         17       A. I don't know what would have         18       happened.         19       498       Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that         20       it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have         21       done that?         22       A. There may have been another way to         23       deal with it.	11		A. Yes.
14       A. I do.         15       497       Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it         16       would have been wise for you not to have done that?         17       A. I don't know what would have         18       happened.         19       498       Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that         20       it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have         21       done that?         22       A. There may have been another way to         23       deal with it.	12	496	Q. Do you acknowledge that that
<ul> <li>497 Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it would have been wise for you not to have done that?</li> <li>A. I don't know what would have</li> <li>happened.</li> <li>498 Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that</li> <li>it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have</li> <li>done that?</li> <li>A. There may have been another way to</li> <li>deal with it.</li> </ul>	13	created concern	s?
16 would have been wise for you not to have done that? 17 A. I don't know what would have 18 happened. 19 498 Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that 20 it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have 21 done that? 22 A. There may have been another way to 23 deal with it.	14		A. I do.
17       A. I don't know what would have         18       happened.         19       498       Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that         20       it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have         21       done that?         22       A. There may have been another way to         23       deal with it.	15	497	Q. Do you acknowledge that perhaps it
18 happened. 19 498 Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that 20 it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have 21 done that? 22 A. There may have been another way to 23 deal with it.	16	would have been	wise for you not to have done that?
19       498       Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that         20       it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have         21       done that?         22       A. There may have been another way to         23       deal with it.	17		A. I don't know what would have
20 it perhaps would have been wise for you not to have 21 done that? 22 A. There may have been another way to 23 deal with it.	18	happened.	
21 done that? 22 A. There may have been another way to 23 deal with it.	19	498	Q. Okay. But do you acknowledge that
22A. There may have been another way to23deal with it.	20	it perhaps would	d have been wise for you not to have
23 deal with it.	21	done that?	
	22		A. There may have been another way to
24 499 Q. Okay. A better way than simply	23	deal with it.	
	2.4	499	Q. Okay. A better way than simply
25 wiping the entire thing and then returning it to	25	wiping the enti	re thing and then returning it to

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 94
1	Catalyst?
2	A. I don't know what would have
3	happened, but there may have been another way.
4	500 Q. All right. And you and I, I think,
5	can agree that you've acknowledged that you made a
6	mistake in paragraph 71 of your original affidavit
7	where you indicated that there was no reason to believe
8	that any confidential information had been transferred
9	from your Catalyst computer to your personal device,
10	right?
11	A. Yes.
12	501 Q. That was a mistake, right?
13	A. I realized later I was mistaken,
14	yes.
15	502 Q. Yes. That was a mistake?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And would you acknowledge now that
18	it was perhaps a mistake for you not to have mentioned
19	the fact that you had done work for West Face on Arcan?
20	A. I was researching a situation on my
21	own in preparation for if I was asked to do work on it.
22	504 Q. Do you acknowledge, now that I'm
23	giving you the opportunity to acknowledge it, that it
24	was perhaps a mistake for you not to have mentioned
25	that in your affidavit material?

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et alPage 95MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015Page 95
1	A. I could have mentioned it.
2	505 Q. And was it a mistake for you not to
3	have done so?
4	A. I don't know. I could have
5	there could have been a way to address it.
6	506 Q. Okay. And apart from mentioning it
7	in your affidavit, do you acknowledge, perhaps, that it
8	was a mistake in judgement on your part to have even
9	done anything in relation to Arcan while you were at
10	West Face?
11	A. I don't I didn't view the
12	situation in which Arcan was involved to have any
13	bearing on the work I had done at Catalyst.
14	507 Q. And Mr. Singh apparently disagreed
15	with you?
16	R/F MR. CENTA: Objection.
17	BY MR. DIPUCCHIO:
18	508 Q. Well, do you know whether
19	Mr. Singh
2.0	MR. CENTA: You're asking for
21	speculation about what was in Mr. Singh's mind as
22	opposed to what Mr. Singh told him on a particular day
23	in the context of the litigation that was unfolding
24	with your client and West Face.
25	MR. DiPUCCHIO: All right. Let me ask

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 96
1	it a different way, Counsel.
2	MR. CENTA: Sure.
3	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
4	509 Q. Mr. Singh, at the very least, told
5	you to stop working on that?
6	A. He did.
7	510 Q. The very day he found out that you
8	were working on it?
9	A. Yes.
10	511 Q. Do you acknowledge that it well,
11	your affidavit, I gather, doesn't acknowledge that you
12	made any mistake whatsoever in having altered the state
13	of your computer prior to turning it over to your
14	counsel?
15	A. It does not say that in the
16	affidavit.
17	512 Q. Right. So you have no remorse in
18	terms of having deleted something from your computer
19	prior to turning it over to your counsel?
20	A. I was very careful with how I
21	maintained my computer following the order.
22	513 Q. Right. So when I
23	A. And I was confident that what I was
24	deleting was personal history not relevant to the
25	litigation.

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 97
1	514 Q. And, therefore, you have absolutely
2	no remorse in terms of having done so?
3	A. It would make this matter less
4	difficult, but I don't believe what I did was wrong.
5	515 Q. Do you acknowledge it was a mistake
6	in judgement?
7	A. It I could have addressed it
8	another way.
9	516 Q. By being up front about it?
10	A. Maybe. I don't know.
11	517 Q. And articulating to the Court that
12	you had a legitimate concern in your mind?
13	A. That would be one thing I could
14	have done.
15	518 Q. Right. You could have been up
16	front with the Court, right?
17	A. I don't know if that would have
18	been the first thing I would have done.
19	519 Q. Well, but that's one of the
20	alternatives that was available to you was to have been
21	up front with the Court?
22	A. Yes, I could have done that.
23	520 Q. Right. And do you acknowledge that
24	it was a mistake for you not to have done that?
25	A. I don't believe what I did was

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et alPage 98MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015Page 98
1	wrong in terms of deleting my personal Internet
2	browsing history.
3	521 Q. Okay. So what you are saying
4	and I just want to have your evidence for this, okay?
5	So what you are saying is, at this point in time, you
6	do not have any remorse for what you did between the
7	period of July 16th and July 21st?
8	A. I'm sorry that I turned a personal
9	issue into a complicated legal one.
10	522 Q. For everybody? Right? Because,
11	had you dealt with it up front, we wouldn't be facing
12	this issue right now?
13	A. I don't know. I don't know what
14	would have happened.
15	523 Q. So what you did was you simply made
16	your own decision to delete something, and you never
17	offered anybody the opportunity to make any submissions
18	to the Court in relation to that, right? You simply
19	took matters into your own hand?
20	A. Yes. I was confident what I was
21	doing was not contrary to the order.
22	524 Q. And did you also unilaterally
23	determine that you could wait five days before turning
24	over your computer?
25	A. I don't remember.

L 525 Q. You don't rememb	per whether that was
the subject of any discussion?	
A. I don't remember	. I would not have
withheld my computer, but I don't re	emember the
circumstances of it being five days.	
5 526 Q. So what you are	saying is that if
your counsel had said you've got to	turn it over as of
today, i.e., July 16th, you would ha	we done that?
A. Yes.	
527 Q. Would you have d	leleted your
browsing history before you did it?	
A. I don't know.	
3 528 Q. So was it the fa	act that there was
this period of time in between your	turning over your
device and the device being forensic	cally imaged that
5 you determined that you should be de	eleting information
on your device? Was it the delay th	at occasioned that?
A. I did it during	that delay. I'm
not quite sure what you are asking.	
) 529 Q. Well, I'm asking	y you if someone had
said to you you've got to give us yo	our device now
pursuant to the order, would you have	ve had that
opportunity?	
A. I don't think I	would have.
530 Q. During the time	you were at
3 1 5 5 7 7 3 3 1 5 7 3 1 5 7 7 3 3 1 5 7 7 3 3 1 5 7 7 7 3 3 1 5 7 7 7 7 3 3 1 1 5 5 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	A. I don't remember withheld my computer, but I don't re- circumstances of it being five days. 526 Q. So what you are your counsel had said you've got to today, i.e., July 16th, you would have A. Yes. 527 Q. Would you have of browsing history before you did it? A. I don't know. 528 Q. So was it the far this period of time in between your device and the device being forension you determined that you should be de on your device? Was it the delay the A. I did it during not quite sure what you are asking. 529 Q. Well, I'm asking. 529 Q. Well, I'm asking said to you you've got to give us you pursuant to the order, would you hav opportunity? A. I don't think I

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 100
1	Catalyst, Mr. Moyse, we know you had a company-issued
2	BlackBerry. We have just talked about it, right?
3	A. Yes.
4	531 Q. Did you also have a personal phone
5	that you used?
6	A. I did.
7	532 Q. What was that, a mobile device or
8	was it a home phone?
9	A. It was a mobile device.
10	533 Q. Did you have a home phone as well
11	or just a mobile device?
12	A. I have a home phone now. I'm not
13	sure when we got it. I can't remember.
14	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
15	534 Q. Okay. Would you let me know when
16	you got your home phone?
17	U/A MR. CENTA: We will take that under
18	advisement.
19	BY MR. DIPUCCHIO:
20	535 Q. And when you started work at West
21	Face, did they issue you a company phone as well?
2.2	A. They did not.
23	536 Q. They did not?
24	A. No.
25	537 Q. So you continued to use your

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 101
1	personal phone from the time you left Catalyst to
2	present?
3	A. There's a segregated program on my
4	phone to deal with West Face matters. You can't move
5	it between that program and your personal part of your
6	phone.
7	538 Q. Okay. I'm not speaking right now
8	of the data portion of it.
9	A. Okay.
10	539 Q. I'm speaking specifically of your
11	phone.
12	A. No.
13	540 Q. So you use only your own personal
14	phone now for the purpose of making phone calls?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. So any phone calls you would have
17	made to anybody from June, 2014, onwards would have
18	been made exclusively on your own personal device, or
19	perhaps your home phone, if you had had your home phone
20	by that time? Is that fair?
21	A. Yes.
2.2	542 Q. And prior to that time, between
23	March and June of 2014, any calls you would have made
24	to anybody might have been made either on your cell
25	phone issued to you by Catalyst or on your personal

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 102 1 phone? 2 Α. Almost exclusively on the cell 3 phone issued by Catalyst. Okay. 4 543 0. And you have alleged in this 5 proceeding -- well, let me ask you directly. From the 6 time that the injunction was issued that prohibited you 7 from working at Catalyst, right, have you had any 8 contact at all with anybody at West Face? 9 I have. Α. What's been the nature of that 10 544 Q. 11 contact? 12 Α. I'm sorry, just give me the time 13 frame again? 14 545 I knew you would ask me that. 0. The 15 injunction was granted -- was it the July 16th order? 16 Okay. From July 16th onwards, you haven't worked 17 physically at West Face, right? 18 Α. That's correct. 19 546 Q. Have you worked for them at all? 20 Α. No. 21 And my question is, from that date 547 Q. 22 onwards, have you had any communications with anybody 23 at West Face? 24 Α. Yes. 25 548 What have been the nature of those Q.

	The Catalyst Capital MOYSE, BRANDON	Group Inc. v. Bra I on May 11, 2015	ndon Moyse et al 5	Page 103
1	communicat	ions?		
2		Α.	HR administrative matters, like	2
3	clearing c	ompliance	trades.	
4	549	Q.	And is that the only communicat	ion
5	you have h	ad with a	nybody at West Face?	
6		Α.	And I believe I had lunch with	one
7	junior emp	loyee the:	re.	
8	550	Q.	Okay. And when was that?	
9		Α.	I can't remember exactly.	
1.0	551	Q.	Okay. Who was it that you had	
11	lunch with	?		
12		Α.	His name is Peter Brimm, B-R-I-	М-М.
13	552	Q.	What role does he play at West	
14	Face?			
15		Α.	He is no longer there, to my	
16	knowledge.			
17	553	Q.	Did you have lunch with him bef	ore
18	or after h	e left?		
19		А.	Before.	
2:0:	554	Q.	Do you know when he left?	
21		Α.	Recently, but I don't know exac	tly
22	when.			
23	555	Q.	Other than that lunch you say y	ou
24	had and th	ese contac	ts intermittently with people i	n
25	the HR dep	artment or	the administrative/compliance	

neesons

ly L the
an't
en't
en't
ask
est
none
say

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 105
1	March 1st, 2014, to present.
2	U/A MR. CENTA: Take that under advisement.
3	MR. DiPUCCHIO: And you'll take that
4	under advisement, Counsel. And I don't necessarily
5	need disclosure of every call he has made, Counsel. If
6	you are inclined to produce those, you could redact
7	them and include only those phone calls that were made
8	to people at West Face.
9,	MR. CENTA: When we formulate our
10	position, perhaps I will give you a call and we'll see
11	if we can resolve it on that basis.
12	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Fair enough.
13	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
14	561 Q. And, Mr. Moyse, you have just said
15	to me that you haven't done any work for West Face
16	since July 16th, 2014, right?
17	A. That's correct.
18	Q. And that includes not having gone
19	back to work at West Face after the period of the
2:0	injunction expired?
21	A. That's correct.
22	563 Q. And why is it that you haven't
23	returned to work at West Face after that time?
24	R/F MR. CENTA: That's been subject of the
25	discussions among counsel.

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 106
1	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay.
2	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
3	Q. And I'm going to ask a few more
4	questions in relation to that.
5	So is there an agreement that exists
6	between you and West Face right now in relation to your
7	role at West Face?
8	U/A MR. CENTA: We'll take that under
9	advisement.
10	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
11	565 Q. I would like production of that
12	agreement in whatever form it exists, Counsel. I
13	understand you're probably going to take that under
14	advisement as well.
15	U/A MR. CENTA: Same response.
16	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay. And just for the
17	purposes of putting it on the record, because you may
18	ask me later on why I think that's relevant.
19	MR. CENTA: Sure.
20	MR. DiPUCCHIO: It's because the nature
21	of that agreement may have something to do with whether
22	he has a motivation to tell the truth or give evidence
23	in favour of West Face in this matter, okay?
24	MR. CENTA: I understand your position.
25	

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 107
1	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
2	566 Q. I take it you agree, though, West
3	Face continues to pay you throughout this time frame?
4	A. They do.
5	567 Q. Even though you are not working
6	now?
7	A. Yes.
8	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
9	568 Q. This question was asked previously,
10	Counsel, so I will direct it to you this time around.
11	Is there an indemnity agreement that
12	exists between West Face and Mr. Moyse with respect to
13	his legal fees in this matter?
14	U/A MR. CENTA: We will take that question
15	under advisement.
16	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
17	569 Q. Okay. And if you answer that
18	question and it turns out there is, I would like to
19	have production of that agreement.
2.0	U/T MR. CENTA: I understand.
21	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
22	570 Q. Mr. Moyse, you were at Catalyst at
23	the time that Catalyst was in the process of taking
24	Callidus public in March or April of 2014, right?
25	A. I was there, yes.

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 108 1 571 And is it fair to say that, at the Ο. time that you were there, that Catalyst and Callidus 2 3 shared office space? 4 Α. They did. 5 572 0. And that was on the 43rd floor of 6 the Royal Trust Tower? 7 À. I think it's called the North Tower 8 now. 9 573 Okay. But what we know as the Q. 10 Royal Trust Tower, right? 11 Α. Yes. 77 King Street West. 12 574 Okay. And I take you didn't work Q. 13 on the IPO directly? 14 Α. I didn't work on it at all. 15 575 Ο. Okay. But you certainly were occupying the same office space as people who were 16 17 working on the IPO, right? 18 They were on one side of the Α. 19 office, I was on the other. 20 576 There was no physical separation Q. 21 between them, was there? 22 Α. No. 23 577 Okay. And you have given evidence 0. 24 previously that certainly it was not unusual for you to 25 work late in the office?

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2	Brandon Moyse et al Page 109 Page 109
1	А.	Correct.
2	578 Q.	Would that include times when you
3	were alone in the	office?
4	А.	Sometimes.
5	579 Q.	And I think you have testified
6	previously that it	was not at all unusual for you to
7	actually look at c	locuments relating to transactions for
8	companies that you	a had no formal role with respect to?
9	А.	Documents Catalyst documents on
10	Catalyst file syst	ems, yes.
11	580 Q.	Yes.
12	A.	Yes
13	581 Q.	And you had no absolutely no role
14	with respect to so	ome of the companies or transactions
15	that you were revi	.ewing?
16	Α.	Yes.
17	.582 Q.	And you did that, I think you
18	testified previous	ly, simply as a matter of personal
19	curiosity?	
2.0	А.	That's right.
21	583 Q.	With respect to what Catalyst was
2.2	doing?	
23	А.	Had done.
24	584 Q.	Had done or was doing, I take it?
25	А.	No.

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 110
1	585 Q. It was all historical?
2	A. To my knowledge, to my memory.
3	586 Q. Okay. And you have said you had no
4	access at all to any Callidus information.
5	A. Correct.
6	587 Q. But you refer in your affidavit to
7	a certain discussion that occurred with someone at
8	Callidus, right?
9	A. Yes. I I had a brief discussion
10	with Lorne Morein.
11	588 Q. Okay. So it must have been
12	there must have been some access that you had to some
13	information related to Callidus?
14	A. No.
15	589 Q. Okay. So let's go to your
16	affidavit on this, because I actually found it slightly
17	confusing, so perhaps you can clarify for me.
18	A. Sure.
19	590 Q. You say that Mr. Riley is almost
2 0	entirely correct
211	MR. MILNE-SMITH: What paragraph,
2.2	Counsel?
23	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Sorry. Paragraph 52.
24	BY MR. DIPUCCHIO:
25	591 Q. You say Mr. Riley is almost

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 111
1	entirely correct in stating that you, quote, had no
2	involvement with the operations of Callidus, right?
3	A. Correct.
4	592 Q. So aren't you saying there that, in
5	fact, you did have some involvement with the operations
6	of Callidus?
7	A. No. I had no involvement with the
8	operations. I was just trying to be complete here by
9	saying I had talked to Lorne Morein on this one
10	occasion.
11	593 Q. Okay. So but you have actually
12	pointed out in your affidavit you disagree with
13	Mr. Riley's statement that you had no involvement with
14	the operations of Callidus.
15	A. I had no involvement with
16	operations at Callidus.
17	594 Q. All right. So when you say
18	Mr. Riley is almost entirely correct in saying that,
19	what you are pointing out is this discussion that you
2.0	had by e-mail with Mr. Morein?
21	A. That is the sole exception.
22	595 Q. Okay. So what did you know of
23	Mr. Morein?
24	A. He worked at Callidus.
25	596 Q. Okay.

neesons

.

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 112
1	A. He was a junior employee there.
2	597 Q. All right. And you were e-mailing
3	him for information on a company called Discovery Air?
4	A. Correct.
5	598 Q. And you say that Discovery Air had
6	received loan funds from Callidus?
<b>7</b> .	A. Yes.
8	599 Q. So you knew that much?
9	A. I knew that through public
10	documents filed by Discovery Air.
11	600 Q. Okay. And why were you looking at
12	Discovery Air?
13	A. I was I suppose it was a
14	personal project based on the fact that Discovery Air's
15	bonds were trading at call them stressed levels.
16	601 Q. What do you mean a personal
17	project?
18	A. Nobody assigned it to me and I
19	never did any work on it, but I was just maybe going to
20	start looking at it myself.
21	602 Q. This is another thing that you were
2.2	taking initiative on?
23	A. Yes.
24	603 Q. For what reason?
2 5	A. I was bored with some of the other

neesons

-	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 113
1	work I was doing at Catalyst.
2	604 Q. So were you just taking it on
3	personal initiative to look up Discovery Air?
4	A. I had some free time. I thought it
5	might be productive. I didn't have time to go forward
6	with any work on it.
7	605 Q. How did you think it was going to
8	be productive?
9	A. I told you, they had bonds trading
<sup></sup> 1,0 <sup>-</sup>	at stressed levels.
11	606 Q. So what?
12	A. That might could potentially be
13	worthy of an investment.
14	607 Q. On whose part?
15	A. On Catalyst's part.
16	608 Q. But I thought you told me
17	previously that you had no role whatever in presenting
1.8	opportunities to Catalyst?
19	A. And I never did present any of
20	these personal project opportunities to anybody at
21	Catalyst.
2.2	609 Q. So this was something you were
2'3	doing notwithstanding your understanding at the time
24	that you had no role in presenting investment
25	opportunities to Catalyst?

-	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 114
1	A. I enjoy the work, I enjoy looking
2	at new investments whether or not Catalyst will listen
3	to anything I have to say.
4	610 Q. Okay. And one of these
5	investments, potential investments, that you were
6	looking at through your own initiative at this time
7	involved a company that had received loan funds from
8	Callidus?
9	A. Correct.
10	611 Q. And you knew that?
11	A. I knew that through the public
12	disclosures.
13	612 Q. And what were you seeking from
14	Mr. Morein?
15 4	A. I was like I said, I had just
16	started to look at Discovery Air. I was seeking if
17	they had any material that would help me gain some
18	information about the company generally.
19	613 Q. Which company, Discovery Air?
2.0	A. Discovery Air.
21	614 Q. And you were seeking information
22	from Callidus' files in relation to that?
23	A. I asked him if he I asked him
24	about it.
2·5	615 Q. And he actually sent you something?

	The Catalyst Capital G MOYSE, BRANDON o	п May 11, 20	15 Page 1	115
1		Α.	Correct.	
2	616	Q.	But you don't recall precisely what	
3	he sent you	?		
4		Α.	I remember it being very brief and	
5	unhelpful.			
6	617	Q.	Okay. And why was it unhelpful?	
7		Α.	Because it didn't have any	
8	information	that wo	ould help somebody learn about the	
9	company.			
10	618	Q.	All right. And so I take it	
11	whatever yo	u did w:	ith respect to Discovery Air would	
12	still exist	within	Catalyst's file system?	
13		Α.	I don't recall doing anything on	
14	Discovery A	ir. I	recall reading materials, but I don't	
15	recall comm	encing a	any work. If I did, it should be	
16	there.			
17	619	Q.	Okay. Including this e-mail,	
18	purportedly	, that w	was sent by Mr. Morein to you?	
19		Α.	It should.	
20	620	Q.	Would you have deleted that,	
21	potentially	?		
22		Α.	I don't think so.	
23	621	Q.	And other than that, you say you	
24	didn't know	anythir	ng about Callidus?	
25		Α.	Correct.	

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 116
1	622 Q. And we know that you discussed
2	Callidus with Mr. Dea in your initial interview with
3	Mr. Dea, right?
4	A. I think "discussion" is a bit of an
5	overstatement.
6	623 Q. Well, what would you call it?
7	A. It came up briefly in conversation.
8	624 Q. Well, I call that a discussion.
9	A. We didn't discuss it, because I had
10	nothing to add to it.
11	625 Q. What was it that was being
12	discussed?
13	A. At the time, Callidus it was
14	well known that Callidus was going public. It was a
15	topic of conversation. It was not surprising that it
16	would be a topic of conversation in the financial
17	world. It was a big story. I had nothing to say about
18	it, because I don't know anything about it.
19	626 Q. What was Mr. Dea asking you?
2°0	A. I don't remember if he was asking
21	me; it just came up in conversation.
2.2	627 Q. How did it come up? Tell me.
23	A. It was a big news story. It was
24	not uncommon for people in the financial world in
25	Toronto to be talking about it. I'm not sure how it

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 117
1	came up.
2	628 Q. And you are not sure what you told
3	him?
4	A. I didn't tell him anything, because
5	I didn't know anything.
6	629 Q. You sat there silent in the
7	conversation?
8	A. If it came up, I just said
9	630 Q. Well, not if it came up. We know
10	it came up.
11	A. Well, the only the extent of
12	what I could have added to the conversation was I don't
13	know anything about Callidus.
14	631 Q. Did you bring it up or did he bring
15	it up?
16	A. I probably brought it up.
17	632 Q. In what context? Since you weren't
18	doing anything in relation to it.
19	A. Shop talk, people are busy on it.
2 0	633 Q. And then he followed up, and then
21	from there on, notwithstanding you brought it up, you
2.2	said I don't know anything it; don't ask me anything
23	further?
24	A. Yeah. I just said people are busy
25	on it; I don't know anything about it.

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 118 634 1 Ο. And Mr. Dea followed up with Okav. 2 you, right? 3 Α. He did. 4 635 Q. It was enough of a conversation 5 that Mr. Dea actually elected to follow up with you in 6 relation to it? 7 I'm -- I can't remember it lasting Α. 8 more than 30 seconds of conversation. 9 636 Ö. Well, that 30 seconds of 10 conversation was something that Mr. Dea followed up 11 with you on? 12 Α. Yes. 13 637 Ο. And we have seen that in the e-mail 14 chain that precedes your sending the memos, right? 15 Α. Yes. 638 16 And Mr. Dea asks you specifically Ο. 17 what was the Cerberus entity that Callidus was modelled 18 after? 19 Right. Α. 20 639 Was that part of the discussion? Q. 21 I don't remember. Α. 22 640 Well, would Mr. Dea just be saving Ο. 23 that randomly? 24 I don't remember how it came up. Α. 25 641 Okay. And did you ever respond to 0.

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 119
1	Mr. Dea's e-mail?
2	A. I don't remember.
3	642 Q. Do you recall phoning him,
4	e-mailing him?
5	A. I would have e-mailed him, but I
6	don't I haven't seen it, so I don't remember. I
7	wouldn't have phoned him.
8	643 Q. Okay. Do you have a copy of that
9	e-mail?
10	A. I don't know.
11	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
12	644 Q. All right. Could you look for a
13	copy of the e-mail?
14	U/A MR. CENTA: We will take it under
15	advisement.
16	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Let me know if there is
17	a response.
18	MR. CENTA: Yes.
19	BY MR. DiPUCCHIO:
20	645 Q. Is it likely you would have
21	responded to him, given that you were trying to seek a
2.2	job from him at that point in time?
23	A. I think I would have.
24	646 Q. Okay. And if that e-mail doesn't
25	exist, is it because you would have deleted it?

	The Catalyst Capital Group MOYSE, BRANDON on Ma	Inc. v. Bra y 11, 201	andon Moyse et al 5 Page 120
1		А.	If it doesn't exist, yes, probably
2	because I woul	d hav	e deleted it.
3	647	Q.	Do you have a memory of deleting
4	that e-mail?		
5		Α.	No. Not that one specifically.
6	648	Q.	All right. Do you recall what the
7	nature of your	resp	onse was?
8		Α.	It was a single word.
9	649	Q.	What was it?
10		Α.	"Ableco."
11	650	Q.	"Ableco?"
12		Α.	Yes
13	651	Q.	And that was all that you responded
14	to Mr. Dea?		
15		Α.	Yeah.
16	652	Q.	And was there any
17		Α.	If if I did, yeah.
18	653	Q.	Okay. And was there any further
19	discussion wit	h Mr.	Dea or anybody at West Face, to
2.0	your recollect	ion,	about Callidus?
21		Α.	No.
22	654	Q.	So that was the last discussion you
23	ever had with	anybo	dy at West Face in relation to
24	Callidus?		
25		Α.	That was it.

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et alPage 121MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015Page 121
1	655 Q. And the fact that that discussion
2	occurred in your interview process was, again, just a
3	coincidence?
4	A. I said it was a major news story at
5	the time. Other people in the financial world were
6	talking about it.
7	656 Q. So it just happened to be
8	coincidental?
9	A. It in the sense that two
10	separate events happened, yes, but it was not it was
11	a common topic of conversation.
12	657 Q. And in the sense that West Face
13	subsequently took a short position in Callidus, that
14	was totally coincidental?
15	A. Yes.
16	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Give me one second.
17	Do you want to give us five minutes?
18	MR. CENTA: Sure.
19	RECESS AT 12:08
20	RESUMING AT 12:15
21	MR. DiPUCCHIO: All right. Subject to
2.2	the questions, Counsel, that have been taken under
23	advisement and any information that might result as it
24	relates to those questions, and subject to marking this
25	an exhibit, those are my questions for today. Thank

1	you, Mr. Moyse.
2	THE WITNESS: Thanks.
3	MR. CENTA: Counsel, I have some brief
4	re-examination.
5	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Okay. No problem.
6	RE-EXAMINATION BY MR. CENTA:
7	658 Q. Mr. Moyse, do you recall that
8	Mr. DiPucchio asked you some questions about the Arcan
9	transaction?
10	A. I do.
11	659 Q. And I'm showing you what has been
12	marked previously as Exhibit 14 to the affidavit of
13	Mr. Griffin, which is found in Volume 1 of 4 of the
14	responding motion record of the defendant West Face
15	Capital Inc.
16	Mr. Moyse, when did you first become
17	aware of the Arcan strategic transaction?
18	A. After it was announced.
19	660 Q. And when was it announced?
2:0	A. This press release is dated
21	June 23rd, 2014, at 4:22 in the afternoon, so at some
22	point after that, but that day.
23	661 Q. How did you become aware of that
24	transaction?
2.4	MR. DiPUCCHIO: I think I asked him that

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 123 1 I think I asked him that guestion, did I not? 2 question. I think his answer was he couldn't recall 3 how he had become aware. 4 MR. CENTA: Okay. 5 MR. DiPUCCHIO: But in any event, I'm 6 happy to have him answer it again. 7 THE WITNESS: I don't remember saying 8 that. I don't recall exactly how I first 9 10 became aware of the transaction. I remember 11 Mr. Griffin at some point speaking out loud saying that 12 Arcan was involved in a transaction. 13 BY MR. CENTA: 14 662 What, if anything, did Mr. Griffin Q. 15 ask you to do? 16 Α. Nothing. 17 663 0. Did anyone at West Face ask you to 18 do anything? 19 Α. No. He asked me to read about the 20 transaction, and that was it. 21 664 Why did you do the reading you did? 0. 22 Α. He asked me to read about it, and 23 that was learning about the transaction. 24 665 How long did you spend on that Ο. 25 task?

neesons

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 124
1	A. The reading?
2	666 Q. Uhm-hmm.
3	A. Maybe half an hour.
4	667 Q. And today, Mr. Milne-Smith provided
5	to counsel for Catalyst some of the documents that you
6	generated. How long would you have spent doing the
7	reading and generating the documents that have been
8	provided?
9	A. Well, the Excel analysis was
10	limited to mapping out the flow of funds in the
11	transaction and some high-level financial details about
12	Arcan, and I took some notes based on their earnings
13	calls, so maybe four to six hours.
14	668 Q. And Mr. DiPucchio asked you some
15	questions about your conversation with Mr. Singh.
16	What, if any, further work did you do on those
17	documents after your conversation with Mr. Singh?
18	A. Nothing. I remember stopping work.
19	669 Q. Mr. DiPucchio also asked you some
20	questions about the Arcan memo that you generated while
21	you were working at Catalyst and that you then attached
22	to the March 27th e-mail that you have sent as part
23	of the recruitment process to Catalyst. How similar
24	was the strategic transaction to the circumstances you
25	described in the Arcan memo you prepared while at

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Page 125

1	Catalyst?
2	A. The strategic transaction was a
3	standalone transaction. One didn't need to know
4	anything about Arcan to understand the details of the
5	transaction and the fact that it was unfair to
6	bondholders.
7	670 Q. Why would you not need to know
8	anything about the underlying business?
9	A. The details of the transaction were
10	that Aspen Leaf was offering to pay a certain amount of
11	money to buy Arcan, and they were paying bondholders a
12	discount to the par value of their bonds, and they were
13	giving a substantial amount of money to the equity
14	holders. Anybody who knows anything about corporate
15	finance knows that that is not fair to bondholders.
16	Bondholders should be paid in full first.
17	671 Q. After Mr. Singh told you not to
18	work anymore on the Arcan reading you were doing, when,
19	if ever, did anyone at West Face mention Arcan in your
2'0	presence?
21	A. We had team meetings, like, once a
2.2	week where people would discuss what they were working
23	on. I recall on at least one occasion Tony Griffin
2.4	mentioning that he was working on Arcan.
25	MR. CENTA: Those are my questions,

1	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Counsel.	Page 126
2	MR. DiPUCCHIO: Thank you.	
3	Whereupon the cross-examination concluded at	
4	12:21 p.m.	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
0		
_1		
.2		
3		
. 4		
.5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
2.5		
:	neesons (416) 413-7755 (8)	eporting.com

	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Page 127
1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	I, TERRY WOOD, RPR, CSR, Certified
3	Shorthand Reporter, certify;
4	That the foregoing proceedings were
5	taken before me at the time and place therein set
6	forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by
7	me;
8	That the testimony of the witness and
9	all objections made at the time of the examination were
10	recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter
11	transcribed;
12	That the foregoing is a true and correct
13	transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
14	
15	Dated this 15th day of May, 2015.
16	Terry Wood
17	
18	NEESONS
19	PER: TERRY WOOD, RPR, CSR
20	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Neesons (416) 413-7755 (888) 525-6666

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Index: 1..204

	-   <b>124</b> 27:10	<b>152</b> 32:22	<b>180</b> 38:10
1	<b>125</b> 27:14	<b>153</b> 32:25	<b>181</b> 38:13
<b>1</b> 5:4 24:25 61:17 122:13	<b>126</b> 27:17	<b>154</b> 33:4	<b>182</b> 38:17
	127 27:21	<b>155</b> 33:10	<b>183</b> 38:19
<b>10</b> 6:10 60:14 61:17	128 27:25	<b>156</b> 33:13	<b>184</b> 38:22
93:3	<b>129</b> 28:3	<b>157</b> 33:20	185, 39:2
100 22:20	12:08 121:19	<b>158</b> 33:23	<b>186</b> 39:4
101 22:23	<b>12:15</b> 121:20	<b>159</b> 34:4	<b>187</b> 39:6
<b>102</b> 23:2	<b>12:21</b> 126:4	15th 92:10	188 39:8
<b>103</b> 23:8	12th 86:4,7,8,23	<b>16</b> 7:21 17:12 18:6,10	<b>189</b> 39:15
<b>104</b> 23:10	<b>13</b> 7:3	<b>160</b> 34:6	<b>19</b> 8:12 26:23
105 23:16	<b>130</b> 28:9	<b>161</b> 34:9	<b>190</b> 39:18
<b>106</b> 23:20	<b>131</b> 28:12	<b>162</b> 34:14	<b>191</b> 40:1
<b>107</b> 23:23	<b>132</b> 28:18	<b>163</b> 34:18	<b>192</b> 40:5
<b>108</b> 24:1	<b>133</b> 28:21	<b>164</b> 34:23	<b>193</b> 40:8
<b>109</b> 24:3 72:19	<b>134</b> 28:25	<b>165</b> 34:25	<b>194</b> 40:11
<b>10:40</b> 33:3	<b>135</b> 29:3	<b>166</b> 35:3	<b>195</b> 40:14
10th 5:17,23	<b>136</b> 29:6	<b>167</b> 35:6	<b>196</b> 40:17
<b>11</b> 6:15 12:15,20	<b>137</b> 29:8	<b>168</b> 35:9	<b>197</b> 40:20
<b>110</b> 24:12	<b>138</b> 29:17	<b>169</b> 35:11	<b>198</b> 40:24
<b>111</b> 24:16	<b>139</b> 29:20	16th 5:13,20 60:3	<b>199</b> 41:2
<b>112</b> 24:19	<b>14</b> 7:8 12:5 13:4,9	61:18,21 63:5,11,21	<b>1st</b> 105:1
<b>113</b> 25:3	15:20 122:12	64:1,2 67:16,22 68:2,6 86:15,24 87:3 89:3,22	
<b>114</b> 25:16	1 <b>40</b> 30:1	91:2,15,17,25 98:7 99:8	2
<b>115</b> 25:20	<b>141</b> 30:7	102:15,16 105:16	
<b>116</b> 26:1	<b>142</b> 30:16	<b>17</b> 7:25 18:13	<b>2</b> 5:9 <sup>1</sup>
<b>117</b> 26:3	<b>143</b> 30:21	<b>170</b> 35:15	<b>20</b> 8:17 29:8,10 89:11
<b>118</b> 26:9	<b>144</b> 31:3	171 35:20	200 41:7
<b>119</b> 26:17	<b>145</b> 31:9	<b>172</b> 35:23	<b>201</b> 41:10
<b>11:25</b> 90:14,20	<b>146</b> 31:15	<b>173</b> 37:5	<b>2014</b> 5:11,13,17,20,23 6:8,22,24 7:17,23 8:2,
<b>11:30</b> 61:3	<b>147</b> 31:19	<b>174</b> 37:12	11:13,20 15:11 19:24
<b>11:38</b> 90:21	<b>148</b> 31:23	<b>175</b> 37:15	20:8 25:1 44:5 101:17 23 105:1,16 107:24
<b>12</b> 6:21	<b>149</b> 32:5	<b>176</b> 37:17	122:21
<b>120</b> 26:21	<b>14th</b> 12:11	<b>177</b> 37:20	<b>2015</b> 6:1
<b>121</b> 26:23	<b>15</b> 7:15	<b>178</b> 38:1	<b>202</b> 41#14
<b>122</b> 27:3	<b>150</b> 32:11	<b>179</b> 38:7	<b>203</b> 41:19
<b>123</b> 27:6	<b>151</b> 32:19	<b>18</b> 8:6 25:3 26:10	<b>204</b> 41:24

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Index: 205..325

·			
<b>205</b> 42:4	<b>235</b> 48:24	<b>266</b> 54:7	<b>298</b> 59:6
206 42:7	<b>236</b> 49:5	<b>267</b> 54:10	<b>299</b> 59:9
<b>207</b> 42:19	<b>237</b> 49:9	<b>268</b> 54:13	2nd 6:1 92:11
<b>208</b> 42:24	<b>238</b> 49:17	<b>269</b> 54:17	
<b>209</b> 43:1	<b>239</b> 49:21	<b>27</b> 9:24	3
	23rd 37:18,21 122:21	<b>270</b> 54:22	3 5:13
90:1	<b>24</b> 9:6 39:5 41:20	<b>271</b> 55:1	<b>30</b> 10:13 92:24 118:8,9
<b>21</b> 8:20	<b>240</b> 49:25	<b>272</b> 55:5	<b>300</b> 59:13
<b>210</b> 43:4	<b>241</b> 50:9	<b>273</b> 55:9	<b>301</b> 59:18
<b>211</b> 43:16	<b>242</b> 50:12	<b>274</b> 55:12	<b>302</b> 59:21
<b>212</b> 43:24	<b>243</b> 50:15	<b>275</b> 55:19	<b>303</b> 59:24
<b>213</b> 44:4	<b>244</b> 50:21	<b>276</b> 55:24	<b>304</b> 60:2
<b>214</b> 44:10	<b>245</b> 51:1	<b>277</b> 56:2	305 60:7
<b>215</b> 44:16	<b>246</b> 51:8	<b>278</b> 56:5	<b>306</b> 60:10
<b>216</b> 45:14	<b>247</b> 51:12	<b>279</b> 56:8	<b>307</b> 60:13
<b>217</b> 45:17	<b>248</b> 51:16	27th 35:25 92:5 124:22	<b>308</b> 60:16
<b>218</b> 45:21	<b>249</b> 51:19	<b>28</b> 10:4	<b>309</b> 60:20
<b>219</b> 45:24	24th 39:6,21	<b>280</b> 56:11	<b>31</b> 10:17
<b>21st</b> 77:5 91:3 98:7	<b>25</b> 9:10	<b>281</b> 56:15	<b>310</b> 60:24
<b>22</b> 8:25	<b>250</b> 51:23	<b>282</b> 56:17	<b>311</b> 61:3
<b>220</b> 46:2	<b>251</b> 52:2	<b>283</b> 56:22	<b>312</b> 61:9
<b>221</b> 46:7	<b>252</b> 52:6	<b>284</b> 56:25	<b>313</b> 61:12
<b>222</b> 46:15 <b>223</b> 46:22	<b>253</b> 52:11	<b>285</b> 57:3	<b>314</b> 61:16
<b>223</b> 46:22	<b>254</b> 52:15	<b>286</b> 57:5	<b>315</b> 61:20
<b>224</b> 46.25 <b>225</b> 47:6	<b>255</b> 52:20	<b>287</b> 57:8	<b>316</b> 61:24
<b>226</b> 47:11	<b>256</b> 53:1	288 57:11	<b>317</b> 62:3
<b>227</b> 47:16	<b>257</b> 53:3	<b>289</b> 57:14	<b>318</b> 62:5
<b>228</b> 47:19	<b>258</b> 53:7	<b>29</b> 10:9	<b>319</b> 62:10
<b>229</b> 47:23	<b>259</b> 53:10	<b>290</b> 57:19	<b>31st</b> 6:8 7:23 8:2 44:5
<b>22nd</b> 79:1	<b>26</b> 9:19 37:6	<b>291</b> 57:25	48:20
<b>23</b> 9:3	<b>260</b> 53:13	<b>292</b> 58:4	<b>32</b> 10:22
<b>230</b> 48:3	<b>261</b> 53:15	<b>293</b> 58:8	<b>320</b> 62:14
<b>231</b> 48:5	<b>262</b> 53:19	<b>294</b> 58:11	<b>321</b> 62:20
<b>232</b> 48:7	<b>263</b> 53:23	<b>295</b> 58:17	<b>322</b> 62:23
<b>233</b> 48:14	<b>264</b> 54:2	<b>296</b> 58:23	<b>323</b> 63:1
<b>234</b> 48:19	<b>265</b> 54:4	<b>297</b> 59:2	<b>324</b> 63:10
294 40.18			<b>325</b> 63:13

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Index: 326..451

WOISE, BRANDON U	11 Way 11, 2015		Index. 320401
<b>326</b> 63:19	<b>359</b> 69:14	<b>391</b> 75:6	<b>420</b> 80:8
<b>327</b> 63:25	36 11:10	<b>392</b> 75:9	<b>421</b> 80:10
<b>328</b> 64:9	<b>360</b> 69:19	<b>393</b> 75:12	<b>422</b> 80:12
<b>329</b> 64:12	<b>361</b> 69:23	<b>394</b> 75:17	<b>423</b> 80:14
<b>33</b> 10:25	<b>362</b> 70:3	<b>395</b> 75:21	<b>424</b> 80:18
<b>330</b> 64:17	<b>363</b> 70:9	<b>396</b> 75:23	<b>425</b> , 80:21
<b>331</b> 64:20	<b>364</b> 70:15	<b>397</b> 76:1	<b>426</b> 80:24
<b>332</b> 64:24	<b>365</b> 70:17	<b>398</b> 76:5	<b>427</b> 81:1
<b>333</b> 65:2	<b>366</b> 70:20	<b>399</b> 76:8	<b>428</b> 81:7
<b>334</b> 65:7	<b>367</b> 71:1	<b>3:25</b> 19:24	<b>429</b> 81:10
<b>335</b> 65:11	<b>368</b> 72:3		<b>43</b> 12:25
<b>336</b> 65:18	<b>369</b> 72:7	4	430 81:13
<b>337</b> 65:23	<b>37</b> 11:17 63:4	<b>4</b> 5:15 122:13	<b>431</b> 81:15
338 66:1	<b>370</b> 72:11	<b>40</b> 12:8 68:22	<b>432</b> 81:20
<b>339</b> 66:10	<b>371</b> 72:15	<b>400</b> 76:10	<b>433</b> 81:25
<b>34</b> 11:3	<b>372</b> 72:17	<b>401</b> 76:12	<b>434</b> 82:6
<b>340</b> 66:15	<b>373</b> 72:21	<b>402</b> 76:14	<b>435</b> 82:10
<b>341</b> 66:19	<b>374</b> 72:23	<b>403</b> 76:18	<b>436</b> 82:17
<b>342</b> 66:25	<b>375</b> 73:1	<b>404</b> 76:20	<b>437</b> 82:22
<b>343</b> 67:5	<b>376</b> 73:3	<b>405</b> 76:25	<b>438</b> 83:1
<b>344</b> 67:8	<b>377</b> 73:8	<b>406</b> 77:3	4 <b>39</b> 83:6
<b>345</b> 67:11	<b>378</b> 73:11	<b>407</b> 77:12	<b>43rd</b> 108:5
<b>346</b> 67:14	<b>379</b> 73:15	<b>408</b> 77:17	<b>44</b> 13:3
<b>347</b> 67:21	<b>38</b> 11:23	<b>409</b> 78:15	<b>440</b> 83:12
<b>348</b> 67:24	<b>380</b> 73:19	<b>41</b> 12:14	<b>441</b> 83:15
<b>349</b> 68:4	<b>381</b> 73:21	<b>410</b> 78:20	<b>442</b> 83:18
<b>35</b> 11.6	<b>382</b> 73.24	<b>411</b> 78:25	<b>443</b> 83:22
<b>350</b> 68:6	<b>383</b> 74:4	<b>412</b> 79:3	<b>444</b> 84:3
<b>351</b> 68:8	<b>384</b> 74:8	<b>413</b> 79:9	<b>445</b> 84:6
<b>352</b> 68:10	<b>385</b> 74:12	<b>414</b> 79:14	<b>446</b> 84:9
<b>353</b> 68:12	<b>386</b> 74:14	<b>415</b> 79:17	<b>447</b> 84:14
<b>354</b> 68:15	<b>387</b> 74:18	<b>416</b> 79:20	<b>448</b> 84:17
<b>355</b> 68:18	<b>388</b> 74:21	<b>417</b> 79:25	<b>449</b> 84:20
<b>356</b> 68:21	<b>389</b> 74:24	<b>418</b> 80:4	<b>45</b> 13:9
<b>357</b> 69:6	<b>39</b> 12:4	<b>419</b> 80:6	<b>450</b> 85:1
<b>358</b> 69:8	<b>390</b> 75:3	<b>42</b> 12:19	<b>451</b> 85:3
	I	I	I

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Index: 452..578

NOTOL, BILANDOI			muex. 402
<b>452</b> 85:6	<b>485</b> 91:8	<b>513</b> 96:22	<b>546</b> 102:19
<b>453</b> 85:10	<b>486</b> 91:13	<b>514</b> 97:1	<b>547</b> 102:21
<b>454</b> 85:15	<b>487</b> 91:17	<b>515</b> 97:5	<b>548</b> 102:25
<b>455</b> 85:19	<b>488</b> 91:23	<b>516</b> 97:9	<b>549</b> 103:4
<b>456</b> 85:22	<b>489</b> 92:2	<b>517</b> 97:11	<b>55</b> 14:22
<b>457</b> 85:25	<b>49</b> 13:25	<b>518</b> 97:15	<b>550</b> 103:8
<b>458</b> 86:4	<b>490</b> 92:9	<b>519</b> 97:19	<b>551</b> 103:10
<b>459</b> 86:6	<b>491</b> 92:16	<b>52</b> 14:11 110:23	<b>552</b> 103:13
<b>46</b> 13:15	<b>492</b> 92:22	<b>520</b> 97:23	<b>553</b> 103:17
<b>460</b> 86:11	<b>493</b> 93:2	<b>521</b> 98:3	<b>554</b> 103:20
<b>461</b> 86:13	<b>494</b> 93:5	<b>522</b> 98:10	<b>555</b> 103:23
<b>462</b> 86:17	<b>495</b> 93:8	<b>523</b> 98:15	<b>556</b> 104:4
<b>463</b> 86:22	<b>496</b> 93:12	<b>524</b> 98:22	<b>557</b> 104.7
<b>464</b> 87:1	<b>497</b> 93:15	<b>525</b> 99:1	<b>558</b> 104:10
<b>465</b> 87:5	<b>498</b> 93:19	<b>526</b> 99:6	<b>559</b> 104:16
<b>466</b> 87;9	<b>499</b> 93:24	<b>527</b> 99:10	<b>56</b> 14:24
<b>467</b> 87:16	<b>4:22</b> 122:21	<b>528</b> 99:13	<b>560</b> 104:20
<b>468</b> 87:22	4th 5:11 7:17 8:7,9	<b>529</b> 99:20	<b>561</b> 105:14
<b>469</b> 87:25	12:13,14,17,20 15:18	<b>53</b> 14:16	<b>562</b> 105:18
<b>47</b> 13:18	5	<b>530</b> 99:25	<b>563</b> 105:22
<b>470</b> 88:5	:	<b>531</b> 100:4	<b>564</b> 106:3
<b>471</b> 88:9	<b>5</b> 5:19 7:13	<b>532</b> 100:7	<b>565</b> 106:11
<b>472</b> 88:13	<b>50</b> 14:4	<b>533</b> 100:10	<b>566</b> 107:2
<b>473</b> 88:19	<b>500</b> 94:4	<b>534</b> 100:15	<b>567</b> 107:5
<b>474</b> 88:24	<b>501</b> 94:12	<b>535</b> 100:20	<b>568</b> 107:9
<b>475</b> 89:1	<b>502</b> 94:15	<b>536</b> 100:23	<b>569</b> 107:17
<b>476</b> 89:10	<b>503</b> 94:17	<b>537</b> 100:25	<b>57</b> 15:4
<b>477</b> 89:15	<b>504</b> 94:22	<b>538</b> 101:7	<b>570</b> 107:22
<b>478</b> 89:18	<b>505</b> 95:2	<b>539</b> 101:10	<b>571</b> 108:1
<b>479</b> 89:20	<b>506</b> 95:6	<b>54</b> 14:19	<b>572</b> 108:5
<b>48</b> 13:22	<b>507</b> 95:14	<b>540</b> 101:13	<b>573</b> 108:9
<b>480</b> 89:24	<b>508</b> 95:18	<b>541</b> 101:16	<b>574</b> 108:12
<b>481</b> 90:4	<b>509</b> 96:4	<b>542</b> 101:22	<b>575</b> 108:15
<b>482</b> 90:6	<b>51</b> 14:7	<b>543</b> 102:4	<b>576</b> 108:20
<b>483</b> 90:10	<b>510</b> 96:7	<b>544</b> 102:10	<b>577</b> 108:23
<b>484</b> 90:23	<b>511</b> 96:10	<b>545</b> 102:14	<b>578</b> 109:2
	<b>512</b> 96:17		

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Index: 579..91

<b>579</b> 109:5	<b>609</b> 113:22	<b>641</b> 118:25	6th 19:24 20:8 25:1
<b>58</b> 15:7	<b>61</b> 15:24	<b>642</b> 119:3	
<b>580</b> 109:11	<b>610</b> 114:4	<b>643</b> 119:8	7
<b>581</b> 109:13	<b>611</b> 114:10	<b>644</b> 119:12	<b>7</b> 5:25 72:18
<b>582</b> 109:17	<b>612</b> 114:13	<b>645</b> 119:20	<b>70</b> 17:6
<b>583</b> 109:21	6 <b>13</b> 114:19	<b>646</b> 119:24	<b>71</b> 8:9,13,21 9:11 17:10
<b>584</b> 109:24	<b>614</b> 114:21	<b>647</b> 120:3	94:6
<b>585</b> 110:1	615 114:25	<b>648</b> 120:6	<b>72</b> 17:12
<b>586</b> 110:3	<b>616</b> 115:2	<b>649</b> 120:9	<b>73</b> 17:16
<b>587</b> 110:6	617 115:6	<b>65</b> 16:14	<b>74</b> 17:20
<b>588</b> 110:11	<b>618</b> 115:10	<b>650</b> 120:11	<b>75</b> 17:24
<b>589</b> 110:15	619 115:17	<b>651</b> 120:13	<b>76</b> 18:5
<b>59</b> 15:10	<b>62</b> 16:5	<b>652</b> 120:16	<b>77</b> 18:9 108:11
<b>590</b> 110:19	<b>620</b> 115:20	<b>653</b> 120:18	<b>78</b> 18:13
<b>591</b> 110:25	<b>621</b> 115:23	<b>654</b> 120:22	<b>79</b> 18:18
<b>592</b> 111:4	622 116:1	<b>655</b> 121:1	<b>794</b> 45:11
<b>593</b> 111:11	<b>623</b> 116:6	<b>656</b> 121:7	<b>796</b> 44:23 45:10
<b>594</b> 111:17	<b>624</b> 116:8	<b>657</b> 121:12	7th 25:1
<b>595</b> 111:22	625 116:11	<b>658</b> 122:7	
<b>596</b> 111:25	626 116:19	<b>659</b> 122:11	8
<b>597</b> 112:2	627 116:22	<b>66</b> 16:18	<b>8</b> 6:3
<b>598</b> 112:5	<b>628</b> 117:2	<b>660</b> 122:19	<b>80</b> 18:24
<b>599</b> 112:8	629 117:6	661 122:23	<b>81</b> 19:5
	<b>63</b> 16:8	<b>662</b> 123:14	<b>82</b> 19:9
6	<b>630</b> 117:9	<b>663</b> 123:17	<b>83</b> 19:14
<b>6</b> 5:22	<b>631</b> 117:14	<b>664</b> 123:21	<b>84</b> 19:17
<b>60</b> 15:17	<b>632</b> 117:17	<b>665</b> 123:24	<b>85</b> 19:19
<b>600</b> 112:11	<b>633</b> 117:20	<b>666</b> 124:2	<b>86</b> 19:21
<b>601</b> 112:16	<b>634</b> 118:1	<b>667</b> 124:4	<b>87</b> 20:2
<b>602</b> 112:21	<b>635</b> 118:4	<b>668</b> 124:14	<b>88</b> 20;5
<b>603</b> 112:24	<b>636</b> 118:9	<b>669</b> 124:19	<b>89</b> 20:7
<b>604</b> 113:2	<b>637</b> 118:13	<b>67</b> 16:21	
<b>605</b> 113:7	<b>638</b> 118:16	<b>670</b> 125:7	9
<b>606</b> 113:11	<b>639</b> 118:20	671 125:17	<b>9</b> 6:7
<b>607</b> 113:14	<b>64</b> 16:12	<b>68</b> 16:25	<b>90</b> 20:11
<b>608</b> 113:16	640 118:22	<b>69</b> 17:3	<b>91</b> 20:17

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

NOYSE, BRANDON on M	p Inc. v. Brandon Moyse e lay 11, 2015	[ a]	Index: 92attempte
<b>92</b> 20:20	added 117:12	107:2	appears 5:16,21 20:8
<b>93</b> 20:23	addition 5:25 13:18	agreed 44:21	appended 5:16
<b>94</b> 21:1	address 95:5 addressed 97:7	agreement 106:5,12, 21 107:11,19 ahead 70:17 83:8 84:21,24 86:8	appointment 62:12
<b>95</b> 21:22			approval 20:14:21:3
<b>96</b> 21:25	adds 21:17		22:7,15
<b>97</b> 22:3	administrative	Air 112:3,5,10,12 113:3	apps 53:2
<b>98</b> 22:12	103:2	114:16,19,20 115:11,14	<b>April</b> 6:1 7:9 12:5 13:4 37:6 43:2 48:12 49:12
<b>99</b> 22:17	administrative/ compliance 103:25	Air's 112:14	51:3 60:3 63:2 92:10,
<b>9:59</b> 5:1	admitted 51:2	allegations 18:2	11,14 107:24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	adult 79:12,23 80:1	52:16	Arcan 32:9,16,20 33:6, 8,16,17 34:1,2,15,17
A	Advanced 65:3 87:3,	alleged 102:4	35:25 36:11,12 38:13,
<b>a.m.</b> 5:1 60:14 61:17	10,18 88:1,15 89:2	allegedly 64:5	25 39:11,20,22,23 41:5, 17 42:21 44:2,14 45:17,
ability 21:6	advantage 21:5	allowed 82:24	18 46:11,17 47:2,6,12,
Ableco 120:10,11	advice 71:16	altered 77:7,19 96:12	17 94:19 95:9,12 122:8, 17 123:12 124:12,20,25
absolutely 97:1	advised 23:3 37:8,22	alternatives 97:20	125:4,11,18,19,24
109:13	advisement 71:17	amount 125:10,13	area 78:8
access 35:13 52:22,	78:12 100:18 105:2,4 106:9,14 107:15 119:15	analysis 14:8,14,20 17:17,18 32:16,20 33:6,	argument 21:8 50:21
24,25 53:8 56:8 58:22, 25 70:11 110:4,12	121:23	16,19,21,24 34:10,19	arisen 47:7
accessed 56:10 59:5	advisor 20:5	35:4,14 38:3,4,11,12,13 124:9	arising 42:15
accessing 58:18	affidavit 5:11,19 6:1,4	analyst 11:11 104:15	articulate 63:25
accuracy 29:22 30:9	7:9,12,17 8:3,7,9,13,22 10:5 11:10 12:5,11,15,	analysts 11:4	64:17,20,24 articulated 63:23
acknowledge 8:8,12,	16 13:4 14:12 17:25	analyzed 43:6	64:15
17,20 9:3,19,20 10:7	25:4 26:20 27:18 29:9 30:1 31:25 32:1,6,7,12	analyzing 18:14,18	articulating 97:11
13:16,17,19,22 31:9 37:5 50:16,22 92:4,9,	37:6 38:23 42:10,16	and/or 21:7 25:9	asks 118:16
13,16 93:6,12,15,19 94:17,22,23 95:7 96:10,	43:1,7 44:7 47:15 49:6, 18,22 51:19 63:2 69:15	announced 33:8,18	Aspen 125:10
11 97:5,23	71:6,22,25 78:16,17,20	34:1,2,12,17 122:18,19	assigned 112:18
acknowledged	79:3,21 81:15 87:17 90:10 91:14 92:10,14,	answers 45:7 70:2	assist 28:12 34:18
10:18 31:10 48:15 92:5, 12 94:5	20 94:6,25 95:7 96:11,	anymore 125:18	68:18
acknowledging	16 110:6,16 111:12 122:12	apologize 12:14.50:5, 9,10.92:11	assisted 69:25
48:10 92:18	affidavits 5:5 6:10,11,		associate 11:15,19, 25
acquisition 24:8	17,18,22 7:4,11,19 27:15 42:9 88:6 91:15	app 52:23 53:5,6 apparently 95:14	associates 11:4
act 77:12	AFFIRMED 5:2	appearance 63:5,15,	assume 35:24 57:25
action 78:1	afternoon 122:21	22	assuming 41:2,4
activities 79:12	agree 9:5,6,9,10 11:12,	appeared 63:11 65:4,	attached 124:21
actual 9:14 10:20	13 13:5 25:15 32:13,14	13 appearing 66:2,12 67:17 68:1 86:14	attempt 43:14 57:8,11
add 116:10	42:19 43:17 44:10,11 48:25 49:10,24 55:15		attempted 59:10
	58:12 61:17 63:19 94:5		aucinpied 38.10
		1	1



The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

#### Index: attending...common

MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015 Index: attendingcommon					
attending 13:17,18	19 87:10,12	117:13 118:17 120:20, 24 121:13	<b>chain</b> 19:21 24:25 118:14		
authored 31:12	Brandon 5:2 36:8	Callidus' 114:22	characterization		
awaiting 86:24	break 60:17,21,24		22:17		
<b>aware</b> 19:9 22:4 25:6 26:7,8 29:14,17 30:13,	90:16 breaks 61:2	<b>calls</b> 101:14,16,23 105:7 124:13	<b>characterize</b> 15:2 16:3,5,8		
16,17,19 34:14,16 40:24 47:11,13,17 63:3,	briefly 116:7	Canada 26:25 27:1 28:24	characterized 8:21		
4,14 71:23 82:23 91:17,	Brimm 103:12		9:24 13:6		
23 122:17,23 123:3,10	Brimm's 104:13	<b>Canadian</b> 21:10 27:11,24	circumstances 75:7		
В	bring 12:5117:14	Capital 122:15	99:5 124:24		
	broadly 70:24	captured 63:17	claiming 78:5		
B-r-i-m-m 103:12	brought 10:10 36:10 71:11 117:16,21	care 22:12	<b>clarify</b> 36:24 37:1 110:17		
<b>back</b> 13:3 20:17 37:2 48:9 51:2 63:20 74:20		careful 96:20	clarity 21:6,14		
76:10,11 89:16 91:11	browser 54:21 57:24 58:21 59:5 79:12 82:18,	carefully 72:4	clarity.' 21:20		
105:19	23 83:20 84:9,16,20	carrier 26:1	cleaner 82:9,16 85:14,		
balance 45:6	85:4	case 12:3 15:13 16:20	17,23 86:2,7,14,18,20,		
based 9:17 12:2 29:16	browsing 49:23	34:8 45:19 46:5	23		
112:14 124:12	53:11,17,21 54:12,23 55:13,17,21 56:20 58:7,	Catalyst 7:1 9:8,14,25	<b>clear</b> 52:1,10 59:16,18		
basis 9:21 105:11	9,19 59:7,9,11,14,17	10:4,17,19 11:14 12:3 13:7 18:2,10,25 19:13	69:2,8,15 79:10 82:15, 18		
bear 84:17	64:7 77:10 79:4,7 80:1 81:2 82:3,13,14,19,24	23:4 24:5,20 25:18,22 26:6 29:13 31:13 37:9,	clearing 82:13 103:3		
bearing 95:13	83:3,14,19 84:7 90:25	23 38:4 39:10,16,19,25	clicked 87:14		
begin 38:2	98:2 99:11	40:3 43:6 48:21 61:24	clicking 87:21		
behalf 24:20 42:21	build 23:17 24:8	62:11 70:11 73:6 91:6, 9,11,19,22 93:10 94:1,9	client 71:14 95:24		
51:13	building 21:11	95:13 100:1 101:1,25	and the second		
belief 82:12	built 82:18	102:3,7 107:22,23	client's 71:6		
believed 8:15 9:1 12:3	built-in 83:12,19	108:2 109:9,10,21 113:1,18,21,25 114:2	close 33:9 48:7		
believes 28:7	business 14:8,14,20	124:5,21,23 125:1	closely 51:25		
belonging 7:1	21:16 23:18,21 24:8 25:13 125:8	Catalyst's 91:21	<b>coincidence</b> 65:17, 19,20 88:14,18,19		
<b>big</b> 51:20 116:17,23	<b>busy</b> 117:19,24	113:15 115:12	121:3		
billings 104:23	<b>buy</b> 66:6,13,14 67:25	<b>cell</b> 101:24 102:2 104:23,24	coincidental 33:21		
<b>bit</b> 116:4	85:17,23 125:11	CENTA 28:5 31:24	65:11 66:2,25 121:8,14		
Blackberry 91:5,10		36:2 37:1 42:10,14	collateral 21:15		
93:9 100:2	C	43:8,10,20 44:20 50:3,6	colleague 104:12		
bondholders 125:6, 11,15,16	<b>call</b> 7:12 11:3 22:21	55:14 67:2 70:4 71:14, 20 77:22 78:2,6,10,13	commenced 61:20		
bonds 112:15 113:9	35:5,6 65:16,19 105:5, 10 112:15 116:6,8	90:14,17 92:23 95:16, 20 96:2 100:17 105:2,9, 24 106:8 15 10 24	commencing 5:1 115:15		
125:12	called 108:7 112:3	24 106:8,15,19,24 107:14,20 119:14,18	comment 28:6		
bored 112:25	Callidus 107:24 108:2	121:18 122:3,6 123:4, 13 125:25	comments 15:4		
bothered 73:21	110:4,8,13 111:2,6,14,		common 121:11		
bought 67:23 86:17;	16,24 112:6 114:8 115:24 116:2,13,14	Cerberus 118:17			

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

MOYSE, BRANDON on M		In	dex: communicated. delet
communicated 6:25	confidentiality 31:4	20 111:1,3,18 112:4	current 7:11,12
communication	confirm 92:19	114:9 115:1,25	cursory 15:4
18:24 103:4	confusing 110:17	correction 7:168:6	
communications 19:6 71:8 102:22 103:1	confusion 50:7	corrections 7:22 8:1	D
companies 38:3	consent 61:6	<b>counsel</b> 35:19,21 36:6,20,23 37:8 41:24	data 101:8
39:24 40:2 44:22 109:8, 14	consisted 10:22,23 17:13	43:17,25 44:20,21 45:9 51:16 59:22 60:11	<b>date</b> 19:22 60:4 71:11 74:6 81:20 88:11
<b>company</b> 38:5,8 43:5 45:5 100:21 112:3 114:7,18,19 115:9	<b>contact</b> 102:8,11 104:9,10	62:24 64:18 67:2 68:13 69:24 70:4,22 71:7,9 72:9,12 73:1,9,22 74:1,	102:21 dated 19:24 24:25
	contacts 103:24	3,5,875:1376:2377:5, 8 18 78:21 81 4 00:14	122:20
company-issued	<b>context</b> 33:7 38:6 95:23 117:17	8,18 78:21 81:4 90:14 92:24 96:1,14,19 99:7 104:21 105:4,5,25	dates 5:10 60:25 89:14 day 23:4 33:2 34:1 39:3
complete 14:2,10,13 35:3,5 111:8	continued 35:7 100:25	106:12 107:10 110:22 121:22 122:3 124:5	41:6 47:8 56:18 57:15 58:14 60:14 66:2,22
completely 38:6	continues 107:3	126.1	67:17 74:22 78:20
compliance 103:3	contrary 98:21	counsel's 75:14	88:16,21 95:22 96:7 122:22
complicated 98:9	contributed 13:11	count 11:9	days 32:15 56:18
comprehensive 82:2,4	contributing 12:23 15:12,20	<b>court</b> 6:19 9:11,12 12:20,25 15:18 26:9,12, 17 46:10,16,19 60:13	57:16,20 58:14 63:4,14, 21 64:1 74:7 75:3,6,8,9, 10 98:23 99:5
computer 9:7 48:11, 17 49:2,7,12,14 50:1,	contribution 15:16	61:25 63:5,11,14 64:2,	<b>Dea</b> 11:23,25 31:11
18,19,24 62:3,17 63:6	control 43:21	25 65:4,13,15 66:2,12, 16,18,21,22 67:1,18	35:25 37:10,24 39:17
65:9,14 66:4,7,11,23 67:6,16 68:13 71:11	conversation 29:11, 12 38:24 39:2,20 41:8	68:1,5,18 86:14 97:11, 16,21 98:18	92:6 116:2,3,19 118:1, 5,10,16,22 120:14,19
76:12,16 79:1 81:22 88:17 89:16 90:25 94:9	116:7,15,16,21 117:7,	coverage 25:12 26:11	Dea's 119:1
96:13,18,21 98:24 99:4 concept 51:23	12 118:4,8,10 121:11 124:15,17	<b>covered</b> 11:17 86:18 87:2	<b>deal</b> 18:2 51:20 64:4, 14 93:23 101:4
<b>concern</b> 45:4 63:15, 20,23 64:4,15 79:5	conversations 69:24	created 36:12 90:8 93:13	deal-structuring 17:17
83:7,22 91:4,6,8,19,21, 25 97:12	conveying 26:12 copied 13:19 16:3,6	creating 91:18	<b>Dealba</b> 19:24 20:17,21 21:1 29:11,13 30:18
<b>concerned</b> 37:9,23	20:18,20 22:24 25:18 26:6	<b>cross-examination</b> 5:3 6:17,23 7:5,23	<b>Dealba's</b> 29:22 30:9
40:5,8 64:9,13 66:3,21 67:6,9,15,16 68:23	copies 27:8 35:16	11:18 42:15 49:11	dealing 43:22
71:23 89:3	36:25 104:22	50:17 126:3	dealt 73:17 98:11
concerns 73:15 93:13	<b>copy</b> 36:13 119:8,13	cross-examined 6:7,12 44:5,11,17 48:9	debt 21:12
concessions 29:1	corporate 125:14	cross-examining	decide 85:23
concluded 126:3	correct 5:6,12,14,18	48:20,25	decided 18:10
condition 20:13	6:28:14,16,1810:11	cross-purposes	decision 73:25 98:16
confident 28:2,3	11:2 15:6 17:19 18:8 21:23 31:2,18 33:10	33:14	defendant 122:14
96:23 98:20	35:1 37:18,19,25 50:25	curiosity 109:19	delay 99:17,18
confidential 6:25 9:15 12:21 31:17 94:8	51:11 53:25 54:25 58:17 83:5 102:18 105:17,21 109:1 110:5,	<b>curious</b> 29:9 84:1,3	<b>delete</b> 49:4 53:17 54:12,23 55:2,21 57:6,
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

# neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Index: deleted..existed

MOYSE, BRANDON on M	ay 11, 2015		Index: deletedexisted
7,9 58:6 59:10 80:1	Dipucchio 5:3 24:23	documentary 43:11	employer 7:1
81:3 82:2,24 83:19 84:6,9,20 85:6,7,8 87:6	25:2 28:8 32:1,4 36:4, 14,18 37:3,4 42:13,18	documents 9:7 10:5,	end 20:12
90:7 98:16	43:9,15,23 45:8 50:4,8	6,12,13 36:11,15 43:13, 14,20,24 51:14,21 63:7	ending 25:1
deleted 48:11,17 49:1,	55:18 67:4 70:6,8 71:5, 18,21 72:2 77:25 78:4,	78:16,17 109:7,9	engagements 45:3
3,6,11,13,15,22,25 50:17,19,23 51:3,9	7,11,14 90:15,19,22	112:10 124:5,7,17	engine 55:16
55:13 56:23 57:2 59:6,	93:1 95:17,25 96:3 100:14,19 104:19	double-check 81:24	<b>enjoy</b> 114:1
7,9 77:10 79:4 81:17 83:14 91:10,24 92:12,	105:3,12,13 106:1,2,10,	download 87:3	entail 69:22
17 96:18 99:10 115:20	16,20 107:1,8,16,21 110:23,24 119:11,16,19	draft 13:12	entertainment
119:25 120:2	121:16,21 122:5,8,25	drawing 46:4,9,16	79:13,23 80:2
deleting 54:21 58:9, 20 81:16 82:7 91:18	123:5 124:14,19 126:2 direct 107:10	drive 10:6 83:25 90:8	entire 59:9,10 93:25
96:24 98:1 99:16 120:3		drivers 14:8,14,20	entity 118:17
deletion 82:21 90:24	direction 85:13	<b>Dropbox</b> 52:17,25 53:3,8 58:18,22,25	entrant 19:3 25:8
deletions 81:21	directly 102:5 108:13	due 17:13 24:19	equipment 9:16
delivered 81:22	disagree 28:9,11 35:24 111:12		equity 125:13
department 103:25 104:1	disagreed 95:14	E	essentially 12:23 15:12,19 23:3
describe 63:16	disclose 43:19 44:1	e-mail 15:8 19:17,19,	evening 33:8 34:1,13
describing 11:18	disclosed 35:11 43:6, 25	21,23 20:7,12,18,21,24 21:2,22 22:13,24 23:3	event 5:10 123:5
desk 33:5	disclosure 47:24	24:25 26:4 34:15,20	events 60:2 121:10
destroy 27:8	105:5	35:1,8 92:6,12,17 111:20 115:17 118:13	evidence 6:16 7:6,10,
detail 31:8	disclosures 114:12	119:1,9,13,24 120:4	22 8:1 9:14,17 10:15 12:8 28:6 30:22 31:11,
detailed 104:23	discount 125:12	124:22	16,20 88:22 91:14 92:3
detailing 30:20	Discovery 112:3,5,	<b>e-mailed</b> 119:5	98:4 106:22 108:23
details 124:11 125:4,9	10,12,14 113:3 114:16, 19,20 115:11,14	e-mailing 112:2 119:4	exact 19:15 54:8 74:6 89:13
determination 52:7,	discuss 19:8 61:5	<b>e-mails</b> 13:20 15:25 16:3,6,11,18,21,24,25	examination 16:1
9,12 59:13,19	116:9 125:22	17:6,9 20:23	48:15 83:8
determine 48:16 52:2 84:10,12 89:7,25 90:2	discussed 60:25	earlier 92:13	examine 48:17
98:23	62:23 81:18 116:1,12	early 11:13 17:21	<b>Excel</b> 124:9
determined 99:16	discussing 65:13	earnings 124:12	exception 7:11,16
device 74:9 75:12,23	discussion 15:3 25:22 32:8 37:21 40:14	<b>easy</b> 66:14	exchange 44:21
76:15,22 77:7,19 78:18 81:4 91:22 93:9 94:9	77:18 99:2 104:1 110:7,	echoes 22:10	exclusively 101:18
99:15,17,21 100:7,9,11	9 111:19 116:4,8 118:20 120:19,22 121:1	elected 118:5	102:2
101:18	discussions 14:25	embarrassing 79:6,	exhibit 8:10 12:12,15
devices 9:13 62:1,8 72:8 74:1,2,4 76:18,21	19:5,7,10 22:4 25:17	8,9,14,16,18,19,24 80:16 81:3,8	24:24,25 44:7,8 48:7 121:25 122:12
78:21 91:18,24	26:5,13,16,18 31:6 61:9,12 64:21 105:25	employed 46:18	exist 57:3 115:12
difficult 97:4	dispute 6:24 31:15	47:21,25	119:25 120:1
diligence 17:13 24:19	distinction 46:4,9,16	employee 11:12 43:22.103:7 112:1	existed 9:7 10:6 79:1

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

# exists 11:7 90:7,12 106:5,12 107:12

expect 104:21

expedition 8:21,22 9:2,4,25 10:1,3,11

expert 75:21,24,76:1, 2,21 77:6,18 83:4

experts 88:7

**expired** 105:20

explanation 5:22 90:11

extend 78:8

extensive 25:11 26:11 extent 71:24 117:11

**eye** 90:17

#### F

Face 7:1 11:7 17:7,9 29:14 30:4,12,13,24,25 31:13 32:8,15 33:2,5 35:13,16 36:8 37:9,18, 21,22 38:2,14,20 41:21 42:1,21,23 43:10,22 44:2,7,13,15,19 45:12, 25 46:3,10,11,14,18,22, 24 47:2,8,11,17,21,24 94:19 95:10,24 100:21 101:4 102:8.17.23 103:5,14 104:2,14 105:8,15,19,23 106:6,7, 23 107:3,12 120:19,23 121:12 122:14 123:17 125:19

Face's 35:19 37:8

facing 98:11

**fact** 11:23 22:13 35:15 38:7 44:1,4 51:20 63:13 64:12 66:20 88:20 91:4 94:19 99:13 111:5 112:14 121:1 125:5

#### failed 18:2

fair 5:17 7:13,14,25 16:2 25:22 26:4 32:5 38:4 79:7 101:20 105:12 108:1 125:15

fairly 82:2 fairness 71:6 favour 106:23 federal 22:6 25:7 fees 107:13 felt 81:3 fiddling 89:25 figure 56:11 84:11,21 file 12:9 17:13 18:16 109:10 115:12 filed 42:16 88:6 112:10 files 48:11 55:2 114:22 finally 89:5 finance 125:15 financial 32:20 33:6. 16 34:10,19 35:4 116:16,24 121:5 124:11 financing/capital 21:12 find 57:24 83:2 85:11 fine 36:19 90:19 Firestone 60:5.17.21 61:14 63:22 64:3 Firestone's 72:4 73:5 fish 10:11 fishing 8:21,22 9:1,4, 25 10:1,2,10 five-day 68:19 floor 108:5

**flow** 124:10

focus 21:10

folder 90:7.11

follow 118:5

forensic 9:13 61:25 62:7,16 66:4 70:10,11 71:12 72:13,24 73:4 77:6 78:22 83:4,7,11,25 90:8

forensically 65:14 99:15 formal 109:8 formulate 105:9

form 106:12

forthcoming 7:5

forward 113:5

forwards 20:2

found 96:7 110:16 122:13

fourth 21:11

frame 11:21 71:9 91:2, 3 102:13 107:3

free 113:4

front 37:7 97:9,16,21 98:11

full 43:11 125:16

function 87:7

functionality 88:2 89:2,7 90:1

functions 88:15

funding 21:14

funds 112:6 114:7 124:10

future 73:12,17

# G

Gabriel 19:23

gain 114:17

gambling 79:17

gather 96:11

gave 15:4 44:11,17

general 19:1 22:10,12 25:13 37:8 41:24

generally 34:2 114:18

generated 9:8 10:11, 12 124:6,20

generating 124:7

generic 22:9

**give** 21:19 23:4 24:5 36:13 56:3 75:23 80:10 99:21 102:12 105:10

#### Index: exists..happening

106:22 121:16,17

**giving** 6:16 7:6 81:4 94:23 125:13

Glassman 20:8,41 22:24 23:2 24:4

Glassman's 23:11

goal 58:4,6,8

good 90:18

**Google** 53:23 54:11, 13,19 55:3,6,16,20

government 21:5,9, 19 22:6 23:3,11 24:5 25:7

government's 22:7

governmental 20:13 21:3 22:15

granted 102:15

Griffin 32:17 33:1,21, 23,25 34:20,23,25 40:22 41:3,5,7,12 43:7 122:13 123:11,14 125:23

**Griffin's** 31:20,24 32:12 34:15 38:22 42:16 47:14,15

grounds 9:12

group 11:6

guess 19:22 77:5 83:15 104:24

#### Η

half 124:3

hallway 40:17 61:4,10

hand 12:10 98:19

happen 69:3,9,16 70:19 74:12

happened 55:20 65:22 68:19 73:11 74:14,25 75:18 83:16 86:4 87:23 88:14 93:18 94:3 98:14 121:7,10

happening 66:22

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

Index: happy..knowledge

MOYSE, BRANDON ON M	ay 11, 2015		Index: happyknowledg
happy 36:12 123:6	75:10 77:4,6 78:22 83:4,25 90:8	injunction 102:6,15	71:7,15 72:1 90:24
hard 10:6 80:15			
head 11:8 80:19	imaged 65:15 76:15, 21 77:1 99:15	innocent 77:12	issued 93:10 101:25 102:3,6
heard 41:15	images 68:24 69:3,9,	instance 28:10	issues 6:24
held 73:8	16	instances 19:16	
helped 13:12	imaging 71:12	intact 85:4	J
hide 43:14 83:3,24	immediately 38:1	intending 30:11	
high 22:16	40:6	interest 88:1	<b>job</b> 119:22
high-level 124:11	important 7:4 66:10 67:24	interim 61:5,6,13	judgement 95:8 97:6
historical 110:1	impression 26:12	interlocutory 61:1	<b>July</b> 5:11,13,20 6:8 7:17,23 8:2,7,9 12:11,
history 49:23 53:11,	improve 65:9	intermittently 103:24	13,14,17,20 15:10,18
17,21 54:12,21,24 55:13,17,22 56:17,20	inclined 105:6	internal 25:17 26:5,	44:5 48:9,20 50:4,10, 18,24 51:9 60:3 61:18,
57:12,24 58:7,9,18,19,	include 14:16,19	13,18	21 63:5,11,21 64:1,2
22 59:7,10,11,14,17 64:7 77:11 79:4,7 80:1	69:16 105:7 109:2	Internet 53:1,6,8,16	67:16,22 68:2,6 77:4 79:1 86:4,7,8,23 87:3
81:2 82:3,13,15,19,24	included 14:7,10,18	54:12,21 58:7 59:17 64:7 77:10 82:13 83:9,	88:4 89:3,7,8,11,22
83:3,14,19 84:7,9,16,20 85:4 90:25 96:24 98:2	includes 87:6 104:4	14 98:1	90:1 91:2,3,15,17,25 98:7 99:8 102:15,16
99:11	105:18	interview 116:2121:2	105:16
hits 55:25 56:1,3,5	including 15:24 43:1, 13 62:3 115:17	interviewing 11:25	June 37:18,21 39:5,6
hold 72:13,23	incorporated 7:10	investigation 83:11	49:2,4,7,14,16 50:1 101:17,23 104:24
holders 125:14	incorrect 9:22,23	investment 13:12	122:21
home 100:8,10,12,16	incumbent 19:4	21:7 31:12 92:6 113:13, 24	junior 11:12 103:7 112:1
101:19 honest 7:5	25:10	investments 10:20	Justice 60:4,17,21
	indemnity 107:11	47:24 114:2,5	61:14 63:22 64:3 72:4
hour 124:3	independent 62:16	involve 17:17,21	73:4
hours 41:20 47:5 76:6 124:13	individual 54:6	involved 18:22 26:14	К.
<b>HR</b> 103:2,25	Industry 26:25 27:1 28:23	27:4,8 29:14 30:5,12, 14,25 31:5 38:5,8 95:12	
hundreds 9:7 15:24	inform 86:19	114:7 123:12	Kapoor 30:19
	information 6:25	involvement 15:11, 19,21,22 16:3,9 111:2,	kind 47:23
l	9:15 12:21 53:20 54:10	5,7,13,15	King 108:11
i.e. 99:8	56:3,4 63:16 68:24 69:17 70:21 71:2 73:16	ipad 76:17	knew 23:8,10 30:4,6 39:14 48:19 61:21,24
<b>idea</b> 24:20	79:6,10 94:8 99:16	<b>IPO</b> 108:13,17	62:5,10,18 63:10 69:19,
identical 5:19,21	110:4,13 112:3 114:18, 21 115:8 121:23	irrelevant 59:15	21 83:18 102:14 112:8, 9 114:10,11
identify 45:2	initial 13:12 19:23 34:7	68:24 69:16	knowing 29:25
illegal 81:13	116:2	<b>ISS</b> 36:8 43:12 48:21 62:12,21 69:11,20,21	knowledge 30:25
image 61:25 62:7,16	initially 19:12 60:17	70:22 86:1	103:16 110:2
66:4 70:10,12,14,18 72:13,24 73:4 74:19	initiative 112:22 113:3 114:6	<b>issue</b> 25:11,17,21 26:7,8,11 42:12 47:7	

neesons

Index: landscape..nature

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

······································			
·	loan 112:6 114:7	103:2	18,24 95:2,8 96:12
L	long 40:14 76:5 80:15 123:24 124:6	means 24:11,15:59:1 85:8	97:5,24 mistaken 94:13
landscape 27:11,24	longer 103:15	meant 23:24 24:17,21	Mitchell 44.24
largely 17:13	looked 13:23 14:5	29:24 32:5 62:15	mitigation 18:11
lasting 118:7	26:5	meantime 76.8	mobile 100:7,9,11
late 18:3 108:25	Lorne 110:10 111:9	media 25:12 26:11	Mobilicity 28:16
late-stage 17:18,25	lot: 45:23 61:2	meeting 13:17,19	model 13:23 14:2,5,7
lawyer 77:24 88:21	loud 123:11	42:6,7 61:4	15:1,15
lawyer's 88:17	lunch 103:6,11,17,23	meetings 26:25 27:1	modelled 118:17
leading 63:22 64:1		memo 12:23 13:11	moment 58:1
Leaf 125:10	M	15:12,16,20,23 30:19,	Monday 34:13
learn 25:16 26:15 115:8	made 8:7,13 26:6	23 31:4 33:2 38:25 39:13,15 41:16 124:20,	monetize 21:7
	42:20 43:18 47:25 48:2	25	money 125:11,13
learned 25:10,20,23 26:10 82:11,12	52:6,9,12,16 57:8 59:13,19 60:4 61:13	memorandum 13:13	<b>Morein</b> 110:10 111:9, 20,23 114:14 115:18
learning 123:23	62:1 73:25 75:4 94:5 96:12 98:15 101:17,18,	memory 27:19 39:3,7 68:15 110:2 120:3	morning 60:8 64:2
leasing 23:18 24:8	23,24 105:5,7	memos 16:4 31:12,16	65:4,13 66:5,9,12,16
leaving 33:25	maintained 96:21	35:24 37:10,23 39:16,	67:16,25 75:20 76:24 77:4 87:1,2 89:3
led 61:13 63:15	major 121:4	25 92:6 118:14	motion 10:10 36:22
left 29:13 74:16 75:25 101:1 103:18,20	<b>make</b> 7:16 8:1 9:21 51:19 83:13 97:3 98:17	mental 40:9 mention 39:19 42:20	42:12,17 61:1,6,20,22 62:6,12 71:13 86:24
legal 71:16 98:9	making 50:22 101:14	43:3 125:19	91:20 122:14
107:13	mapping 124:10	mentioned 34:2 39:23 42:23 79:20,22	motivated 79:25
legally 52:7,12	March 35:25 48:11	94:18,24 95:1	motivation 106:22
legitimate 97:12	49:12 51:3 92:5 101:23 104:25 105:1 107:24	mentioning 95:6	<b>move</b> 101:4
lender 21:13	124:22	125:24	Moyse 5:2,4 8:7 13:5 36:12 37:5 42:20 43:17
level 22:16	mark 24:23	message 20:2	44:4 45:2 46:20 47:19
levels 112:15 113:10	marked 31:17 122:12	Michaud 15:1	48:8,10 50:10 59:14 60:8 70:9 71:9 72:3
limit 71:10	market 33:9	mid-july 50:12	73:25 77:13 78:15 90:6,
limited 12:23 15:12,19 124:10	market's 21:13	Milne-smith 36:6,16 110:21 124:4	23 91:1 92:4 100:1 105:14 107:12,22
list 78:25 80:25	marking 121:24	mind 42:5 63:21 71:7,	122:1,7,16
listed 78:17	material 91:18,24 94:25 114:17	15 72:1 95:21 97:12	Moyse's 43:13
listen 15:17,44:16	materials 5:16 60:18,	minimum 21:17 49:23	multiple 57:16 58:14
114:2	22 77:20 115:14	minor 12:22	N
listening 52:11	matter 22:5,13 41:15	minute 7:18	
listing 80:9	42:2 63:8 66:3 97:3 106:23 107:13 109:18	minutes 40:16 121:17	names 44:22 45:5
litigation 69:1 77:16 95:23 96:25	matters 28:19,22 42:11 98:19 101:4	<b>mistake</b> : 50:10 92:7, 17,18 93:6 94:6,12,15,	nature 102:10,25 106:20 120:7

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOY

MOYSE, BRANDON on M	p Inc. V. Brandon Moyse et ay 11, 2015		ex: necessarilypreliminar
		Inde paragraph 7:13.8:9, 13,21 9:11 12:5,15,20 13:4,9 15:20 17:12 18:6,10,13 21:2 25:3 26:10,23 29:8,10 37:6 63:4 68:22 72:18 92:24 94:6 110:21,23 part 15:15 16:6,7 23:23 24:16 36:16,18 45:22 62:11 68:25 78:5 79:14, 17 91:19 92:7 95:8 101:5 104:16 113:14,15 118:20 124:22 parties 61:4	ex: necessarilypreliminar phoned 119:7 phoning 119:3 physical 108:20 physically 102:17 piece 65:7,12 pieces 64:14 65:2 pile' 21:17 place 10:10 61:16 play 103:13 played 12:22 player 21:11
notes 27:18 124:12	opportunities	partners 10:23 104:5	point 36:10 52:16
notwithstanding 46:25 63:20 69:9,19 86:6 113:23 117:21 number 15:14 63:4, 14,21	113:18,20,25 opportunity 44:12,18 94:23 98:17 99:23 opposed 95:22 optimize 65:24 66:6, 11,23 67:18,22 68:1,8	party 26:19 pay 107:3 125:10 paying 125:11 people 10:18 11:13 103:24 105:8 108:16 116:24 117:19,24 121:5	64:13 66:19 68:6 69:2 71:24 87:10,11 91:19 92:21,22 98:5 119:22 122:22 123:11 <b>pointed</b> 82:7,8 85:13 111:12
0	89:8	125:22 performance 65:9	pointing 111:19
oath 7:6 Objection 28:5 42:10 43:8,20 44:20 55:14 77:22 95:16 obligation 6:12 obtain 22:6 obtained 10:4 43:12 obvious 91:9 occasion 111:10 125:23	optimized 89:11,21 Optimizer 65:3 87:3, 10,18 88:1,16 89:2 option 23:12,14,20 82:19 order 9:12 22:6 51:25 53:20 60:4 61:13,25 64:22 65:14 68:21 72:4, 7,12,18 73:5,12,17 75:4 96:21 98:21 99:22 102:15 original 20:20 94:6 outcome 86:24	66:7,11,23 67:9,15,18, 22 68:2 89:4 <b>performed</b> 32:20 35:13 38:14 53:19 <b>period</b> 18:15 24:6 71:7 76:14,20,25 89:21 98:7 99:14 104:23,25 105:19 <b>permanent</b> 82:21 <b>personal</b> 9:13,16 16:24 52:4 59:16 62:1 63:6,16 64:7 69:17 72:8 73:16 76:18 77:7,10	points 36:6 portion 63:3 101:8 position 11:15,24 22:5 36:21,23 47:12,17 51:1,4,7,10 71:19,21 105:10 106:24 121:13 positioned 21:4 positions 47:20 possess 35:14 possession 43:21 74:9 possibly 62:16
occasioned 99:17 occupying 108:16 occur 39:2 77:14 occurred 6:23 37:13 41:20 110:7 121:2 October 5:17,23 offer 43:18 offered 36:7 43:10,18 44:1 98:17 offering 125:10	outcomes 62:6 overstatement 116:5 P p.m. 19:24 61:17 126:4 paid 45:24 46:13 125:16 par 125:12	78:18,21 94:9 96:24 98:1,8 100:4 101:1,5, 13,18,25 104:24 109:18 112:14,16 113:3,20 <b>personally</b> 79:8,16, 24 80:16 <b>perspective</b> 21:13 67:9 <b>Peter</b> 103:12 <b>phone</b> 76:17 100:4,8, 10,12,16,21 101:1,4,6, 11,14,16,19,25 102:1,3 104:23,24 105:7	possibly 62:16         potential 10:19 62:6         65:14 114:5         potentially 30:25         73:12 113:12 115:21         Powerpoint 28:13         precedes 118:14         precisely 115:2         preferable 45:1         preliminary 13:23         14:1,5 15:1



The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

preparation 31:20	productive 113:5,8	121:22,24,25 122:8	recovering 84.16
46:23.94:21	program 67:23,25	124:15,20 125:25	recruiters 11:19
orepare 34:9	88:4 89:6 90:1,2 101:3,	quote 111:1	recruitment 124:23
orepared 42:11 124:25	prohibited 102:6	quote/unquote 23:12	Red 80:11,12 81:1
oreparing 41:17 46:5	project 26:24 27:24		redact 105:6
presence 125:20	112:14,17 113:20	R	refer 110:6
oresent 101:2:105:1	promoted 11:14,24		reference 81:1
113:19	proposed 69:11,20	<b>R/f</b> 95:16 105:24	refers 22:14 23:15
resentation 27:9	protocol 62:19	raised 91:7,9,20	refused 35:17,18,19,
28:13	provide 9:14 21:14	randomly 118:23	21 36:24
oresented 15:25 21:9 30:22	36:25 43:11 70:2	re-examination	regard 17:9.28:1
oresenting 113:17,24	provided 39:16 73:5 124:4,8	read 16:12,18,21 17:1,	registry 82:7,9,15 85:14,16,23 86:2,7,14,
preserve 51:13	<b>public</b> 68:25 107:24	3,6,10 20:24 21:25 23:23 30:2 34:5 51:25	17,20,23
oress 122:20	112:9 114:11 116:14	56:13,14 60:18 70:15 72:3 93:5 123:19,22	regularly 66:18,20
orevious 7:1031:11 42:8	publicized 22:11 pump 33:6	reading 16:4 17:4	regulatory 18:11,14, 19,22,25 19:1,6 22:10
previously 7:19	purchased 25:9 64:3,	115:14 123:21 124:1,7	25:5,11 26:10 28:18,21,
39:10 107:9 108:24 109:6,18 113:17 122:12	14 65:3,4,12,24 67:12, 17 86:1,7 89:5	125:18 realized 94:13	25 relate 28:18,25
		reason 43:4 65:23	related 10:19 15:23
rinted 27:9	purchasing 65:8 66:23	89:24 94:7 112:24	19:6 25:5,24 26:1,24
<b>rior</b> 21:23 30:21,22 42:12 63:14,21 75:13	purely 66:1	reasons 21:18	27:18 28:13,15,21 31:6 35:25 36:21 51:20
77:3 79:1 81:4,16 86:14 91:1,10,15,25 96:13,19	purportedly 115:18	recall 6:8 11:24 16:4	54:21 88:20,24 110:13
101:22	purpose 48:20 66:13	18:14 29:5,6 34:21 35:17 38:15 57:21 60:7	relates 7:23 12:8 33:19 38:23 121:24
rivilege 78:5	71:12 101:14	61:1 74:2 78:16 89:20,	relating 109:7
rivileged 78:3	purposes 6:18 78:22 106:17	23 91:1,3 115:2,13,14, 15 119:3 120:6 122:7	relation 6:3,16,17,22
<b>rivy</b> 12:21 17:22 18:3,	pursuant 99:22	123:2,9 125:23	7:6 12:16 14:25 22:7
6 47:23	put 42:11 70:23 71:6,	recap 92:2	25:24 26:3 30:24 32:9 36:12,22 38:3,25 39:10,
roblem 122:5	15,25	received 20:3 25:12	19 41:15,17 95:9 98:18
roceeding 5:5 6:19 12:17 52:8,13 59:15	putting 106:17	30:19 31:4 71:16 112:6 114:7	106:4,6 114:22 117:18 118:6 120:23
88:7 91:25 102:5	Q	recent 43:7	release 122:20
FOCESS 43:12 62:19 63:17 69:10,11 70:23		Recently 103:21	relevant 43:13 50:20
71:3 107:23 121:2	question 14:4 15:17	RECESS 90:20	51:4,10,14,20,24 52:1, 7,13,18 59:17 63:7 64:8
124:23	35:23 36:21 44:23 45:19 69:13 70:22	121:19	70:22 71:12 77:15,20
	71:12 73:22 77:8,23	recollection 27:19,22 28:1 29:1 32:22 88:10	96:24 106:18
roduce 35:16:36:7 105:6			
	102:21 107:9,14,18 123:1,2	120:20	relief 62:11 71:13 relying 71:16

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

10,21 16:23 17:4 19:15, 18 20:25 23:22 27:5,13, 23 28:2,15,16,20 32:21, 23 34:11 35:18,20 37:12,14 42:6,7 47:13, 18 48:6,10,14 51:5,6 52:25 54:2,8,20 56:9 57:18 60:13,18,20,22 61:4,7,8,9 68:11,14,17, 20 74:6 75:7,15 76:7,9 80:6,23 81:5,20,23,24 82:4 85:12,19,24 86:9, 10,11,13,16 87:14,22, 25 88:3 89:9,10,13 91:15 98:25 99:1,3,4 100:13 103:9 115:4 116:20 118:7,21,24 119:2,6 123:7,10 124:18

remorse 96:17 97:2 98:6

repeat 69:12

repeated 19:12

report 86:1

request 36:10

required 21:6 72:8

research 45:23 58:15 82:2,7,11 83:9 84:7,22, 25 85:4,11,16

researching 45:19 81:17 94:20

resolve 105:11

resolved 61:6

respect 18:25 21:2 22:5 64:21 73:16 85:16 107:12 109:8.14.21 115:11

#### respond 118:25

responded 42:14 119:21 120:13

responding 18:1 122:14

response 23:11 42:11,16 106:15 119:17 120:7

17:17

scheduled 88:16

16 56:1,2,3,5,13

search 53:23 55:3,6,

rest 45:6

result 29:12 47:14 62:5 83:6 88:6 121:23 RESUMING 90:21 121:20 retail 23:21 retained 53:21 54:6 15 56:20 58:19 retention 54:16,17 retrospect 30:17 returned 60:22 105:23 returning 93:25 reveal 22:20 review 5:97:179:13 60:2,21 62:16,18 reviewed 27:14 31:19 32.2 63 7 reviewing 87:17 109:15 **Riley** 27:17 28:3,10 110:19,25 111:18 **Riley's** 18:1 27:14 28:1 91:14 111:13 risk 18:11,14,19,25 19:1.6 22:10 25:5 risks 18:22 role 12:22 103:13 104:13 106:7 109:8,13 113:17,24 Royal 108:6,10 run 82:15 running 67:6,10 S sat 33:5 41:25 117:6 saved 55:17 scenario 14:7.14.19

searched 55.8 searches 53:16.19 54:5,6,7,9,15,18,22 57:17 81:25 searching 54:11 83:13 seconds 118:8,9 secure 87:6 90:7 seek 22:14 70:21 119:21 seeking 48:21 62:11 114:13,16,21 segregated 101:3 selective 59:7 sell 19:4 21:6 23:5 24:5 25:8 sending 33:21,24 92:5 118:14 sends 34.25 sense 65:21 121:9.12 sentence 29:10 92:23 separate 27:23 65:21 121:10 separation 108:20 set 30:20,23 31:4 shared 37:10,23 108:3 Shop 117:19 short 121:13 show 19:19 showing 122:11 shows 58:22 side 40:19 108:18 significant 42:4 47:7 silent 117:6

similar 124:23

**simple** 70:10

simply 13:10 82:13 83:12,23 92:19 93:24 98:15,18 109:18

Singh 37.7.22 38:23 39:9 40:1,5,15,21

Index: remorse. started

41:11,15 95:14,19,22 96:4 124:15,17 125:17 Singh's 95:21 single 120:8 sir 63:19 sits 42:1 situation 94:20 95:12 slightly 110:16 slowly 67:6,10 smaller 11:6.8 software 57:5 64:4.14 65:2.8.12.24 66:6.13.14 67:12,17 87:5,12 89:5 sold 21:16 sole 111:21 solution 21:10 sought 71:13 Sounds 60:15 space 108:3,16 speaking 40:21 101:7,10 123:11 specific 22:13 37:21 42:2 45:3 specifically 27:7 28:14 38:24 39:18 44:6, 17 45:11 68:17 79:5 101:10 118:16 120:5 specifics 28:16 **Spectrum** 19:3,4 21:7 23:5 24:6 25:9 speculation 95:21 spend 123:24 spent 87:17 124:6 spoken 41:11 stage 17:21 18:3 stand 7:18 50:16 standalone 21:11 125:3 start 38:2 112:20

started 32:15 33:2 34:5,7 37:15,17,20

neesons

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE BRANDON on May 11, 2015

41:20,25 54:11 60:14	Supriya 30:19	testing 85:9	totally 121:14
89:6 100:20 114:16	surely 24:13	thing 24:6 33:4,11	touched 27:11,23
starting 34:21	surprising 116:15	55:15 66:5,9 93:25 97:13,18 112:21	Tower 108:6,7,10
starts 19:22	swearing 78:16	things 13:15 15:14	trades 103:3
state 13:10 29:20 40:9 71:7,15,25 90:10 96:12	<b>swore</b> 5:20 6:22 7:17 12:16 78:15	49:1,7,11,16 50:1,17 51:2 55:16,20 56:12	trading 112:15 113:9
stated 8:8	<b>sworn</b> 5:4,7,10,16,23	65:22 71:23,24	transaction 12:22 22:7 24:20 29:15 30:12
statement 8:13 9:21 22:10 29:22 111:13	6:1,11 7:9,19 27:17 37:6 49:22 78:20	thinking 66:5,9 80:14	15 31:1 33:8,18 34:1,3 12,15,17 45:19 47:14
statements 29:16 30:9	<b>system</b> 65:3,24 67:19, 22 68:2,8 87:3,10,18	thought 48:22 49:19 59:4 91:21 113:4,16	122:9,17,24 123:10,12 20,23 124:11,24 125:2
stating 111:1	88:1,15 89:2,4,8,11,21 115:12	<b>time</b> 8:15 9:17,20 10:1 11:21 13:1 16:17 18:15	3,5,9 transactions 109:7,
steps 84:22 85:1,7	systems 109:10	23:8,9 24:6,13 29:24 30:5,18 31:16 37:8	14
stop 40:4,6,7,11 41:16		39:11 41:22 46:13 47:5,	transferred 9:15 94:
96:5	Т	16 52:16 53:8,9 61:8 68:3,4 69:20 71:8,9	true 25:14 29:25
stopping 124:18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	73:6,7 75:19 76:14,20	trust 72:13,16,24 73:8
story 116:17,23 121:4	table 23:13	77:1 79:5 83:18,23	108:6,10
strategic 122:17 124:24 125:2	tabs 87:21 takes 55:25	87:17,20,21 88:11 90:18 91:2,3 98:5 99:14,25 101:1,20,22	truth 6:13 106:22
strategy 22:14,20,22		102:6,12 105:23 107:3,	<b>Tube</b> 80:11,12 81:1
Street 108:11	taking 51:2,4 70:10 77:6,20 78:12,22 83:4,	10,23 108:2 113:4,5,23	Turgeon 20:3
stressed 112:15	24 107:23 112:22 113:2 talk 26:23 29:10 117:19	114:6 116:13 119:22 121:5	<b>turn</b> 12:11,15 13:3 72: 74:1,4 75:12 88:17 99:
113:10		times 10:21 11:11 57:16 64:4 68:17 89:13	turned 63:7 68:13
subject 25:21 26:13, 18 73:12 91:13 99:2	talked 93:9 100:2 111:9	109:2	74:13,14,16 76:22,24 98:8
105:24 121:21,24	talking 33:14 38:7	today 6:4 31:20 99:8	turning 74:2 91:10
submissions 60:16	43:24,25 91:2 116:25	121:25 124:4	96:13,19 98:23 99:14
98:17	121:6	told 11:13,23 12:25	turns 107:18
subsequent 32:19,24 33:23	task 123:25	30:18 40:2,4,6,7,23 41:11,15 49:10,13,19	type 21:8 54:19 55:4,9
	team 10:19,22 13:6,7 16:6,7 23:24 24:17	50:16,23 51:12 70:21	20,21
subsequently 38:23 63:16 67:21 121:13	104:17 125:21	77:5,13 87:14 88:3,5 95:22 96:4 113:9,16	typed 54:23 55:1
substantial 125:13	telecom 19:3 27:11,24	117:2 125:17	typing 55:16
substantive 5:5	telling 9:11 12:19	Tony 40:22 41:1	
successful 57:11	47:20 65:15 81:5	125:23	Ŭ
58:1,8,12,20	ten 61:21	<b>tool</b> 68:16 82:14,18,23 83:2,12,19,23 89:12,14,	<b>U/a</b> 71:14 78:13 100:1
sufficient 82:14,21 83:3,24 84:2,4	terms 11:8 14:13 61:5 72:12 73:4 96:18 97:2	15	105:2 106:8,15 107:14 119:14
summary 56:6	98:1	tools 66:23 87:17	<b>U/t</b> 37:1 107:20
summer 6:23	territory 44:25	top 80:19	<b>UBS</b> 20:3,5
suppose 112:13	testified 109:5,18	topic 116:15,16 121:11	Uhm-hmm 124:2
-, • · · · · · · · · ·	testimony 6:11 31:21 32:3	<b>Toronto</b> 116:25	



The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al MOYSE, BRANDON on May 11, 2015

ultimately 21:15	Volume 122:13	wiped 91:4 93:9	
61:13 86:1		wiping 93:25	Y
unable 9:14	W	wireless 25:8	NORE 710 4010 4010 0010
uncommon 116:24	wait 71:18 98:23	<b>wise</b> 93:16,20	<b>year</b> 7:9 12:6 43:2 63:2 92:11
underlying 125:8		withheld 99:4	years 23:5 53:4
understand 6:4 7:8,	waited 75:6,9	withstand 83:7,10	York 11:20
15 24:15 45:8 49:5,21 50:21 52:15 74:25	wall 30:20,23 31:4	witness' 28:6	
78:10,11 83:16 87:6,8	wanted 65:8		Z
106:13,24 107:20 125:4	ways 54:12	word 17:24 69:21 120:8	······································
understanding	web 81:25 82:2,6	words 24:14 104:7	<b>Zach</b> 15:1
18:20,22 24:16 32:25 33:13 39:9 48:22 56:19	website 55:24 79:17	work 9:8 12:9 13:12	
62:21 69:25 113:23	websites 56:8,15,18	17:12,16,21 18:23 27:4	
understood 6:12,15,	79:13,23 80:2,7 81:10, 11	32:8 34:7,8 35:7,13,16	
21 7:3 24:3,13,14 36:23		36:25 37:20 39:10,19 40:3,6,7,23 41:1,20	
51:16 62:14 69:10 70:9 72:7,11 73:3 87:9,11	week 125:22	42:1,20,22 43:5 44:1	
undertaking 36:17,	well-publicized 19:2	45:12,20,21 46:3,6,10, 11,17,18,22,24 47:1	
19 51:13,17	West 7:1 11:7 17:7,9	84:18 94:19,21 95:13	
unfair 125:5	29:14 30:4,12,13,24,25 31:13 32:8,15 33:2,5	100:20 105:15,19,23	
unfold 71:4	35:13,16,19 36:8 37:8,	108:12,14,25 112:19 113:1,6 114:1 115:15	
unfolding 95:23	9,18,20,22 38:2,14,20 41:21,25 42:21,23	124:16,18 125:18	
unhelpful 115:5,6	43:10,22 44:2,7,13,14,	worked 26:24 44:6,18	
unilateral 73:25	19 45:12,25 46:3,10,11, 13 19 22 24 47 2 9 11	45:3 47:8 102:16,19 111:24	
	13,18,22,24 47:2,8,11, 17,20,24 94:19 95:10,		
unilaterally 98:22	24 100:20 101:4 102:8,	working 10:18 18:15 32:14 38:2 39:23 40:4,	
unusual 108:24 109:6	17,23 103:5,13 104:2, 13 105:8,15,19,23	12 41:16,25 44:14	
	106:6,7,23 107:2,12	45:18 96:5,8 102:7 107:5 108:17 124:21	
V	108:11 120:19,23 121:12 122:14 123:17	125:22,24	
vacation 16:16,19,22,	121.12122.14123.17 125:19	works 54:16	
23 17:7 21:23	whatsoever 18:7	world 116:17,24 121:5	
variety 59:1	84:23 96:12	worthy 113:13	
veracity 28:6	wholesale 23:18 24:8	writes 20:17	
verifying 29:21 30:2, 3,8,11 58:13	whomever 83:24	writing 23:5	
vice-presidents	win 18:2	wrong 28:4 33:1 97:4	
11:1	Wind 12:9 13:6 15:11,	98:1	
view 95:11	19 16:6,7,25 17:13 18:15 20:5 23:24 24:7,		
visit 75:13,18 80:23	17 25:6,25 26:3 27:18	<u> </u>	
81:10	29:15 30:5,12,14,24 31:1,6 104:16	XTUBE 80:20 81:2	
visited 56:18 81:6		Л I: UDL 0U, 2U:01; 2	
visiting 80:4 88:21	window 68:19		
			1

neesons

S

-

No.	No. Pg.	ơ	Undertaking / Question Under Advisement	Question	Answer
~	35	173	Undertaking	To advise whether Mr. Moyse's counsel refused to produce copies of the work Mr. Moyse had done at West Face.	Mr. Moyse's counsel took this question under advisement on Mr. Moyse's July 31, 2014 cross-examination. Mr. Moyse's counsel did not subsequently answer this question; however, Catalyst's counsel did not follow up on this request. In any event, West Face offered to produce such documents through the Independent Supervising Solicitor in March, 2015, but Catalyst did not accept this offer.
2	68	368	Under Advisement	To provide communications between Mr. Moyse and his counsel before the date that he brought his computer into his counsel's office for the purpose of forensic imaging, relevant to this question of the relief that was being sought on the motion.	Refused. These communications are privileged. Mr. Moyse has not put his state of mind during these privileged communications in issue.
e	75	410	Under Advisement	To advise why Mr. Moyse wouldn't have had an open discussion with his counsel and the forensic expert to alert them that he had altered his computer by taking materials that weren't relevant off of it.	Refused. The scope of Mr. Moyse's discussions with his counsel are privileged.
4	96	535	Under Advisement	To advise when Mr. Moyse got his home phone.	Mr. Moyse got his home phone line in November, 2013.

No.	-bd	ơ	Undertaking / Under Advisement	Question	Answer
ى ع	100	561	Under Advisement	To provide detailed copies of Mr. Moyse's cell phone billings from the period of March 1, 2014 to present.	Between March, 2014 and October, 2014, Mr. Moyse's cell phone billings only show the calls he placed and received when he was outside of the Rogers coverage area. None of the calls Mr. Moyse made during this period were made to West Face personnel.
					<ul> <li>Mr. Moyse's detailed cell phone billings from November, 2014-present reflect two calls he made to West Face personnel:</li> <li>1) Feb 10, 2015, 14:41 to 416-479-7330 (business number for Supriya Kapoor, Chief Compliance Officer at West Face), length of call 2 mins: Mr. Moyse's call to Ms. Kapoor was in order to seek clearance on a trade he had placed.</li> <li>2) April 23, 2015, 17:57 to 416-303- 8980, cell number for Peter Brimm (departed employee), length of call 7 mins: Mr. Moyse's call to Mr. Brimm on April 23, 2015, was for personal reasons following Mr. Brimm's departure from West Face.</li> </ul>
9	102	565	Under Advisement	To advise whether there is an agreement that exists between Mr.	

2

No.	Pg.	a	Undertaking / Que Under Advisement	Question	Answer
				Moyse and West Face right now in relation to Mr Moyse's role at West	at West Face.
				Face.	
7	102	566	Under	To produce any agreement that exists	There is no agreement between Mr. Moyse
			Advisement	between Mr. Moyse and West Face	
				right now in relation to Mr. Moyse's role	
8	103	569	Under	To advise whether there is an	West Face has reimbursed Mr. Movse for his
			Advisement	indemnity agreement that exists	legal fees to date in this matter. However,
				between West Face and Mr. Moyse	there is no indemnity agreement.
				with respect to his legal fees in this	
				matter.	
6	103	570	570 Under	To produce any indemnity agreement	To produce any indemnity agreement There is no indemnity agreement between
			Advisement	that exists between West Face and Mr.	West Face and Mr. Moyse with respect to his
				Moyse with respect to his legal fees in	legal fees in this matter.
				this matter.	K
10	114	643	Under	To advise whether Mr. Moyse still has a	Mr. Moyse has reviewed his records and
			Advisement	copy of the email from Mr. Moyse	does not have a copy of this email.
				responding to Mr. Dea's request for the	
				Cerberus entity that Callidus was	
				modeled after.	

Doc 1442073 v1

UTS00008/3

ŝ