In the Matter Of:

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al

> ASSER ELSHANAWANY May 12, 2015

# neesons

141 Adelaide Street West | 11<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, Ontario M5H 3L5 1.888.525.6666 | 416.413.7755

#### ELSHANAWANY, ASSER on May 12, 2015 Page 1 Court File No. CV-14-507120 1 2 3 ONTARIO 4 SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE 5 6 BETWEEN: 8 9 THE CATALYST CAPITAL GROUP INC. Plaintiff 10 11 - and -12 BRANDON MOYSE and WEST FACE CAPITAL INC. Defendant 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 \_\_\_\_\_ --- This is the Cross-Examination of ASSER ELSHANAWANY, 20 21 on his affidavit sworn March 9, 2015, taken at the 22 offices of Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg LLP, 40th 23 Floor, 155 Wellington Street West, Toronto, Ontario, on 24 the 12th day of May, 2015. 25

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al



	ELSHANAWANY, ASSER on May 12, 2015 Page 2	2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Andrew Winton, Esq. for the Plaintiff.	
4		
5	Kristian Borg-Olivier, Esq. for the Defendant	
6	Brandon Moyse	
7		
8	Matthew Milne-Smith, Esq. for the Defendant	
9	West Face Capital Inc.	
10		
11	Caitlin Sansbury, Esq. for the witness	
12	Asser Elshanawany,	
13	REPORTED BY: Terry Wood, RPR, CSR	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al



	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al ELSHANAWANY, ASSER on May 12, 2015 Page 3
1	INDEX
2	
3	WITNESS: ASSER ELSHANAWANY
4	Page
5	ASSER ELSHANAWANY
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Winton 5
7	***The following list of undertakings, advisements and
8	refusals is meant as a guide only for the assistance of
9	counsel and no other purpose***
10	
11	INDEX OF REFUSALS
12	The questions/requests refused are noted by R/F and
13	appear on the following pages/lines: None.
14	
15	INDEX OF UNDERTAKINGS
16	The questions/requests undertaken are noted by U/T and
17	appear on the following pages/lines: None.
18	
19	INDEX OF UNDER ADVISEMENTS
20	The questions/requests taken under advisement are noted
21	by U/A and appear on the following pages/lines: 51/25,
2.2	52/5.
23	
24	
2.5	



	The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al ELSHANAWANY, ASSER on May 12, 2015	Page 4
1	LIST OF EXHIBITS	
2	EXHIBIT NO./DESCRIPTION	Page
3	1 Article titled "Wind Mobile Replaces CEO,	56
4	Hands Reins to Former Public Mobile	
5	Rival", dated March 23, 2015.	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1	Upon commencing at 2:03 p.m.
2	ASSER EL SHANAWANY, AFFIRMED.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. WINTON:
4	1 Q. Good afternoon, sir. Can you state
5	your name for the record.
6	A. My name is Asser El Shanawany.
7	Q. Okay. Last name E-L, space,
8	S-H-A-N-A-W-A-N-Y?
9	A. That's correct.
10	3 Q. Okay. Good. Thank you. And just
11	going off paragraph 1 of your affidavit sworn
12	March 9th, 2015, you are currently the Corporate
13	Planning and Control Officer for Wind Mobile Corp.?
14	A. That's correct.
15	4 Q. And we will refer to the company
16	throughout here as "Wind", okay? No problem?
17	A. Okay.
18	5 Q. And according to paragraph 1, you
19	joined Wind in January, 2009, as Vice-President
20	Planning, Reporting, and Control, correct?
21	A. That's correct.
22	Q. And is that essentially the same
23	position as your current position, just with a title
24	promotion, or is there some difference in role?
25	A. There is a little bit of a

Number one, it's a promotion; number two, 1 difference. 2 I now oversee some of the strategic planning aspects of 3 the business, which I wasn't back then. I also oversee the consumer credit risk, which I wasn't doing back 4 5 then. 6 7 0. Now, I took the liberty of reviewing your LinkedIn profile before today's 8 cross-examination, but I don't see a need to enter that 9 as an exhibit. I think we will just go through some 10 what I hope are uncontroversial facts about your 11 background. 12 You describe yourself as a founding 13 executive of Wind, correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 8 Ο. And that means you were there from 16 the start, more or less? 17 Α. Yes. 18 9 Ο. Prior to working at Wind, you were 19 the Head of Financial Planning and Financial Relations 2.0 at a company called Mobinil? 21 Α. Yes. 2.2 10 0. That's spelled M-O-B-I-N-I-L, 23 correct? 24 A That's correct. 25 11 Now, I understand Mobinil is a Q.

1	subsidiary of Orascom?
2	A. Yes. Was.
3	12 Q. It was a subsidiary of Orascom? At
4	the time you worked at Mobinil, it was a subsidiary?
5	A. Yes.
6	13 Q. So is it fair to say your position
7	at Wind was a result of your relationship with Orascom?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And is it fair to say that Orascom
10	put you in place at Wind because it trusted you and
11	wanted to have its representative there at the
12	corporation?
13	A. We can you can say so as well as
14	clearly due to the I would say the professional
15	skills and that I had back then.
16	15 Q. Not to take away from your
17	professional skills, but you were a trusted
18	representative of Orascom. Is that your understanding?
19	A. I wouldn't call it representative
20	of Orascom, because I am a local employee starting the
21	day I joined Wind. Now, how I came to land this job
22	was basically through my connections to them, but I
23	wasn't here representing anyone, really.
24	16 Q. Got it. Thank you. And I read on
25	your LinkedIn profile it says you led the establishment

1	of the financial infrastructure for Wind, correct?
2	A. That's correct.
3	Q. What does that mean?
4	A. So all the way from the start, we
5	had to deploy ERP systems. We had to so Oracle
6	financials, we had to establish billing systems, we had
7	to establish control processes, financial control
8	processes. So pretty much I mean, Wind was a
9	startup, and accordingly, all of that had to be
10	established from from scratch. So all the way from
11	RFQs, RFPs, the design, the actual implementation
12	the, I would say, industry know-how. I have been in
13	telecom for almost now 15 years or something, so the
14	industry know-how, and that was it.
15	Q. Okay. So just to clarify, then,
16	when you refer to financial infrastructure, you are not
17	referring to the raising of financial capital for the
18	corporation?
19	A. Oh, no. No, no.
20	19 Q. Okay. Thank you. Turning to
21	paragraph 4 of your affidavit, you state here or you
22	refer to the fact, in 2011, the majority of Wind's
23	equity was indirectly acquired by I'll pronounce it
24	VimpelCom. To make the reporter's job easier, it's
25	V-I-M-P-E-L-C-O-M, all one word.

1	Now, my understanding is VimpelCom
2	simply bought out Orascom's interest; is that correct?
3	A. That's correct.
4	Q. And you stayed on even after
5	Orascom sold out?
6	A. Yes. Again, I was a local
7	employee. I wasn't really associated with them, so
8	Q. Okay. Is it fair to say you didn't
9	have the same relationship with VimpelCom you had with
10	Orascom?
11	A. Yes, that's correct.
12	22 Q. You wouldn't describe yourself as a
13	VimpelCom representative at Wind, correct?
14	A. No. We had other representatives
15	in the management team who basically were directly
16	VimpelCom employees.
17	23 Q. Right. And you weren't one of
18	them?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Okay. Now, currently, your job
21	entails financial planning and corporate finance. Does
22	that now include the raising or concerns regarding
23	raising capital for the corporation?
24	A. I'm involved in the raising of
25	capital. So, for instance, if we are going for a

1	vendor financing, I am involved in because I lead
2	all of the planning, so in providing all of the plans
3	and doing the due diligence with those vendors or
4	whomever they are and kind of it stops, really,
5	there. I don't really get involved in structuring the
6	facility or or kind of trying to find alternatives
7	for the funding.
8	25 Q. Okay. So, for instance, if Wind
9	has a need to raise a whole lot of new capital, that's
10	not really within your purview?
11	A. It's not within my purview to
12	decide where do we raise this capital. Once this is
13	decided, usually at the shareholder level, I'm provided
14	a mandate. So I speak to, I don't know, UBS or Morgan
15	Stanley or whomever and kind of act or follow through a
16	specific line of thoughts that they had and they have
17	agreed upon.
18	26 Q. So you support the mandate?
19	A. Yes.
20	27 Q. Okay. Thank you.
21	Now, in swearing the affidavit we have
22	here at tab B of West Face's motion record, you
23	understood prior to swearing this that it was important
24	for you to tell the truth in this affidavit?
25	A. Yes.

1	Q. And you understood that the
2	evidence you are giving is for a court proceeding?
3	A. Yes.
4	29 Q. In paragraph 3 of your affidavit,
5	on page 2, you refer to the fact that almost from its
6	inception Wind explored sources of Canadian financing
7	as a result of well-publicized regulatory concerns.
8	Were you involved in those explorations
9	for Canadian financing?
10	A. Not firsthand involvement. I was
11	made aware of them. Clearly, from the operational side
12	that the one that I was managing, we had
13	difficulties because of the regulatory environment or
14	I'd say the after the first review done by Industry
15	Canada where where they deemed Wind not to operate
16	on the licence it acquired, I was very well aware as
17	well as publicly it was made very clear that Wind is
18	having financial difficulties and that options are
19	being explored to secure more Canadian funds, if you
20	wish, to allow the operation to get off the ground.
21	30 Q. Right. But as one of the founding
22	executives, you were aware of the discussions at Wind
23	regarding the search for Canadian capital?
24	A. Yes.
25	31 Q. Were you involved in any of those

1	discussions with potential partners who were going to
2	contribute the capital?
3	A. No, not no. Not firsthand
4	32 Q. Not firsthand?
5	A at all. No.
6	33 Q. So what you are recounting here in
7	paragraph 3 is merely what you knew from secondhand
8	information from others at Wind?
9	A. That's correct.
10	34 Q. Paragraph 6 of your affidavit
11	begins with a sentence that states you were informed by
12	UBS that West Face had delivered an executed
13	nondisclosure agreement. Who at UBS gave you that
14	information?
15	A. We have I mean, there was a
16	team. I don't remember the exact person. That's why I
17	said UBS. There was a team of five or six different
18	representatives, and they would depending on
19	availability, some of them would show up in specific
20	meetings and others wouldn't. Just leave it there.
21	35 Q. So as of the swearing of this
22	affidavit and today when you are being cross-examined,
23	you don't recall who at UBS gave you that information?
24	A. Yes.
25	36 Q. Yes, I'm correct with my statement?

1	A. Yes, I do not recall the name of
2	the person what gave me the exact piece of information.
3	Q. And I take it, then, that you
4	weren't directly involved in the preparation or seeking
5	the nondisclosure agreement from Wind or, sorry,
6	from West Face?
7	A. No, I was not.
8	38 Q. Turning to the last sentence of
9	paragraph 6, you refer to a management presentation
10	that was given on December 17th, 2013, at which you
11	were present?
12	A. That's correct.
13	Q. Who from West Face was present at
14	that meeting?
15	A. Okay. So there was Anthony
16	Griffin, Peter Fraser, a gentleman called Yu-Jia. I
17	don't remember his last name. I just don't remember
18	his last name.
19	MR. MILNE-SMITH: Capital Y-U, hyphen,
20	capital J-I-A, last name, Z-H-U.
21	THE WITNESS: There was another
22	gentleman, but I don't remember his name. He didn't
23	really talk much in the meeting, and I wasn't
24	introduced to the guy.
25	

1	BY MR. WINTON:
2	40 Q. Okay. So four people from West
3	Face were there?
4	A. Yes.
5	41 Q. Paragraph 7, you are describing the
6	general terms access to the Wind data room and
7	management presentations, and the second-last sentence,
8	so it's about six lines five lines from the bottom
9	of that paragraph, you state:
10	"While some parties asked for
11	additional materials and therefore
12	received different materials than
13	others, to the best of my recollection,
14	none of this additional information was
15	material."
16	Now, this phrase "to the best of my
17	recollection" seems to be recurring throughout your
18	affidavit, and I'm just curious. That's because we are
19	talking here about events that happened approximately
20	one year ago?
21	A. Right.
22	Q. And in some cases, longer, correct?
23	A. That's correct. As well as I
24	wasn't in every single meeting or in every single
25	copied in every single exchange, so I'm

1	43 Q. Right. And I was going to get to
2	that. So your role, I take it, at Wind was to support
3	requests for information that were coming down from the
4	investment bankers?
5	A. Yes, mostly.
6	44 Q. And you didn't have a direct role
7	in the discussions with potential bidders, correct?
8	A. Yes. Most of the discussions
9	with like, if you are talking about the the
LO	strategy or the shareholder-related issues, it was
11	dealt with the shareholders, so we were handling the
12	management side of the transaction, so
13	45 Q. Right. If people were in the
1.4	process that they were going through the data room and
15	conducting due diligence, they had a question about
16	some document or about some state of affairs, that
17	might go to you to answer, correct?
18	A. That's correct.
19	46 Q. And that's essentially the extent
20	of your role in the bidding process?
21	A. Yes.
22	47 Q. So you are not aware of all of the
23	additional materials or additional information that was
24	requested from potential bidders, correct?
2.5	A. No, I was not. Not to every single

one of them. I managed those -- the -- call it the due 1 2 diligence list, so I would get the master due diligence 3 list, then I would pretty much kind of coordinate internally with the different functional heads on 4 5 providing the different materials back to UBS who 6 posted in the data room and then kind of give access to 7 the potential bidders. 8 48 0. Right. But I think my question was 9 intended to focus more on the parties' individual 10 requests for additional information. Okay? So when a 11 particular party asked for additional information, you 12 weren't necessarily tracking which party was asking for 13 which information and what was going to whom in 14 response to those requests, were you? 15 Α. I'd say most of those, I was, 16 because I was managing the master due diligence list. 17 That said, some requests sometimes would come directly 18 from the bidder to UBS directly to the functional head, 19 and in some cases, I wouldn't be there, but I would 2.0 describe that as minimum. 21 49 Okav. If there were questions 0. 2.2 directed to UBS that required a response from the 23 current shareholders, those would bypass you? 24 Α. Yes. 25 50 So there may be questions of that Q.

1	nature that you are completely unaware of?
2	A. Yes.
3	51 Q. So following that, in paragraph 8,
4	you state, with respect to management presentations, to
5	the best of your recollection:
6	"Neither Catalyst nor West Face
7	received any different material
8	information during the management
9	presentations."
10	And by stating "to the best of your
11	recollection", that's because you are not absolutely
12	certain about that point, right?
13	A. No, I was I was there in both
14	in both presentations, and the story line of the
15	presentation and the structure of it was pretty much
16	the same, with a the only difference the only
17	material difference I would describe is basically the
18	timing of the presentations themselves, and,
19	accordingly, the information updated in the deck.
20	Now, every bidder in every meeting had
21	different questions based on their knowledge of the
22	business and the market dynamics and whatever
23	developments that were happening. The questions were a
24	little bit different from one bidder to the other, but
25	the pretty much, I'd say, the most of the

Page 18

discussions were the same as well as the materials 1 2 presented. Just how they got at it from different 3 points of view. 52 Right. They had different points 4 0. 5 of view about different aspects of the operations of 6 the company, correct? 7 Α. Yes. Now, in paragraph 10 on page 4 of 8 53 0. 9 your affidavit, you state, in early May, 2014, you were 10 informed by UBS, and it goes on about West Face sending 11 a term sheet. And I take it, again, as with the 12 previous reference to just UBS as a blanket reference, 13 you don't recall who at UBS gave you that information, 14 correct? 15 A. Yes. And -- yes. It's usually a 16 meeting and/or a phone call, and we have multiple 17 representation, and people just speak over each other. 18 54 0. Right. And as you candidly state 19 in paragraph 10, you weren't privy to the deliberations 20 by VimpelCom, the majority shareholder, correct? 21 Α. Yeah, that's correct. There were others at Wind involved 2.2 55 Ο. 23 in management who were privy to those discussions? 24 Α. I honestly don't know. 25 56 Q. Okay.

Page 19

I would -- I don't want to assume, 1 but, personally, I don't know. 2 3 57 0. Well, you refer elsewhere in your affidavit to Mr. Cordova? 4 5 Yes. Α. 6 58 0. And he was at the time the chief 7 operating officer at Wind? 8 That's correct. Α. 9 59 0. And you refer to him in 10 paragraph 12 as acting as the VimpelCom representative 11 on the Wind management team? 12 Α. That's correct, but I don't know what did he know and what he didn't know. 13 14 60 Can you say now that he likely knew Q. 15 more than you did? 16 A. Yes. 17 61 My understanding of the affairs of 0. 18 Wind is that Mr. Cordova remained at Wind until fairly 19 recently, correct? 2.0 Α. That's correct. 21 62 0. He was named as the either acting 2.2 CEO or, in fact, the CEO of the company in September? 23 Α. The interim CEO in December, I 24 think. Yeah, I think it was -- you know. You know 25 what, I -- between October and December. I don't know

1	exactly when it was. It was following the transaction
2	close, and then until recently, in in March, in
3	early March, where he was pretty much replaced with a
4	new CEO.
5	63 Q. Right. So and my understanding is
6	the new CEO is named in sort of mid- to late March?
7	A. That's correct.
8	Q. Okay. At the time that you swore
9	this affidavit, you had access to Mr. Cordova if you
10	needed it?
11	A. Yes.
12	65 Q. You refer in paragraph 12 to a fact
13	that you received or information you received from
14	Mr. Cordova, and you say who at the time was the
15	chief operating officer of Wind. So I take it from
16	that additional fact, you are referring to information
17	you received from Mr. Cordova more or less
18	contemporaneously with the event, meaning in
19	July, 2014, and not information you received in
20	preparation for swearing this affidavit; is that
21	correct?
22	A. Yeah, that's correct.
23	66 Q. And in paragraph 12, your first
24	sentence is that, to the best of your knowledge:
25	"Neither West Face nor any

1	syndicate to which it belonged made an
2	offer to VimpelCom in respect of Wind in
3	June or July, 2014."
4	That's your evidence today as well,
5	correct?
6	A. Yes.
7	67 Q. If we can turn up Mr. Griffin's
8	affidavit, tab A, Volume 1 of the West Face record, at
9	paragraph 38. Just give the witness a chance to review
10	that paragraph.
11	A. Okay.
12	68 Q. Okay. So paragraph 38 of
13	Mr. Griffin's affidavit suggests that in June, 2014, a
14	draft share purchase agreement was delivered to UBS?
15	A. Okay.
16	69 Q. Correct?
17	A. Yes.
18	70 Q. You knew nothing about that?
19	A. No. We were actively pretty much
20	involved in a lot of due diligence activities with
21	different parties back then, particularly in June, and
22	nothing I knew nothing to suggest that there was
23	there was even an active offer on the table at the
24	shareholder level at that point in time.
25	71 Q. Right. And not to suggest you

1	would; that's just information that wouldn't
2	necessarily trickle down to where you were, correct?
3	A. Exactly.
4	72 Q. Paragraph 13 of your affidavit, on
5	page 5, refers to information you state you received
6	from representatives of VimpelCom. You qualify that by
7	suggesting that, to the best of your knowledge, it was
8	either Mr. Cordova or Carsten Revsbech.
9	A. Yes.
10	73 Q. R-E-V-S-B-E-C-H.
11	And Mr. Revsbech was a VimpelCom
12	employee?
13	A. Yes. He was. He still is.
14	74 Q. Not at Wind?
15	A. Not at Wind, no.
16	75 Q. And what follows in that sentence
17	is a statement that you were advised by either
18	Mr. Cordova or Mr. Revsbech is that, from the outset of
19	the sales process, VimpelCom had made it clear to all
20	of the bidders, including Catalyst, that it would not
21	assume the risk of regulatory approval of the sale of
22	its interest in Wind?
23	A. That's correct.
24	76 Q. This is information that was given
25	to you in or around December, 2013?

1	A. Yes. And it was reaffirmed
2	afterwards throughout pretty much the later part of the
3	due diligence and the sale process, so May onward to
4	September.
5	77 Q. From May onward to September, this
6	information was reaffirmed to you?
7	A. Was reaffirmed to me and was
8	clearly stated in the different management
9	presentations that we have given. So in any management
10	presentation, there would be the management of the
11	company and then there would be shareholder
12	representatives. Carsten has always been there along
13	with their legal counsel and sometimes others, and this
1.4	particular call it affirmation would come from the
15	VimpelCom representation, not from the management
16	representation.
17	78 Q. Okay. Let me just work with that
18	for a second. So you are referring now to management
19	presentations that you say took place throughout the
20	sales process?
21	A. Yup. There was different parties
22	involved in the bidding, so there was different
23	management presentations given.
24	79 Q. Okay. Was there only one
2.5	presentation given to each potential bidder, meaning

Page 24

when a potential bidder identified themselves they 1 2 would show up and receive a single presentation? 3 A. They would receive what we call the 4 management presentation that was given once. Now, if 5 they ask for any follow-up meetings to discuss due 6 diligence, to discuss financial modelling, to discuss any other issues on the due diligence list that they 8 had, we could either reconvene or do a conference call 9 or whatever it is that did suit the bidders. 10 80 Ο. During these requests for follow-up 11 information or additional questions from the potential 12 bidders, were new presentations or revised 13 presentations prepared? 14 Α. No. 15 81 Ο. So it was always the same 16 presentation? 17 I mean, the presentation as a Α. Yes. 18 document was one presentation. Now, if anyone wants to 19 reference something or to have a follow-up questions or 20 they -- or they come up with their own questions 21 following their advancement of knowledge of the 2.2 company, we would answer to those questions, but there 23 was no new materials as a management presentations 24 being delivered to anyone or prepared at the management 25 side.

1	82 Q. Right. And the presentation, as I
2	understand your evidence today, included a shareholder
3	portion that had been prepared by VimpelCom?
4	A. No, not really. Not in the
5	management present the material itself was fully
6	prepared by the management team, by the Wind management
7	team. Now, in the actual meeting, there would be
8	representation from VimpelCom while the management is
9	delivering their presentation.
10	83 Q. Yes.
11	A. As well as if any questions came or
12	if any questions are raised to the management that lies
13	pretty much outside of their control or level of
14	involvement regulatory stuff, shareholder deal
15	structures, any of that stuff we had no no
16	knowledge and no say about any of that stuff.
17	Q. Right. So outside the purview of
18	the management presentation would lie issues such as
19	regulatory approval?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. That's not something management
22	presented on?
23	A. That's not something management
24	presented on with regards to the regulatory approval
25	for the transaction. However, there was other

Page 26

1 regulatory issues, like wholesale rates, tower sharing, 2 stuff like that, we would talk to those, because those 3 are active files that lies within the management 4 control. 5 86 0. Right. But when it comes to 6 discussing the regulatory approval of a sale of Wind to a new bidder, that lay outside the scope of 8 management's presentation, correct? 9 Α. That's correct. 10 87 Ο. Any questions about regulatory 11 approval of the sale to a potential new bidder would 12 not be questions to be answered by management, correct? 13 That's correct. Α. 14 88 Now, help me understand something 0. 15 in this paragraph 13. You state that you were advised by either Mr. Cordova or Mr. Revsbech. Did you not 16 take any steps prior to swearing this affidavit to 17 18 figure out who had given you this information? 19 No, not really. A. 2.0 89 No. You had access to Mr. Cordova 0. 21 around the office, correct? 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 90 0. And didn't it occur to you to ask 24 him if he was the one who gave you this information? 25 No, it did not, because in, again, A.

most of the meetings and most of the discussions, both 1 of them would be there. 2 3 91 0. But you don't have firsthand knowledge of the information in this first sentence in 4 5 paragraph 13; you are stating what you were advised by 6 either one person or another, correct? Α. No, I do have firsthand information of that, because I would be sitting in the meeting, and 8 9 then the way the management presentation starts is it 10 get -- it gets kicked off by the VimpelCom 11 representatives stating pretty much what we are about 12 to discuss and stating pretty much exactly what process 13 are they running with the UBS, and they would clearly 14 state the whole regulatory part that any approvals --15 number one, any regulatory discussions have to happen 16 outside of the management presentation with the 17 shareholders as well as this is the responsibility of 18 the bidder. And they would kick it off, and the 19 management takes over, the presentation goes through, 2.0 we answer all the questions to the best of our 21 knowledge. If any questions comes back outside of our 2.2 scope, the VimpelCom representative would pretty much kind of chime in, and they take it from there. 23 24 92 0. And you were present at all of 25 these management presentations?

1	
1	A. Yes.
2	93 Q. In the next sentence, in
3	paragraph 13, you state:
4	"When VimpelCom asked Catalyst for
5	a break fee to be paid in the event the
6	deal cannot close because of regulatory
7	or other problems, Catalyst refused."
8	Now, that sentence, do you have
9	firsthand knowledge of that?
10	A. No.
11	94 Q. So who told you that?
12	A. Pietro. Mr. Cordova.
13	95 Q. Okay. Because your affidavit
14	doesn't state that it comes from someone else, it
15	states it's right? So okay. Well, you're saying
16	today that that was something you were told by
17	Mr. Cordova?
18	A. Yes. I think this is pretty much
19	continuation of the of the previous declaration that
20	my knowledge came either from Pietro or from Carsten.
21	96 Q. Yes.
22	A. And it and it says it says
23	clearly that I don't have firsthand information of this
24	one.
25	97 Q. Okay. You are repeating what you

1	were told by either Mr. Cordova or Mr. Revsbech on this
2	point?
3	A. That's correct.
4	98 Q. And then the next sentence, to the
5	best of your knowledge:
6	"Catalyst's refusal at this point
7	is why it was unable to execute a deal
8	for Wind."
9	Again, that is not firsthand knowledge
10	but secondhand knowledge?
11	A. That's correct.
12	99 Q. From either Mr. Cordova or
13	Mr. Revsbech?
14	A. That's correct.
15	100 Q. And so, again, in paragraph 14,
16	where you are referring to this statement from
17	VimpelCom that it engaged in no further negotiations
18	with West Face during the exclusivity period, that's
19	not information you have firsthand knowledge of,
20	correct?
21	A. That's correct.
22	101 Q. You are putting this into your
23	affidavit because it was something you were told by
24	either Mr. Cordova or Mr. Revsbech, correct?
25	A. That's correct.

1	102 Q. And when were you advised of that
2	fact?
3	A. Pretty much throughout the period
4	of the exclusivity. We were actually sent a note, an
5	official note, that pretty much states that we should
6	not engage in any communication with any other bidder
7	with the exception of West Face, and in a different
8	discussion as well as pretty much there was still some
9	questions coming in, and we were told very clearly not
10	to not to entertain any of those and leave them
11	where they were.
12	103 Q. Okay. I think in your response
13	there you refer to West Face. You probably intended to
14	refer to Catalyst, right? You said you were told not
15	to engage in any discussions with anyone other than
16	West Face?
17	A. Sorry, I mean Catalyst.
18	104 Q. You meant Catalyst
19	A. Yes.
20	105 Q because Catalyst had the
21	exclusivity agreement?
22	A. Yes, that's correct.
23	106 Q. I thought so. I just wanted to
24	make sure for the record it's clear.
25	A. Yeah, yeah, that's correct.

Page 31

1 107 0. So the evidence at paragraph 14 is 2 derived from this message that was conveyed to you not 3 to communicate with anyone other than Catalyst? 4 Α. Yes. 108 5 0. It wasn't that, in fact, 6 Mr. Cordova or Mr. Revsbech told you that VimpelCom had engaged in no further negotiations, correct? 8 A. Yes, this is correct. 109 9 0. So it's possible they did, but you 10 just wouldn't know about it? 11 A. I guess so, yes. 12 110 Q. So, for instance, if we turn back 13 to Mr. Griffin's affidavit, paragraph 77 on page 30. 14 Paragraph 77 starts on page 29, but the operative part 15 is on page 30, the last six or seven lines, beginning with "West Face's relationship with AAL". You'll see, 16 17 sir, that Mr. Griffin's evidence is that a proposal was 18 submitted to VimpelCom on August 7th, 2014. Do you see 19 that there? 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 111 0. And you weren't aware of that 2.2 proposal being sent at that time, correct? 23 Α. No, I wasn't aware. 24 112 So the evidence at paragraph 14 is 0. 25 an assumption you made based on the fact that you had

1	been told not to engage with anyone other than
2	Catalyst; is that correct?
3	MR. MILNE-SMITH: Sorry, Counsel, that's
4	not what it says in paragraph 14.
5	MR. WINTON: No, but that's what I
6	understand from his evidence today, so I'm just trying
7	to make sure I understand his evidence today.
8	BY MR. WINTON:
9	113 Q. When I asked you what the source of
10	that information was, you referred to the message
11	conveyed to you not to engage with anyone other than
12	Catalyst. Do you recall that?
13	A. Yes, I recall that.
14	114 Q. And that's the basis for the facts
15	stated in the first sentence of paragraph 14?
16	A. Yes.
17	115 Q. Paragraph 16. Again, we have a
18	statement that's to the best of your knowledge
19	regarding regulatory issues that Catalyst may or may
20	not have raised with Wind, and I just want to make
21	sure, because this is this we keep this
22	consistent with what I understand from your evidence
23	today. The discussions regarding regulatory issues
24	very well may have bypassed you, correct?
25	A. That's correct.

1	116 Q. The fact that you aren't aware of
2	any regulatory issues that were unique to Catalyst
3	doesn't mean that none such issues existed, correct?
4	A. Yeah, that's absolutely correct.
5	And I guess what I'm saying is that those kind of
6	discussions did not happen at the management level.
7	They happened at the shareholder level, so
8	117 Q. Right. They didn't involve you
9	A. Exactly.
10	118 Q if they existed at all. And
11	it's fair to say you weren't aware of what discussions
12	Catalyst was having with Industry Canada around this
13	time?
14	A. No.
15	119 Q. You weren't aware of what
16	Catalyst's regulatory concerns were, were you?
17	A. No.
18	120 Q. In the second sentence of
19	paragraph 16, in reference to regulatory challenges,
20	you refer to the public disputes over foreign
21	ownership, and you refer as well to advice given by UBS
22	and VimpelCom to potential purchasers from late 2013
23	on, as described above.
24	Now, I want to make sure I know what
25	that reference is to when you say "as described above".

So I believe it's a reference to paragraph 8, if we 1 2 turn back. And the last sentence refers to mention of 3 what was discussed at management presentations. 4 that the cross-reference from paragraph 16 to the "as described above"? 5 6 Yes. This is -- this works as well 7 as the -- what I explained about the management 8 presentations whereby the representatives of VimpelCom 9 would kick off the meeting by clearly stating that the 10 regulatory risk and approvals kind of lies with the 11 potential bidders. 12 121 0. Right. That's at paragraph 13 of 13 your affidavit, correct? 14 Α. Yes. 15 122 So paragraphs 8 and 13 are the two Ο. 16 paragraphs that are being referred to in this 17 paragraph 16? 18 Α. Yes. 19 123 Now, as well as not being aware of 0. 20 Catalyst's -- or whether Catalyst had any questions 21 made directly to UBS about regulatory challenges or 2.2 directly to the shareholders, likewise, you wouldn't be 23 aware of whether West Face was asking questions 24 directly to the shareholders about regulatory approval? 25 Α. That's correct.

1	124 Q. Just back to Mr. Cordova. You've
2	described him in your affidavit and explained today how
3	he was a VimpelCom representative at Wind?
4	A. Yes.
5	125 Q. To your knowledge, was he directly
6	involved with the discussions at the VimpelCom level
7	regarding potential bidders?
8	A. I don't know the level of
9	involvement that he that he actually had, but to the
10	best of my knowledge, he had some information, but he
11	wasn't involved in all of the discussions.
12	126 Q. Okay. He had more information than
13	you did?
14	A. Yes.
15	127 Q. Turning to paragraph 11 of your
16	affidavit, the second sentence, you state that, to the
17	best of your recollection:
18	"Catalyst began to engage in
19	financial modelling around the middle of
20	May, 2014."
21	Is that an assumption you made based on
22	the fact that you gave a manager presentation to
23	Catalyst it that month?
24	A. No. I was I was leading the
25	financial modelling stream from Wind side.

1	128 Q. Yes.
2	A. So I know for a fact that the
3	financial modelling started, if I remember correctly,
4	on May the 14th at a big meeting at our counsel
5	actually, sorry, at UBS back then, at UBS meeting, and
6	Morgan Stanley and different members from the Catalyst
7	team were there.
8	129 Q. Right. And if I understand the way
9	this works correctly, you don't just start financial
10	modelling sort of from scratch; there's work done prior
11	to that?
12	A. That's correct.
13	130 Q. By the potential bidder?
14	A. That's correct.
15	Q. So prior to May 14th, you would
16	assume, if the financial modelling started on that
17	date, that Catalyst had been working on its analysis of
18	the transaction prior to May 14th?
19	A. Right. So we gave our management
20	presentation on May the 10th, if I remember correctly,
21	and on May the 11th, the Catalyst team sent us a very
22	comprehensive due diligence list, which we started
23	working on, and they asked for a meeting on May the
24	14th as a financial modelling meeting, and pretty much
25	we when they sent the due diligence list, it was

it was a little bit hard to kind of get through without 1 2 really knowing what they had in mind, so it was more of 3 a working meeting that we kind of started tackling their due diligence list as well as the different 4 5 aspects of the financial modelling. 6 132 Right. But I quess my point is 0. these meetings and these requests don't come out of the 8 blue; that prior to May 10th, you would have assumed 9 there had been some work at Catalyst internal to Catalyst on its review of the Wind situation and its 10 11 studying of the potential for a Wind acquisition? 12 MR. MILNE-SMITH: I'm going to object, 13 because I don't want you to ask him to speculate about 14 what was going on. You're asking him to assume. If 15 you want to ask him what he knows or what he was 16 informed of, that's fine. 17 BY MR. WINTON: 18 133 Ο. Okay. You don't know whether there 19 had been work done prior to May 10th or not? 2.0 Α. I don't know. 21 134 0. And you are not suggesting in your 2.2 affidavit that you think they started working on the 23 Wind transaction on May 10th? 24 I'm not trying to suggest that, no. Α. 25 135 Okay. That's fine. Q. Right.

1	Paragraph 17 of your affidavit, you
2	mention that West Face is Wind's largest shareholder.
3	Do you know offhand what percentage of the equity or
4	shareholdings West Face owns?
5	A. I think a little bit over
6	25 percent.
7	136 Q. So in any event, you are aware it
8	is not a majority shareholder?
9	A. Do you define "majority" as more
10	than 50 percent?
11	137 Q. That's right.
12	A. Yes, for sure, they are not the
13	majority shareholders.
14	138 Q. And not a controlling shareholder?
15	A. Well, I don't know exactly what is
16	the details of the shareholders agreement, but I know
17	that they are the biggest shareholder, individual
18	shareholder, in the current group of shareholders.
19	139 Q. Right. But you are not trying to
20	suggest here in your affidavit they hold some kind of
21	veto over decisions made by the board?
22	A. I wouldn't know that.
23	140 Q. Right. What you do know is that
24	they have two of the ten seats at the board of
25	directors?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. Do you know who the West Face
3	nominees are?
4	A. I think it's Greg Boland Greg
5	Boland, Peter Fraser. I mean, because this yeah, I
6	think it's Peter Fraser and Greg Boland.
7	142 Q. Okay.
8	A. Sorry, sorry. Peter Rhamey and
9	Greg Boland.
10	143 Q. Rainey?
11	A. Rhamey, R-H-A-M-E-Y.
12	Q. Okay. And do you know who has the
13	right to appoint the other eight directors?
14	A. The different shareholders group,
15	every one of them has has the right to appoint board
16	members based on their equity stake, and then and
17	then they all have to vote on the independent
18	directors.
19	Q. Okay. How many independent
20	directors are there?
21	A. I think three.
22	Q. That leaves my basic math. So
23	there are seven seats held by the equity shareholders?
24	A. Yes.
25	Q. That they are direct nominees?

1	A. Yes.
2	148 Q. We know two of them are controlled
3	by nominated by West Face. That leaves five others.
4	Do you know how those five others are divided amongst
5	the other shareholders?
6	A. Again, I mean, the board structure
7	has just been changed, so
8	149 Q. Well, let me just stop you there
9	for a second, then. Are there still ten members of the
10	board?
11	A. Yes, there is ten board members. I
12	think this has I mean, we have it changed to be
13	ten. I don't remember what was the number before.
14	150 Q. Okay. So that didn't change from
15	the time you swore your affidavit?
16	A. It did not.
17	151 Q. Okay.
18	A. There is there is two from West
19	Face, there's two from the Globalive Capital, there's
20	two from the Tenenbaum Group, and there is one from
21	the Larry Guffey.
22	152 Q. Okay. And Globalive Capital, that
23	includes the Lacavera interest?
24	A. Yes.
25	153 Q. Owned by Anthony Lacavera?

			, ====
1		Α.	That's correct.
2	154	Q.	And Globalive also includes the
3	Serruya intere	st?	
4		A.	Yes.
5	155	Q.	Mr. Lacavera was the CEO of Wind
6	for a long tim	e?	
7		A.	That's correct.
8	156	Q.	And I think, as I recall, during
9	the prior t	o thi	s transaction was the in fact,
10	had the contro	lling	vote over the affairs of Wind; is
11	that correct?		
12		A.	That's correct.
13	157	Q.	So very involved in management of
14	Wind for a lon	g tim	e?
15		A.	Yes.
16	158	Q.	And brings a lot of knowledge and
17	experience as	a res	ult of that to the board?
18		A.	Yes.
19	159	Q.	Does he actually sit as a director
20	on the board?		
21		A.	Currently or previously?
22	160	Q.	Currently.
23		A.	Yes, he does.
24	161	Q.	Do you know who the other Globalive
25	nominee is?		

1	A. I think it's Michael Serruya.
2	162 Q. Michael Serruya?
3	A. Yes.
4	163 Q. Tenenbaum, that's a U.S-based
5	investment company?
6	A. That's correct.
7	Q. Similar to West Face, they have
8	investment funds and invest those funds in different
9	opportunities?
10	A. That's correct.
11	Q. And Mr. Guffey, he's also a
12	shareholder and he has his own directly held interest
13	in the corporation, correct?
14	A. That is correct.
15	166 Q. The three independent board
16	members, do you know who they are?
17	A. I wouldn't be able to recall all of
18	their names right now. I know who they are, but
19	167 Q. Okay.
20	A. I'm not sure I have their names.
21	168 Q. That's fine, that's fine.
22	Now, do you report to the CEO of Wind?
23	A. No, I report to the CFO.
24	169 Q. The CFO?
25	A. Yes.

1	170	Q.	Okay. And does the CFO report to
2	the CEO?		
3		A.	Yes.
4	171	Q.	And CEO reports to the board?
5		A.	That's correct.
6	172	Q.	Are you present at board meetings
7	to give informa	ation	or speak directly to the board from
8	time to time?		
9		A.	Yes, from sometimes.
10	173	Q.	Indirectly, you understand yourself
11	to report to the	ne bo	ard?
12		A.	No, not really.
13	174	Q.	No. Okay. Now, in paragraph 17,
14	you refer to th	ne re	cent success of Wind. Do you see
15	that? It's in	the	third sentence.
16		A.	Yes.
17	175	Q.	And as of I guess March 9th when
18	you swore this	affi	davit, what recent successes of Wind
19	were you refer	ring	to?
20		A.	Well, on the operational side as
21	well as on the	I	call it on the Spectrum side, so on
22	the operational	l sid	e, the company defaulted on its
23	debt, on its ve	endor	facility, back in April of 2014,
24	and that's pret	tty m	uch what accelerated the whole sale
25	process from V:	impel	Com's side, and, accordingly, when

the transaction took place, the vendors and the company 1 2 was in default. When the -- when the transaction took 3 place, those debt has been repaid, and the company was 4 allowed to continue to operate under a normal standard. 5 Before that, we operated on a very scaled-down basis 6 for lack of funds. 176 0. Right. There were financial 8 constraints that were cured through the transaction 9 with the West Face consortium? 10 Α. That's correct. 177 11 That happened simultaneously or as 0. 12 part of the transaction between VimpelCom and the 13 syndicate? 14 Α. I don't understand what you mean by 15 "simultaneously". 16 178 0. Was it part of or a linked 17 transaction that the vendor financing was resolved at 18 the same time as the syndicate purchased the interests 19 from VimpelCom? 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 179 0. It wasn't as if it was something 2.2 that happened after the transaction closed? 23 Α. No, it was not. 24 180 Okay. So that's one recent 0. Right. 25 success. Is that what you were referring to in your

1	affidavit when you described recent success of Wind?
2	A. That's one of them.
3	181 Q. Yes.
4	A. The other one was basically Wind
5	had some shortage in the Spectrum available for its
6	operation.
7	182 Q. Yes.
8	A. And we have tried many times to
9	acquire Spectrum and weren't necessarily successful.
10	The last time was in the 2014, 700 megahertz auction
11	whereby VimpelCom pretty much pulled the financing to
12	the auction back then.
13	We were after the transaction, the
14	funds needed to secure Spectrum was availed. We have
15	put a deposit back in January for both the WS3 and the
16	2500 megahertz, so the funds were availed. We freely
17	never advanced it advanced this particular file to
18	that level, and then we successfully closed on the
19	on the AWS3 acquisition in early March.
20	183 Q. Early March?
21	A. Yes.
22	184 Q. Prior to the swearing of this
23	affidavit?
24	A. Yes. I think it was in March
25	the 3rd. I can check the exact date of the Spectrum

Page 46

auction. I think it was --1 2 185 Your counsel or one of the counsel 0. 3 will give the undertaking, so that's okay. MR. MILNE-SMITH: I believe it's in 4 Mr. Griffin's affidavit. 5 6 MR. WINTON: Is it? Let's go off the 7 record for a second. 8 -- RECESS AT 3:02 --9 -- RESUMING AT 3:05 --10 BY MR. WINTON: 186 11 So while we were off the record, we 0. 12 managed to establish through reference to an exhibit to Mr. Griffin's affidavit that the date of the results of 13 14 I believe one of the Spectrum auctions were announced 15 March 6th, and your counsel is showing you Exhibit 29 to Mr. Griffin's affidavit, which is an article from 16 17 the Globe and Mail dated March 6th, 2015, regarding the 18 Spectrum auction. Do you see that, sir? 19 Α. Yes. 2.0 187 And does that help refresh your Ο. 21 memory that the results were announced a couple of days 2.2 prior to the swearing of your affidavit? 23 Α. Yes. But we had the funds pretty 24 much -- I mean, the build-up to get to the -- to the 25 Spectrum, I was a bidding officer for the -- for the

1	auction.
2	188 Q. Yes.
3	A. And, accordingly, the build-up for
4	the for the Spectrum work has almost took, like,
5	three months of preparation of which we had to
6	understand and be well aware of what funds do we have
7	to kind of what is the envelope that we are that
8	we are pretty much going to allow to it for.
9	189 Q. Right, because you have to put in a
10	bid. I mean, the auction results are announced
11	March 6th, but you're putting in your bids well before
12	then, correct?
13	A. That's correct.
14	190 Q. And you have to state in the bid
15	how much you are willing to pay for the Spectrum?
16	A. That's correct.
17	191 Q. You needed to know you had the
18	funds available to bid as much as you possibly could,
19	correct?
20	A. Exactly.
21	192 Q. And it states in this article that
22	Wind will pay a total of 56.4 million for the licences?
23	A. That's correct.
24	193 Q. And so those funds are over and
25	above whatever was paid to VimpelCom to purchase the

1	company, correct?
2	A. That's correct.
3	194 Q. And those funds were supplied by
4	the existing shareholders?
5	A. That's correct. If I may just
6	the bid amount is different from what you actually end
7	up paying.
8	195 Q. Okay.
9	A. This information still is
10	confidential; however, the bid amount differs from what
11	you actually end up paying, because of the of the
12	second price, revealed price, kind of consideration
13	that Industry Canada or CRTC determines.
1.4	MR. MILNE-SMITH: Just to be clear here,
15	as the witness indicated, the amount of Wind's actual
16	sealed bid is confidential, and I will object to any
17	question about that, but I'm happy to have him explain
18	how the second price bid auction format works.
19	BY MR. WINTON:
20	196 Q. I'm not that interested in it, but
21	maybe give your counsel a chance to object if this is
22	offside. I don't mean to be. But can I assume from
23	the way you are describing this that the second sealed
24	confidential bid was an amount greater than
2.5	56.4 million?

1	MR. MILNE-SMITH: You are fine to answer
2	that.
3	THE WITNESS: That's correct.
4	BY MR. WINTON:
5	197 Q. Okay. That's all I need to know.
6	MR. MILNE-SMITH: And just for the
7	record, this is largely described in the Exhibit 29.
8	MR. WINTON: Got it. It is. It
9	actually is. Look at that. Next paragraph. Well,
10	it's good to see you guys are consistent with your
11	story.
12	BY MR. WINTON:
13	198 Q. In paragraph 17, you refer to West
14	Face and its partners in terms of financial business
15	experience. So you state put it in the right order:
16	"Financial business experience at
17	West Face and at partners' offer."
18	Who are the partners you are referring
19	to?
20	A. The other shareholders.
21	199 Q. Okay. Meaning the partners in the
22	syndicate?
23	A. Yes. So the Tenenbaum, Guffey,
24	and the other guys.
25	200 Q. Right. Thank you. The last

1	sentence, you state:
2	"Access to capital is a constant
3	concern. West Face has played a
4	material role in advising supporting
5	Wind on this and other issues."
6	Are you suggesting that there are plans
7	at Wind to raise additional capital?
8	A. Can I say? Is that all right?
9	Because it's forward-looking stuff.
10	Q. I haven't heard an objection from
11	counsel.
12	MR. MILNE-SMITH: No, I have no
13	objection. I think this is more a matter for company
14	counsel if there is any concern about business
15	confidentiality. But I have no problem with you saying
16	in the most general terms whether there is any need for
17	capital going forward.
18	THE WITNESS: Okay.
19	MS. SAINSBURY: That's fine.
20	THE WITNESS: Yes, there is I mean,
21	Wind is a growing business. We are acquiring Spectrum
22	as well as building network. Particularly, we are
23	deploying the LTE network, which is very capital
24	intense, and, accordingly, it requires further
25	financing to the business.

1	BY MR. WINTON:
2	Q. Is Wind looking for this further
3	financing from the existing syndicate of shareholders
4	or is it looking to outsiders to supply this financing?
5	A. Both.
6	Q. Are you aware of the approximate
7	proportions of how much is expected to come from the
8	inside shareholders versus how much is expected to come
9	from outside financing?
10	A. I am aware, yes.
11	MS. SAINSBURY: I'm not sure that's
12	relevant in terms of the scope of the evidence that has
13	been given in paragraph 17.
14	MR. WINTON: Well, if there's a
15	suggestion that West Face is important to Wind, I'm
16	trying to test that by seeing just exactly what the
17	expected contribution from West Face is.
18	MR. MILNE-SMITH: So you go ahead and
19	ask the next question and I will take it under
20	advisement.
21	BY MR. WINTON:
22	Q. So what is the proportion of
23	financing that is going to be looked for from the
24	inside existing shareholders?
25	U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: Take that under

1	advisement.
2	BY MR. WINTON:
3	205 Q. And of that percentage, what
4	percentage is expected to be contributed by West Face?
5	U/A MR. MILNE-SMITH: That take under
6	advisement.
7	MR. WINTON: Okay. We are almost done.
8	OFF THE RECORD
9	BY MR. WINTON:
10	206 Q. So, sir, I have handed you an
11	article from the Globe and Mail dated March 23rd,
12	2015, and it concerns the well, the headline states
13	that it concerns the replacement of the CEO,
14	Mr. Cordova as CEO, of Wind, and he is replaced with an
15	Alec Krstajic that's my best guess
16	K-R-S-T-A-J-I-C. And I think this article may help
17	refresh your memory as to who the independent members
18	of the board may be. Starting at the bottom of
19	page 2 let's see, actually, it's
20	A. I guess that's page 2.
21	Q. Yes, the second page, but there's a
22	reference, actually, on the first page to a Mr. Robert
23	McLellan. That's the third paragraph on the first
24	page.
25	A. That's the first page?

1	208 Q.		Third paragraph. Do you see the
2	reference to Robe	ert	McLellan in the third line of
3	that paragraph?		
4	A	•	Yes.
5	209 Q.		Now, is Mr. McLellan a director
6	on the board of o	dire	ectors?
7	A		Yes. He was appointed.
8	210 Q.		Yes. Is he one of the independent
9	directors?		
10	A.		Yes, he is.
11	211 Q.		Okay. And to your understanding,
12	is this descript	ion	of him as a former executive with
13	Toronto-Dominion	Bar	nk accurate?
14	Α.		That's what I'd read in the paper.
15	Never met him.		
16	212 Q.		No reason to doubt the accuracy of
17	that?		
18	Α.		Absolutely not.
19	213 Q.		Great. Turning now to the third
20	page of this prim	ntoi	ut of the article, the first
21	paragraph on this	в ра	age refers to Wind adding two new
22	board members, an	nd 1	there's a reference to a David
23	Carey, C-A-R-E-Y,	, aı	nd Hamid Akhavan, A-K-H-A-V-A-N.
24	Are those the oth	ner	two independent directors whom you
25	had in mind?		

1	Α.	Yes, I believe that's correct.
2	214 Q.	And to the best of your knowledge,
3	the description of M	r. Carey as an executive at
4	T-Mobile is accurate	?
5	A.	Yes.
6	215 Q.	And, likewise, for Mr. Akhavan, the
7	description of him a	s a principal at an investment firm
8	known as Telecom Ven	tures LLC, that's accurate,
9	correct?	
10	A.	Yes. I have
11	216 Q.	You have no reason to doubt the
12	accuracy of this rep	ort?
13	A.	I have no reason to doubt, yeah.
14	217 Q.	Right. And just following through
15	here, there is a des	cription of Mr. Guffey in the next
16	paragraph. He's an	advisor to a private equity company
17	known as Blackstone	Group, correct?
18	A.	That's correct.
19	218 Q.	The current CEO of Wind is formerly
20	the CEO of Public Mo	bile?
21	A.	That's correct.
22	219 Q.	So long-standing experience in the
23	Canadian mobile mark	et?
24	A.	Yes.
25	220 Q.	Now, the third-last paragraph here

1	refers to West Face owning or controlling 35 percent of
2	the voting shares of Wind. Does that help or is that,
3	to your knowledge, more accurate than the number you
4	gave me today?
5	A. I think I said north of 25 percent,
6	so, yes, the number is accurate.
7	Q. Good. And, as well, the reference
8	to Tenenbaum owning 31 percent, to your knowledge,
9	that's accurate?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. And the Globalive Capital Group
12	owning 25 percent, that's accurate as well?
13	A. That's correct.
14	Q. Finally, Mr. Guffey has the
15	remaining 8 percent, correct?
16	A. That's correct.
17	MR. WINTON: Well, subject to the
18	questions that were taken under advisement, that
19	completes the cross-examination. Thank you.
20	MR. MILNE-SMITH: Thank you.
21	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
22	OFF THE RECORD
23	MR. WINTON: So we are going to mark the
24	Globe and Mail article dated March 23rd, 2015, as
25	Exhibit 1 to this cross-examination.

1	EXHIBIT NO. 1: Article titled "Wind
2	Mobile Replaces CEO, Hands Reins to
3	Former Public Mobile Rival", dated
4	March 23, 2015.
5	Whereupon the cross-examination concluded at
6	3:19 p.m.
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	I, TERRY WOOD, RPR, CSR, Certified
3	Shorthand Reporter, certify;
4	That the foregoing proceedings were
5	taken before me at the time and place therein set
6	forth, at which time the witness was put under oath by
7	me;
8	That the testimony of the witness and
9	all objections made at the time of the examination were
10	recorded stenographically by me and were thereafter
11	transcribed;
12	That the foregoing is a true and correct
13	transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
14	
15	Dated this 15th day of May, 2015.
16	Terry Wood
17	
18	NEESONS
19	PER: TERRY WOOD, RPR, CSR
20	CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



	120 204	150 44:40	10 0:00
1	128 36:1	159 41:19	19 8:20
	129 36:8	<b>16</b> 7:24 32:17 33:19 34:4,17	190 47:14
5:4,11,18 21:8 55:25	<b>13</b> 7:6 22:4 26:15 27:5 28:3 34:12,15	160 41:22	<b>191</b> 47:17
56:1	<b>130</b> 36:13	161 41:24	192 47:21
0 6:22 18:8,19	<b>131</b> 36:15	<b>162</b> 42:2	193 47:24
00 29:15	<b>132</b> 37:6	163 42:4	194 48:3
<b>101</b> 29:22 <b>102</b> 30:1	<b>133</b> 37:18	<b>164</b> 42:7	195 48:8
103 30:12	134 37:21	<b>165</b> 42:11	196 48:20
103 30:12 104 30:18	<b>135</b> 37:25	<b>166</b> 42:15	197 49:5
	<b>136</b> 38:7	<b>167</b> 42:19	198 49:13
105 30:20	<b>137</b> 38:11	168 42:21	199 49:21
106 30:23	<b>138</b> 38:14	169 42:24	2
107 31:1	<b>139</b> 38:19	<b>17</b> 8:3 38:1 43:13 49:13	
108 31:5	<b>14</b> 7.9 29.15 31:1,24	51:13	<b>2</b> 5:7 11:5 52:19,20
109 31:9	32:4,15	170 43:1	20 9:4
10th 36:20 37:8,19,23	140 38:23	171 43:4	200 49:25
11 6:25 35:15	141 39:2	<b>172</b> 43:6	2009 5:19
110 31:12	142 39:7	<b>173</b> 43:10	<b>201</b> 50:10
<b>111</b> 31:21	<b>143</b> 39:10	174 43:13	2011 8:22
112 31:24	144 39:12	<b>175</b> 43:17	2013 13:10 22:25 33:
113 32:9	<b>145</b> 39:19	<b>176</b> 44:7	2014 18:9 20:19 21:3,
<b>114</b> 32:14	146 39:22	177 44:11	13 31:18 35:20 43:23 45:10
<b>115</b> 32:17	<b>147</b> 39:25	<b>178</b> 44:16	2015 5:12 46:17 52:12
116 33:1	<b>148</b> 40:2	<b>179</b> 44:21	55:24 56:4
117 33:8	149 40:8	17th 13:10	202 51:2
118 33:10	14th 36:4,15,18,24	<b>18</b> 8:15	203 51:6
119 33:15	<b>15</b> 7:16 8:13	180 44:24	204 51:22
11th 36:21	<b>150</b> 40:14	181 45:3	205 52:3
<b>12</b> 7:3 19:10 20:12,23	<b>151</b> 40:17	182 45:7	206 52:10
120 33:18	<b>152</b> 40:22	183 45:20	207 52:21
<b>121</b> 34:12	<b>153</b> 40:25	184 45:22	208 53:1
122 34:15	<b>154</b> 41:2	185 46:2	209 53:5
123 34:19	<b>155</b> 41:5	<b>186</b> 46:11	21 9:8
124 35:1	<b>156</b> 41:8	187 46:20	210 53:8
125 35:5	<b>157</b> 41:13	188 47:2	<b>211</b> 53:11
<b>126</b> 35:12	<b>158</b> 41:16	189 47:9	<b>212</b> 53:16
<b>127</b> 35:15			



LSHANAWANÝ, ASSE	R 011 Way 12, 2015		Index: 213activit
<b>213</b> 53:19	<b>3:05</b> 46:9	<b>63</b> 20:5	<b>89</b> 26:20
<b>214</b> 54:2	<b>3:19</b> 56:6	64 20:8	
<b>215</b> 54:6	3rd 45:25	<b>65</b> 20:12	9
<b>216</b> 54:11	-	<b>- 66</b> 20:23	9 6:18
<b>217</b> 54:14	4	<b>67</b> 21:7	90 26:23
<b>218</b> 54:19	<b>4</b> 5:15 8:21 18:8	68 21:12	91 27:3
<b>219</b> 54:22	40 14:2	<b>69</b> 21:16	<b>92</b> 27:24
<b>22</b> 9:12	<b>41</b> 14:5	6th 46:15,17 47:11	93 28:2
220 54:25	42 14:22	_	94 28:11
<b>221</b> 55:7	43 15:1	7	<b>95</b> 28:13
222 55:11	44 15:6	7 6:6 14:5	96 28:21
<b>223</b> 55:14	<b>45</b> 15:13	70 21:18	97 28:25
23 9:17 56:4	<b>46</b> 15:19	700 45:10	98 29:4
23rd 52:11 55:24	<b>47</b> 15:22	<b>71</b> 21:25	99 29:12
<b>24</b> 9:20	<b>48</b> 16:8	<b>72</b> 22:4	9th 5:12 43:17
<b>25</b> 10:8 38:6 55:5,12	<b>49</b> 16:21	<b>73</b> 22:10	
<b>2500</b> 45:16		74 22:14	Α
<b>26</b> 10:18	5	<b>75</b> 22:16	A Is b = 11 = 12 = 20 00
<b>27</b> 10:20	<b>5</b> 5:18 22:5	<b>76</b> 22:24	A-k-h-a-v-a-n 53:23 AAL 31:16
28 11:1		77 23:5 31:13,14	
29 11:4 31:14 46:15	<b>50</b> 16:25 38:10 <b>51</b> 17:3	<b>78</b> 23:17	absolutely 17:11 33 53:18
49:7		<b>79</b> 23:24	accelerated 43:24
2:03 5:1	<b>52</b> 18:4 <b>53</b> 18:8	7th 31:18	access 14:6 16:6 20:
3	1, 54 1 Sept.		26:20 50:2
	<b>54</b> 18:18	8	accuracy 53:16 54:1
<b>3</b> 5:10 11:4 12:7	<b>55</b> 18:22 <b>56</b> 18:25	<b>8</b> 6:15 17:3 34:1,15	accurate 53:13 54:4, 55:3,6,9,12
30 11:21 31:13,15	<b>56.4</b> 47:22 48:25	55:15	acquire 45:9
<b>31</b> 11:25 55:8	<b>57</b> 19:3	80 24:10	acquired 8:23 11:16
<b>32</b> 12:4	<b>58</b> 19:6	<b>81</b> 24:15	acquiring 50:21
<b>33</b> 12:6	<b>59</b> 19:9	<b>82</b> 25:1	acquisition 37:11
<b>34</b> 12:10	<b>35</b> 19.9	83 25:10	45:19
<b>35</b> 12:21 55:1	6	84 25:17	act 10:15
<b>36</b> 12:25		<b>85</b> 25:21	acting 19:10,21
<b>37</b> 13:3	<b>6</b> 5:22 12:10 13:9	86 26:5	active 21:23 26:3
38 13:8 21:9,12	60 19:14	<b>87</b> 26:10	actively 21:19
39 13:13	<b>61</b> 19:17	88 26:14	activities 21:20
3:02 46:8	62 19:21		



Index: actual..clear

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al ELSHANAWANY, ASSER on May 12, 2015

actual 8:11 25:7 48:15 adding 53:21 additional 14:11,14 15:23 16:10,11 20:16 24:11 50:7 advanced 45:17 advancement 24:21 advice 33:21 advised 22:17 26:15 27:5 30:1 advisement 51:20 52:1.6 55:18 advising 50:4 advisor 54:16 affairs 15:16 19:17 41:10

affidavit 5:11 8:21 10:21,24 11:4 12:10,22 14:18 18:9 19:4 20:9,20 21:8,13 22:4 26:17 28:13 29:23 31:13 34:13 35:2,16 37:22 38:1,20 40:15 43:18 45:1,23 46:5,13,16,22

affirmation 23:14 AFFIRMED 5:2 afternoon 5:4 agreed 10:17 agreement 12:13

13:5 21:14 30:21 38:16 ahead 51:18

Akhavan 53:23 54:6 Alec 52:15

allowed 44:4 alternatives 10:6 amount 48:6,10,15,24

analysis 36:17 and/or 18:16

announced 46:14,21 47:10

Anthony 13:15 40:25

appoint 39:13,15 appointed 53:7 approval 22:21 25:19,

24 26:6,11 34:24 approvals 27:14 34:10

approximate 51:6 approximately 14:19

April 43:23 article 46:16 47:21 52:11,16 53:20 55:24 56:1

aspects 6:2 18:5 37:5

Asser 5:2,6

assume 19:1 22:21 36:16 37:14 48:22

assumed 37:8

assumption 31:25 35:21

auction 45:10,12 46:1, 18 47:1,10 48:18

auctions 46:14

August 31:18 availability 12:19

availed 45:14.16

**aware** 11:11,16,22 15:22 31:21,23 33:1,11, 15 34:19,23 38:7 47:6 51:6,10

AWS3 45:19

В

back 6:3,4 7:15 16:5 21:21 27:21 31:12 34:2 35:1 36:5 43:23 45:12, 15

background 6:11

Bank 53:13

bankers 15:4

based 17:21 31:25 35:21 39:16 basic 39:22

basically 7:22 9:15 17:17 45:4

basis 32:14 44:5

began 35:18

beginning 31:15

begins 12:11

belonged 21:1

bid 47:10,14,18 48:6, 10,16,18,24

bidder 16:18 17:20,24 23:25 24:1 26:7,11 27:18 30:6 36:13

bidders 15:7,24 16:7 22:20 24:9,12 34:11 35:7

bidding 15:20 23:22 46:25

bids 47:11

big 36:4

biggest 38:17

billing 8:6

bit 5:25 17:24 37:1 38:5

Blackstone 54:17

blanket 18:12

blue 37:8

board 38:21,24 39:15 40:6,10,11 41:17,20 42:15 43:4,6,7,11 52:18 53:6,22

Boland 39:4,5,6,9

bottom 14:8 52:18

bought 9:2

break 28:5

brings 41:16

build-up 46:24 47:3

building 50:22

business 6:3 17:22 49:14,16 50:14,21,25

bypass 16:23

bypassed 32:24

C

C-a-r-e-y 53:23

call 7:19 16:1 18:16 23:14 24:3,8 43:21

called 6:20 13:16

Canada 11:15 33:12 48:13

Canadian 11:6,9,19, 23 54:23

candidly 18:18

**capital** 8:17 9:23,25 10:9,12:11:23 12:2 13:19,20 40:19,22 50:2, 7,17,23 55:11

Carey 53:23 54:3

Carsten 22:8 23:12 28:20

cases 14:22 16:19

Catalyst 17:6 22:20 28:4,7 30:14,17,18,20 31:3 32:2,12,19 33:2,12 34:20 35:18,23 36:6,17, 21 37:9,10

Catalyst's 29:6 33:16 34:20

CEO 19:22,23 20:4,6 41:5 42:22 43:2,4 52:13,14 54:19,20 56:2

CFO 42:23,24 43:1

**challenges** 33:19 34:21

chance 21:9 48:21

change 40:14

changed 40:7,12

check 45:25

chief 19:6 20:15

**chime** 27:23

clarify 8:15

clear 11:17 22:19 30:24 48:14



close 20:2 28:6 closed 44:22 45:18 commencing 5:1 communicate 31:3 communication 30.6 company 5:15 6:20 18:6 19:22 23:11 24:22 42:5 43:22 44:1,3 48:1 50:13 54:16 completely 17:1 completes 55:19 comprehensive 36:22 concern 50:3.14 concerns 9:22 11:7 33:16 52:12.13 concluded 56.5 conducting 15:15 conference 24:8 confidential 48:10. 16,24 confidentiality 50:15 connections 7:22 consideration 48:12 consistent 32:22 49:10 consortium 44:9 constant 50:2 constraints 44.8 consumer 6:4 contemporaneousl

y 20:18
continuation 28:19
continue 44:4
contribute 12:2
contributed 52:4
contribution 51:17
control 5:13,20 8:7

controlled 40:2 controlling 38:14 41:10 55:1 conveyed 31:2 32:11 coordinate 16:3

**Cordova** 19:4,18 20:9,14,17 22:8,18 26:16,20 28:12,17 29:1, 12,24 31:6 35:1 52:14

Corp 5:13 corporate 5:12 9:21 corporation 7:12 8:18 9:23 42:13

correct 5:9.14.20.21 6:13,23,24 8:1,2 9:2,3, 11,13 12:9,25 13:12 14:22,23 15:7,17,18,24 18:6.14.20.21 19:8.12. 19,20 20:7,21,22 21:5, 16 22:2.23 26:8.9.12. 13.21 27:6 29:3.11.14. 20.21.24.25 30:22.25 31:7,8,22 32:2,24,25 33.3,4 34.13,25 36.12, 14 41:1,7,11,12 42:6, 10,13,14 43:5 44:10 47:12.13.16.19.23 48:1. 2.5 49:3 54:1,9,17,18, 21 55:13.15.16

correctly 36:3,9,20 counsel 23:13 32:3

36:4 46:2,15 48:21 50:11,14

couple 46:21 court 11:2

credit 6:4

cross-examination 5:3 6:8 55:19,25 56:5

cross-examined 12:22

cross-reference 34:4

CRTC 48:13 cured 44:8 curious 14:18 current 5:23 16:23 38:18 54:19

D data 14:6 15:14 16:6 date 36:17 45:25 46:13 dated 46:17:52:11 55:24 56:3 David 53:22 day 7:21 days 46:21 deal 25:14 28:6 29:7 dealt 15:11 debt 43:23 44:3 December 13:10 19:23.25 22:25 decide 10:12 decided 10:13 decisions 38:21 deck 17:19 declaration 28:19 deemed 11:15 default 44:2 defaulted 43:22 define 38:9 deliberations 18:19 delivered 12:12:21:14 24:24 delivering 25:9

depending 12:18 deploy 8:5 deploying 50:23 deposit 45:15 derived 31:2 describe 6:12 9:12 16:20 17:17

describing 14:5

48:23

description 53:12 54:3,7,15 design 8:11 details 38:16 determines 48:13 developments 17:23 difference 5:24 6:1 17:16,17 differs 48:10

Index: close..dynamics

difficulties 11:13,18 diligence 10:3 15:15 16:2,16 21:20 23:3 24:6,7 36:22,25 37:4

direct 15:6 39:25 directed 16:22 directly 9:15 13:4 16:17,18 34:21,22,24 35:5 42:12 43:7

director 41:19 53:5 directors 38:25 39:13,18,20 53:6,9,24

discuss 24:5,6 27:12 discussed 34:3 discussing 26:6 discussion 30:8

discussions 11:22 12:1 15:7,8 18:1,23 27:1,15 30:15 32:23 33:6,11 35:6,11

divided 40:4 document 15:16 24:18

disputes 33:20

doubt 53:16 54:11,13

due 7:14 10:3 15:15 16:1.2.16 21:20 23:3

draft 21:14

dynamics 17:22

24:5,7 36:22,25 37:4

25:13 26:4

Index: E-I..honestly

The Catalyst Capital Group Inc. v. Brandon Moyse et al ELSHANAWANY, ASSER on May 12, 2015

Е E-I 5:7 early 18:9 20:3 45:19, easier 8:24 El 5:2.6 employee 7:20 9:7 22:12 employees 9:16 end 48:6,11 engage 30:6,15 32:1, 11 35:18 engaged 29:17 31:7 entails 9:21 enter 6:8 entertain 30:10 envelope 47:7 environment 11:13 equity 8:23 38:3 39:16,23 54:16 **ERP** 8:5 essentially 5:22 15:19 establish 8:6.7 46:12 established 8:10 establishment 7:25 event 20:18 28:5 38:7 events 14:19 evidence 11:2 21:4 25:2 31:1,17,24 32:6,7, 22 51:12 exact 12:16 13:2 45:25 exception 30:7 exchange 14:25

executive 6:13 53:12 54:3 executives 11:22 exhibit 6:9 46:12,15 49:7 55:25 56:1 existed 33:3:10 existing 48:4 51:3,24 expected 51:7,8,17 52:4 experience 41:17 49:15,16 54:22 explain 48:17 explained 34:7 35:2 explorations 11:8 explored 11:6,19 **extent** 15:19 F Face 12:12 13:6,13 14:3 17:6 18:10 20:25 21:8 29:18 30:7.13.16 34:23 38:2.4 39:2 40:3.

19 42:7 44:9 49:14.17 50:3 51:15.17 52:4 55:1 Face's 10:22 31:16 facility 10:6 43:23

fact 8:22 11:5 19:22 20:12.16 30:2 31:5.25 33:1 35:22 36:2 41:9

facts 6:10 32:14 fair 7:6,9 9:8 33:11 fairly 19:18

fee 28:5

figure 26:18

file 45:17

files 26:3

Finally 55:14

finance 9:21

financial 6:19 8:1.7. 16.17 9:21 11:18 24:6 35:19,25 36:3,9,16,24

37:5 44:7 49:14.16 financials 8:6 financing 10:1 11:6,9 44:17 45:11 50:25 51:3, 4,9,23

fine 37:16,25 42:21 49:1 50:19

firm 54:7

find 10:6

firsthand 11:10 12:3, 4 27:3,7 28:9,23 29:9, 19

focus 16:9 follow 10:15 follow-up 24:5,10,19

foreign 33:20 format 48:18 forward 50:17

forward-looking 50:9

founding 6:12 11:21 Fraser 13:16:39:5,6 freely 45:16 fully 25:5

functional 16:4.18 funding 10:7

funds 11:19 42:8 44:6 45:14,16 46:23 47:6,18, 24 48:3

G

gave 12:13,23 13:2 18:13 26:24 35:22 36:19 55:4

general 14:6 50:16 gentleman 13:16,22

give 16:6 21:9 43:7 46:3 48:21

giving 11:2

Globalive 40:19.22 41:2,24 55:11

Globe 46:17 52:11 55:24

good 5:4,10 49:10 55:7

Great 53:19

greater 48:24

Greg 39:4,6,9

Griffin 13:16

Griffin's 21:7.13 31:13,17 46:5,13,16

ground 11:20

group 38:18 39:14 40:20 54:17 55:11

growing 50:21

quess 31:11 33:5 37:6 43:17 52:15,20

Guffey 40:21 42:11 49:23 54:15 55:14

guy 13:24 guys 49:10,24

н

Hamid 53:23 handed 52:10 handling 15:11 Hands 56:2 happen 27:15 33:6 happened 14:19:33:7

44:11,22

happening 17:23

happy 48:17

hard 37:1

head 6:19 16:18

headline 52:12

heads 16:4

heard 50:10

held 39:23 42:12

hold 38:20

honestly 18:24

exclusivity 29:18

30:4,21

execute 29:7

executed 12:12

hope 6:10 hyphen 13:19 ı identified 24:1 implementation 8:11 important 10:23 51:15 inception 11:6 include 9:22 included 25:2 includes 40:23 41:2 including 22:20 independent 39:17, 19 42:15 52:17 53:8,24 indirectly 8:23 43:10 individual 16:9 38:17 industry 8:12,14 11:14 33:12 48:13 information 12:8.14 23 13:2 14:14 15:3.23 16:10,11,13 17:8,19 18:13 20:13.16.19 22:1. 5.24 23:6 24:11 26:18

24 27:4,7 28:23 29:19 32:10 35:10.12 43:7 48.9

informed 12:11 18:10 37:16

infrastructure 8:1,16

inside 51:8.24

instance 9:25 10:8 31:12

intended 16:9 30:13

intense 50:24

interest 9:2 22:22 40:23 41:3 42:12

interested 48:20

interests 44:18

interim 19:23

internal 37:9 internally 16:4 introduced 13:24 invest 42:8

investment 15:4 42:5.8 54:7

involve 33:8

involved 9:24 10:1.5 11:8,25 13:4 18:22 21:20 23:22 35:6,11 41:13

involvement 11:10 25:14 35:9

issues 15:10 24:7 25:18 26:1 32:19,23 33:2.3 50:5

J

J-i-a 13:20 January 5:19 45:15 job 7:21 8:24 9:20 joined 5:19 7:21 July 20:19 21:3 June 21:3.13.21

ĸ

K-r-s-t-a-j-i-c 52:16 kick 27:18 34:9 kicked 27:10 kind 10:4.6.15 16:3.6 27:23 33:5 34:10 37:1.3 38:20 47:7 48:12

knew 12:7 19:14 21:18.22

know-how 8:12.14

knowing 37:2

knowledge 17:21 20:24 22:7 24:21 25:16 27:4,21 28:9,20 29:5,9, 10,19 32:18 35:5,10 41:16 54:2 55:3,8

Krstajic 52:15

Lacavera 40:23,25 41.5 lack 44:6

land 7:21 largely 49:7 largest 38:2

Larry 40:21 late 20:6 33:22

lay 26:7 lead 10:1 leading 35:24

leave 12:20 30:10 leaves 39:22 40:3

led 7:25

legal 23:13 level 10:13:21:24:25:13

33:6.7 35:6.8 45:18 liberty 6:6

licence 11:16 licences 47:22 lie 25:18

lies 25:12 26:3 34:10 likewise 34:22 54:6

lines 14:8 31:15

linked 44:16

Linkedin 6:77:25

list 16:2,3,16 24:7 36:22,25 37:4

LLC 54:8 local 7:20 9:6

long 41:6,14

long-standing 54:22

longer 14:22 looked 51:23 lot 10:9 21:20 41:16

Index: hope..meaning

LTE 50:23

М

M-o-b-i-n-i-l 6:22

made 11:11,17 21:1 22:19 31:25 34:21 35:21 38:21

Mail 46:17 52:11 55:24 majority 8:22 18:20 38:8,9,13

make 8:24 30:24 32:7. 20 33:24

managed 16:1 46:12

management 9:15 13:9 14:7 15:12 17:4,8 18:23 19:11 23:8,9,10, 15,18,23 24:4,23,24 25:5.6.8.12.18.21.23 26:3,12 27:9,16,19,25 33:6 34:3.7 36:19 41:13

management's 26:8

manager 35:22

managing 11:12 16:16

mandate 10:14.18

March 5:12 20:2.3.6 43:17 45:19.20.24 46:15.17 47:11 52:11 55:24 56:4

mark 55:23

market 17:22 54:23

master 16:2.16

material 14:15 17:7. 17 25:5 50:4

materials 14:11.12 15:23 16:5 18:1 24:23

math 39:22 matter 50:13

Mclellan 52:23 53:2,5 meaning 20:18 23:25

49:21

means 6:15 meant 30:18 meeting 13:14,23 14:24 17:20 18:16 25:7 27:8 34:9 36:4,5,23,24 meetings 12:20 24:5 27:1 37:7 43:6 megahertz 45:10,16 members 36:6 39:16 40:9.11 42:16 52:17 53:22 memory 46:21 52:17 mention 34:2 38:2 message 31:2 32:10 met 53:15 Michael 42:1.2 mid- 20:6 middle 35:19 million 47:22 48:25 MILNE-SMITH 13:19 32:3 37:12 46:4 48:14 49:1,6 50:12 51:18,25 52:5 55:20 mind 37:2 53:25 minimum 16:20 mobile 5:13 54:20.23 56:2.3 Mobinil 6:20.25 7:4 modelling 24:6 35:19, 25 36:3.10.16.24 37:5 month 35:23 months 47:5 Morgan 10:14 36:6 motion 10:22 multiple 18:16

N

named 19:21 20:6 names 42:18,20 nature 17:1 necessarily 16:12 22:2 45:9 needed 20:10 45:14 47:17 negotiations 29:17 31:7 network 50:22.23 nominated 40:3 nominee 41:25 nominees 39:3.25 nondisclosure 12:13 13:5 normal 44:4 north 55:5 note 30:4.5 number 6:1 27:15

0

40:13 55:3.6

object 37:12 48:16,21 objection 50:10,13 occur 26:23 October 19:25 offer 21:2.23 49:17 offhand 38:3 office 26:21 officer 5:13 19:7 20:15 46:25 official 30:5 offside 48:22 onward 23:3.5 operate 11:15 44:4 operated 44:5 operating 19:7 20:15 operation 11:20 45:6 operational 11:11 43:20.22 operations 18:5

operative 31:14
opportunities 42:9
options 11:18
Oracle 8:5
Orascom 7:1,3,7,9,
18,20 9:5,10
Orascom's 9:2
order 49:15
outset 22:18
outsiders 51:4
oversee 6:2,3
Owned 40:25
ownership 33:21
owning 55:1,8,12

P

owns 38:4

p.m. 5:1 56:6

paid 28:5 47:25 paper 53:14 paragraph 5:11,18 8:21 11:4 12:7,10 13:9 14:5,9 17:3 18:8,19 19:10 20:12,23 21:9,10, 12 22:4 26:15 27:5 28:3 29:15 31:1,13,14,24 32:4.15.17 33:19 34:1. 4,12,17 35:15 38:1 43:13 49:9,13 51:13 52:23 53:1,3,21 54:16, paragraphs 34:15,16 part 23:2 27:14 31:14 44:12.16 parties 14:10 21:21 23:21 parties' 16:9 partners 12:1 49:14, 18,21 partners' 49:17

party 16:11,12

Index: means..presentation pay 47:15,22 paying 48:7,11 people 14:2 15:13 18:17 percent 38:6.10 55:1. 5.8.12.15 percentage 38:3 52:3,4 period 29:18 30:3 person 12:16 13:2 27:6 personally 19:2 Peter 13:16:39:5,6,8 phone 18:16 phrase 14:16 piece 13:2 Pietro 28:12.20 place 7:10 23:19 44:1, planning 5:13,20 6:2, 19 9:21 10:2 plans 10:2 50:6 played 50:3 point 17:12 21:24 29:2, 6 37:6 points 18:3,4 portion 25:3 position 5:23 7:6 possibly 47:18 posted 16:6 potential 12:1 15:7,24 16:7 23:25 24:1,11 26:11 33:22 34:11 35:7 36:13 37:11 preparation 13:4 20:20 47:5

prepared 24:13,24

present 13:11.13 25:5

presentation 13:9

17:15 23:10,25 24:2,4,

25:3.6

27:24 43:6

Index: presentations..Rhamey

16,17,18 25:1,9,18 26:8 27:9,16,19 35:22 36:20

presentations 14:7 17:4,9,14,18 23:9,19,23 24:12,13,23 27:25 34:3, 8

presented 18:2 25:22,24

pretty 8:8 16:3 17:15, 25 20:3 21:19 23:2 25:13 27:11,12,22 28:18 30:3,5,8 36:24 43:24 45:11 46:23 47:8

previous 18:12 28:19

previously 41:21

price 48:12,18

principal 54:7

printout 53:20

prior 6:18 10:23 26:17 36:10,15,18 37:8,19 41:9 45:22 46:22

private 54:16

privy 18:19,23

problem 5:16 50:15

problems 28:7

proceeding 11:2

process 15:14,20 22:19 23:3,20 27:12 43:25

processes 8:7,8

professional 7:14,17

profile 6:7 7:25

promotion 5:24 6:1

pronounce 8:23

proportion 51:22

proportions 51:7

proposal 31:17.22

provided 10:13

providing 10:2 16:5

public 33:20 54:20 56:3 publicly 11:17 pulled 45:11

purchase 21:14 47:25

purchased 44:18

purchasers 33:22

purview 10:10,11 25:17

put 7:10 45:15 47:9 49:15

putting 29:22 47:11

Q

qualify 22:6

question 15:15 16:8 48:17 51:19

questions 16.21,25 17:21,23 24:11,19,20, 22 25:11,12 26:10,12 27:20,21 30.9 34:20,23 55:18

R

R-e-v-s-b-e-c-h 22:10

R-h-a-m-e-y 39:11

**Rainey** 39:10

raise 10:9,12 50:7

raised 25:12 32:20

raising 8:17 9:22,23,

24

rates 26:1

read 7:24 53:14

reaffirmed 23:1,6,7

reason 53:16 54:11,13

recall 12:23 13:1 18:13 32:12.13 41:8 42:17

receive 24:2.3

received 14:12 17:7 20:13,17,19 22:5

recent 43:14,18 44:24 45:1 recently 19:19 20:2

RECESS 46:8

recollection 14:13,17 17:5.11 35:17

reconvene 24:8

record 5:5 10:22 21:8 30:24 46:7,11 49:7 52:8 55:22

recounting 12:6

recurring 14:17

refer 5:15 8:16,22 11:5 13:9 19:3,9 20:12 30:13,14 33:20,21 43:14 49:13

reference 18:12 24:19 33:19,25 34:1 46:12 52:22 53:2,22 55:7

referred 32:10 34:16

referring 8:17 20:16 23:18 29:16 43:19 44:25 49:18

refers 22:5 34:2 53:21 55:1

refresh 46:20 52:17

refusal 29:6

refused 28:7

regulatory 11:7,13 22:21 25:14,19,24 26:1, 6,10 27:14,15 28:6 32:19,23 33:2,16,19 34:10,21,24

Reins 56:2

Relations 6:19

relationship 7:7 9:9 31:16

relevant 51:12

remained 19:18

remaining 55:15

remember 12:16 13:17,22 36:3,20 40:13

repaid 44:3

repeating 28:25

replaced 20:3 52:14

replacement 52:13

Replaces 56:2

report 42:22,23 43:1, 11 54:12

reporter's 8:24

Reporting 5:20

reports 43:4

representation 18:17 23:15,16 25:8

representative 7:11,

representative 7:11, 18,19 9:13 19:10 27:22 35:3

representatives

9:14 12:18 22:6 23:12 27:11 34:8

representing 7:23

requested 15:24

requests 15:3 16:10, 14,17 24:10 37:7

required 16:22

requires 50:24

resolved 44:17

respect 17:4 21:2

response 16:14,22 30:12

responsibility 27:17

result 7:7 11:7 41:17

results 46:13,21 47:10

RESUMING 46:9

revealed 48:12

review 11:14 21:9 37:10

reviewing 6:7

revised 24:12

Revsbech 22:8,11,18 26:16 29:1,13,24 31:6

**RFPS** 8:11

**RFQS** 8:11

Rhamey 39:8,11

risk 6:4 22:21 34:10 Rival 56:3 Robert 52:22 53:2 role 5:24 15:2,6,20 50:4 room 14:6 15:14 16:6 running 27:13 s S-h-a-n-a-w-a-n-y 5:8 SAINSBURY 50:19 51:11 sale 22:21 23:3 26:6,11 43:24 sales 22:19 23:20 scaled-down 44:5 scope 26:7 27:22 51:12 scratch 8:10 36:10 sealed 48:16:23 search 11:23 seats 38:24 39:23 second-last 14:7 secondhand 12:7 29:10 secure 11:19 45:14 seeking 13:4 sending 18:10 sentence 12:11 13:8 14:7 20:24 22:16 27:4 28:2.8 29:4 32:15 33:18 34:2 35:16 43:15 50:1 September 19:22 23:4,5

52:10 sit 41:19 sitting 27:8 situation 37:10 skills 7:15.17 sold 9:5 sort 20:6 36:10 source 32:9 sources 11:6 space 5:7 Serruya 41:3 42:1,2 Shanawany 5:2,6 Spectrum 43:21 45:5, share 21:14 9.14.25 46:14.18.25 shareholder 10:13 47:4.15 50:21 18:20 21:24 23:11 25:2. speculate 37:13 14 33:7 38:2,8,14,17,18

42:12 shareholderrelated 15:10 shareholders 15:11 16:23 27:17 34:22,24 38:13,16,18 39:14,23 40:5 48:4 49:20 51:3,8, shareholdings 38:4 shares 55:2 sharing 26:1 sheet 18:11 shortage 45:5 show 12:19 24:2 showing 46:15 side 11:11 15:12 24:25 35:25 43:20,21,22,25 Similar 42:7 simply 9:2 simultaneously 44:11.15 single 14:24,25 15:25 24:2 sir 5:4 31:17 46:18 speak 10:14 18:17 specific 10:16 12:19

spelled 6:22 stake 39:16 standard 44:4 Stanley 10:15 36:6 start 6:16 8:4 36:9 started 36:3,16,22 37:3,22 starting 7:20 52:18 starts 27:9 31:14 startup 8:9 state 5:48:21 14:9 15:16 17:4 18:9,18 22:5 26:15 27:14 28:3,14 35:16 47:14 49:15 50:1 stated 23:8 32:15 statement 12:25 22:17 29:16 32:18 states 12:11 28:15 30:5 47:21 52:12 stating 17:10 27:5,11, 12 34:9 stayed 9:4 steps 26:17 stop 40:8 stops 10:4 story 17:14 49:11 strategic 6:2 strategy 15:10 stream 35:25 structure 17:15 40:6 structures 25:15 structuring 10:5 studying 37:11 stuff 25:14.15.16 26:2 50:9 subject 55:17 submitted 31:18 subsidiary 7:1,3,4 success 43:14 44:25

45:1

Index: risk..term successes 43:18 successful 45:9 successfully 45:18 suggest 21:22,25 37:24 38:20 suggesting 22:7 37:21 50:6 suggestion 51:15 suggests 21:13 suit 24:9 supplied 48:3 supply 51:4 support 10:18 15:2 supporting 50:4 swearing 10:21,23 12:21 20:20 26:17 45:22 46:22 swore 20:8 40:15 43:18 sworn 5:11 syndicate 21:1 44:13, 18 49:22 51:3 **systems** 8:5,6 т T-mobile 54:4 tab 10:22 21:8 table 21:23 tackling 37:3 takes 27:19 talk 13:23 26:2 talking 14:19 15:9 team 9:15 12:16,17 19:11 25:6,7 36:7,21 telecom 8:13 54:8



ten 38:24 40:9,11,13

Tenenbaum 40:20

42:4 49:23 55:8

term 18:11

Index: terms..Z-h-u

terms 14:6 49:14 50:16 51:12

test 51:16

third-last 54:25

thought 30:23

thoughts 10:16

time 7:4 19:6 20:8,14 21:24 31:22 33:13 40:15 41:6,14 43:8 44:18 45:10

times 45:8

timing 17:18

title 5:23

titled 56:1

today 12:22 21:4 25:2 28:16 32:6,7,23 35:2 55:4

today's 6:7

told 28:11,16 29:1,23 30:9.14 31:6 32:1

Toronto-dominion 53:13

total 47:22

tower 26:1

tracking 16:12

transaction 15:12 20:1 25:25 36:18 37:23 41:9 44:1,2,8,12,17,22 45:13

trickle 22:2

trusted 7:10.17

truth 10:24

turn 21:7 31:12 34:2

Turning 8:20 13:8 35:15 53:19

. .

U.s-based 42:4

U/a 51:25 52:5

UBS 10:14 12:12,13, 17,23 16:5,18,22 18:10,

12,13 21:14 27:13 33:21 34:21 36:5

unable 29:7

unaware 17:1

uncontroversial

6:10

understand 6:25 25:2 26:14 32:6,7,22 36:8 43:10 44:14 47:6

understanding 7:18 9:1 19:17 20:5 53:11

understood 10:23

undertaking 46:3

unique 33:2

updated 17:19

V

V-i-m-p-e-l-c-o-m 8:25

vendor 10:1 43:23 44:17

vendors 10:3 44:1

Ventures 54:8

versus 51:8

veto 38:21

Vice-president 5:19

view 18:3.5

Vimpelcom 8:24 9:1, 9,13,16 18:20 19:10 21:2 22:6,11,19 23:15 25:3,8 27:10,22 28:4 29:17 31:6,18 33:22 34:8 35:3,6 44:12,19 45:11 47:25

Vimpelcom's 43:25

Volume 21:8

vote 39:17 41:10

voting 55:2

w

wanted 7:11 30:23

well-publicized 11:7

West 10:22 12:12 13:6, 13 14:2 17:6 18:10 20:25 21:8 29:18 30:7, 13,16 31:16 34:23 38:2, 4 39:2 40:3,18 42:7 44:9 49:13,17 50:3 51:15.17 52:4 55:1

wholesale 26:1

whomever 10:4.15

Wind 5:13,16,19 6:13, 18 7:7,10,21 8:1,8 9:13 10:8 11:6,15,17,22 12:8 13:5 14:6 15:2 18:22 19:7,11,18 20:15 21:2 22:14,15,22 25:6 26:6 29:8 32:20 35:3,25 37:10,11,23 41:5,10,14 42:22 43:14,18 45:1,4 47:22 50:5,7,21 51:2,15 52:14 53:21 54:19 55:2 56:1

Wind's 8:22 38:2 48:15

WINTON 5:3 14:1 32:5,8 37:17 46:6,10 48:19 49:4,8,12 51:1, 14,21 52:2,7,9 55:17,23

word 8:25

work 23:17 36:10 37:9, 19 47:4

worked 7:4

working 6:18 36:17, 23 37:3,22

works 34:6 36:9 48:18

WS3 45:15

Υ

Y-u 13:19

year 14:20

years 8:13

Yu-jia 13:16 Yup 23:21

z

Z-h-u 13:20

Court File No. CV-14-507120

### ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

THE CATALYST CAPITAL GROUP INC.

Plaintiff/Moving Party

- and -

BRANDON MOYSE and WEST FACE CAPITAL INC.

Defendants/Responding Parties

### ANSWERS TO UNDERTAKINGS, UNDER ADVISEMENTS, AND REFUSALS

### **DAVIES WARD PHILLIPS & VINEBERG LLP**

155 Wellington Street West Toronto, ON M5V 3J7

Matthew Milne-Smith LSUC#: 44266P Andrew Carlson LSUC#: 58850N

Tel.: 416.863.0900 Fax: 416.863.0871

Lawyers for the Defendant, West Face Capital Inc.

### ONTARIO SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE

BETWEEN:

THE CATALYST CAPITAL GROUP INC.

- and -

Plaintiff/Moving Party

BRANDON MOYSE and WEST FACE CAPITAL INC.

Defendants/Responding Parties

# ANSWERS TO UNDERTAKINGS, UNDER ADVISEMENTS, AND REFUSALS (Cross-examination of Asser El Shanawany held May 12, 2015)

Answer or precise basis for refusal	To advise what is the proportion of financing that is going to be looked for from the existing WIND or composition of future financing, which will depend on shareholders going forward and to advise, of that proportion, what percentage is expected to be contributed by West Face.  To advise what is the proportion of future financing, which will depend on the circumstances at such future date as financing may the circumstances at such future date as financing may occur. WIND's shareholders are subject to a confidential shareholders must agree to: (a) any material capital issuance, whether by debt or equity; (b) any executive changes; (c) any initial public offering; and (d) the annual budget. By virtue of the super-
ge J.	52 To see shall that the control of
/ Page No.	77
Category Page No.	A/U
No.	_

	\.
usal	ا ا
usal	it if
<b>.</b>	0
<u>s</u>	je Jg
. ∂	٦
u	) כר
	a
e basis for r	⊒.
basis for	þ
basis	آ ا
ĪS	a
œ.	99
e basis	30 2t(
_ v_	Fi
ا لِيْر	ر يد
X	8
wer or precise b	لا خ
ver or p	<b>&gt;</b>
<b>=</b>	π, ec
ver or	⊬#
7	e z
	e □
G	يع ≟ا
<b>G</b>	무드
4	majority requirement, West Face and any one other shareholder can effectively veto any financing of W
	<u> </u>
	의소
	orit reh
	<u>Ö</u>
	la Te
	⊓ S
O	
<b></b> _	
ertakii	
erta	
erte	
/ Und	
<b>)</b>	
-	
0	
esti	
uesti	
Questi	
Questi	
Questi	
Questi	
Questi	
Page No.	
Page No.	
Page No.	
Page No.	
Page No.	

Š

May 27, 2015

Davies Ward Phillips & Vineberg LLP 155 Wellington Street West Toronto, ON M5V 3J7

Matthew Milne-Smith LSUC#: 44266P Andrew Carlson LSUC#: 58850N

Tel.: 416.863.0900 Fax: 416.863.0871

Lawyers for the Defendant, West Face Capital Inc.

BRANDON MOYSE and WEST FACE CAPITAL INC. and THE CATALYST CAPITAL GROUP INC.

Defendants Plaintiff

Court File No: CV-14-507120

### SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE ONTARIO

Proceeding commenced at Toronto

## **ANSWERS TO UNDERTAKINGS, UNDER ADVISEMENTS, AND REFUSALS**

## DAVIES WARD PHILLIPS & VINEBERG LLP

155 Wellington Street West, 37th Floor Toronto, ON M5V 3J7

Matthew Milne-Smith (LSUC#: 44266P) Andrew Carlson (LSUC#: 58850N)

Tel: 416.863.0900

Fax: 416.863.0871

Lawyers for the Defendant, West Face Capital Inc.